HEKTAS TICARET TURK ANONIM SIRKETI

CDP Corporate Questionnaire 2026

Word version

Important: this export excludes unanswered questions
This document is an export of your organization's CDP questionnaire response. It contains all data points for questions that are answered or in progress. There may be questions or data points that you have been requested to provide, which are missing from this document because they are currently unanswered. Please note that it is your responsibility to verify that your questionnaire response is complete prior to submission. CDP will not be liable for any failure to do so.
Read full terms of disclosure

.

09/16/2026, 04:10 pm

C1. Introduction

(1.1) In which language are you submitting your response?

Select from:
☑ English

(1.3) Provide an overview and introduction to your organization.

	Organization type	Description of organization
	Select from: ☑ Publicly traded organization	*HEKTAŞ operates in the fields of plant protection, plant nutrition, seed production and agricultural technologies.*

[Fixed row]

(1.4) State the end date of the year for which you are reporting data. For emissions data, indicate whether you will be providing emissions data for past reporting years.

	End date of reporting year	Alignment of this reporting period with your financial reporting period	Indicate if you are providing emissions data for past reporting years
	12/30/2025	*Select from:* ☑ Yes	*Select from:* ☑ No

[Fixed row]

(1.5) Provide details on your reporting boundary.

	Is your reporting boundary for your CDP disclosure the same as that used in your financial statements?
	Select from: ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(1.6) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)?

ISIN code - bond

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ No

ISIN code - equity

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ No

CUSIP number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ No

Ticker symbol

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ No

SEDOL code

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ No

LEI number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ No

D-U-N-S number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

628123838

Other unique identifier

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ No

[Add row]

(1.7) Select the countries/areas in which you operate.

Select all that apply

☑ Australia

☑ Türkiye

(1.14) In which part of the chemicals value chain does your organization operate?

Other chemicals

☑ Other, please specify :Plant Protection and Nutrition

(1.24) Has your organization mapped its value chain?

(1.24.1) Value chain mapped

Select from:

☑ Yes, we have mapped or are currently in the process of mapping our value chain

(1.24.2) Value chain stages covered in mapping

Select all that apply

☑ Upstream value chain

☑ Downstream value chain

(1.24.3) Highest supplier tier mapped

Select from:

☑ Tier 1 suppliers

(1.24.4) Highest supplier tier known but not mapped

Select from:

☑ All supplier tiers known have been mapped

(1.24.7) Description of mapping process and coverage

Our value chain providing full coverage, has been prepared in accordance with IFRS-compliant TSRS standards and includes both upstream and downstream processes.
[Fixed row]

C2. Identification, assessment, and management of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(2.1) How does your organization define short-, medium-, and long-term time horizons in relation to the identification, assessment, and management of your environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?

Short-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

0

(2.1.3) To (years)

1

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

HEKTAŞ defines its time horizons in line with its strategic planning framework and the useful life of its production assets, so that climate-related risks and opportunities are assessed over the same periods used for operational, capital and strategic decision-making. Short-term (0–1 year) mirrors HEKTAŞ's annual budgeting and operational/financial planning cycle and the annual agricultural production and sales season, allowing risks with a direct effect on the current year's revenue and operating results (e.g., input-cost volatility, regulatory compliance actions) to be managed within the budget cycle.

Medium-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

2

(2.1.3) To (years)

5

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

Medium-term (2–5 years) corresponds to HEKTAŞ's strategic plan and capital-allocation horizon, including the multi-year product-registration and R&D pipeline (new formulations, drought-resilient seeds) and mid-term targets such as the LCA data infrastructure, the period over which structural, technological and market shifts feed into strategy and investment decisions.

Long-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

6

(2.1.2) Is your long-term time horizon open ended?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

Long-term (6 years and over, open-ended) is aligned with the physical-climate scenario horizons used in the assessment (WRI Aqueduct 2030 and 2050), the useful life of production facilities and Türkiye's 2053 Net-Zero pathway. It is left open-ended because chronic physical risks such as water stress and drought, and transition risks, materialise over decades with no defined end point. These horizons are applied consistently across HEKTAŞ's risk identification, scenario analysis and financial-materiality assessment (revenue-based threshold). Where operational and financial planning is carried out over a shorter, annual cycle, the longer horizons are retained for environmental issues because their financial effects extend well beyond the annual planning period.
[Fixed row]

(2.2) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts?

	Process in place	Dependencies and/or impacts evaluated in this process
	Select from:	*Select from:*

	Process in place	Dependencies and/or impacts evaluated in this process
	☑ Yes	☑ Both dependencies and impacts

[Fixed row]

(2.2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental risks and/or opportunities?

	Process in place	Risks and/or opportunities evaluated in this process	Is this process informed by the dependencies and/or impacts process?
	Select from: ☑ Yes	*Select from:* ☑ Both risks and opportunities	*Select from:* ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(2.2.2) Provide details of your organization's process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities.

Row 1

(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

☑ Water

(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Dependencies

☑ Impacts

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

☑ Direct operations

☑ Upstream value chain

☑ Downstream value chain

☑ End of life management

(2.2.2.4) Coverage

Select from:

☑ Full

(2.2.2.5) Supplier tiers covered

Select all that apply

☑ Tier 1 suppliers

(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

Select from:

☑ Qualitative and quantitative

(2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

☑ Annually

(2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

☑ Short-term

☑ Medium-term

☑ Long-term

(2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

☑ Integrated into multi-disciplinary organization-wide risk management process

(2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

☑ Sub-national

(2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

Commercially/publicly available tools

☑ WRI Aqueduct

☑ WWF Water Risk Filter

Enterprise Risk Management

☑ COSO Enterprise Risk Management Framework

☑ Enterprise Risk Management

☑ ISO 31000 Risk Management

☑ Risk models

☑ Stress tests

International methodologies and standards

☑ Environmental Impact Assessment
☑ IPCC Climate Change Projections
☑ ISO 14001 Environmental Management Systems
☑ ISO 14046 Environmental Management – Water footprint
☑ Life Cycle Assessment

Databases

☑ Other databases, please specify :NGFS, IEA

Other

☑ Scenario analysis
☑ Desk-based research
☑ External consultants
☑ Materiality assessment
☑ Internal company methods
☑ Partner and stakeholder consultation/analysis

(2.2.2.15) Risk types and criteria considered

Acute physical

☑ Drought
☑ Flood (coastal, fluvial, pluvial, ground water)
☑ Heat wave
☑ Heavy precipitation (rain, hail, snow/ice)

Chronic physical

☑ Heat stress
☑ Water stress
☑ Groundwater depletion
☑ Increased severity of extreme weather events
☑ Water availability at a basin/catchment level
☑ Changing temperature (air, freshwater, marine water)

Policy

☑ Carbon pricing mechanisms

☑ Changes to international law and bilateral agreements

☑ Changes to national legislation

☑ Changes to regulations of existing products and services

☑ Increased pricing of water

Market

☑ Changing customer behavior

☑ Lack of availability and/or increased cost of raw materials

Reputation

☑ Negative press coverage related to support of projects or activities with negative impacts on the environment (e.g., GHG emissions, deforestation & conversion, water stress, excessive bycatch)

Technology

☑ Transition to bio-based chemicals

Liability

☑ Non-compliance with regulations and legislation

(2.2.2.16) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

☑ NGOs

☑ Customers

☑ Employees

☑ Investors

☑ Suppliers

☑ Regulators

☑ Local communities

☑ Indigenous peoples

☑ National and/or subnational governments

☑ Other, please specify **:Water utilities at a local level Other commodity users/producers at a local level Other water users at the basin/catchment level**

(2.2.2.17) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

☑ No

(2.2.2.18) Further details of process

HEKTAŞ identifies, assesses and manages environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities through an integrated approach that combines double materiality analysis, sustainability and climate-related risk and opportunity assessments, and the Company's enterprise risk management framework. Environmental and sustainability-related impacts are identified through the double materiality analysis, which evaluates HEKTAŞ's actual and potential impacts on environmental and social areas as well as the potential financial implications of sustainability matters for its business model, financial performance and long-term value creation. This process is informed by stakeholder inputs, sector standards, peer and good practice reviews, and the Company's value chain and strategic priorities. In parallel, environmental dependencies and related exposure areas are considered where environmental conditions, natural resources, infrastructure, suppliers, market dynamics or regulatory developments may affect operational continuity, costs, supply chain resilience, competitiveness or financial performance. Sustainability and climate-related risks and opportunities are then identified, assessed, prioritized and monitored within the enterprise risk management system, which is aligned with COSO ERM, ISO 31000 and TSRS S1 and S2 expectations. The assessment covers physical climate risks, transition risks, water-related exposure, market and supply chain risks, regulatory developments, governance and reputational risks, together with related opportunity areas. Scenario analyses and external references including NGFS, IPCC, IEA, SSP, WRI Aqueduct and WWF water risk scenarios are used to evaluate risks across short-, medium- and long-term time horizons. Each issue is reviewed based on likelihood, impact, existing controls, potential operational and financial consequences, and links with priority sustainability topics. High and very high priority risks are monitored by relevant business units and the Sustainability Committee, reported to the Early Detection of Risk Committee where necessary, and managed through action plans that include responsible parties, target dates and performance indicators. Findings from these processes are used to inform target-setting, risk mitigation actions, opportunity assessments and updates to HEKTAŞ's sustainability strategy.
[Add row]

(2.2.7) Are the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed?

(2.2.7.1) Interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed

Select from:

☑ Yes

(2.2.7.2) Description of how interconnections are assessed

HEKTAŞ assesses the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities through its integrated sustainability, double materiality and enterprise risk management processes. Through the double materiality analysis, the Company identifies its actual and potential impacts on environmental and social topics, while also assessing how sustainability-related matters may affect its business model, financial performance and long-term value creation capacity. These outputs are then considered together with the sustainability and climate-related risks and opportunities. In this way, environmental impacts,

dependencies on environmental and value chain conditions, and their potential implications for operational continuity, supply chain, budget, regulatory exposure, investment decisions, competitiveness and financial resilience are assessed in connection with related risks and opportunities.
[Fixed row]

(2.3) Have you identified priority locations across your value chain?

(2.3.1) Identification of priority locations

Select from:

☑ Yes, we have identified priority locations

(2.3.2) Value chain stages where priority locations have been identified

Select all that apply

☑ Direct operations

(2.3.3) Types of priority locations identified

Sensitive locations

☑ Areas of limited water availability, flooding, and/or poor quality of water

(2.3.4) Description of process to identify priority locations

Locations with substantive dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities relating to water

(2.3.5) Will you be disclosing a list/spatial map of priority locations?

Select from:

☑ No, we have a list/geospatial map of priority locations, but we will not be disclosing it
[Fixed row]

(2.4) How does your organization define substantive effects on your organization?

Risks

(2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

☑ Qualitative

☑ Quantitative

(2.4.2) Indicator used to define substantive effect

Select from:

☑ Revenue

(2.4.3) Change to indicator

Select from:

☑ % increase

(2.4.4) % change to indicator

Select from:

☑ Less than 1%

(2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

☑ Frequency of effect occurring

☑ Time horizon over which the effect occurs

☑ Likelihood of effect occurring

(2.4.7) Application of definition

The potential impacts of drought caused by water stress on HEKTAŞ's financial position, performance and cash flows have been assessed in the short, medium and long term, taking into account the financial threshold value determined on the basis of revenue. It has been anticipated that HEKTAŞ's customers, affected by drought

caused by water stress, may experience rising product costs and a decline in purchasing power, which could impact the Company's sales. However, it has been assessed that the assumptions used in calculating the projected effects give rise to measurement uncertainties and that the calculation fell below the financial threshold value. For this reason, HEKTAŞ discloses its assessments regarding the impact of drought caused by water stress on revenue as qualitative information, in line with the resources at its disposal. In addition, the potential impacts of water stress on operational processes, as well as any increase in water costs that may arise, and their potential effects on the Company's revenues and operational profitability have been assessed. As a result of the analysis, it was determined that the financial impact of the aforementioned risk remained below the financial threshold value. Taking into account the anticipated effects of the water stress risk identified by HEKTAŞ in relation to climate change at various stages of the value chain, as well as measurement uncertainties, no significant change is expected in the carrying amounts of the assets and liabilities presented in the financial statements in the next financial statements. Furthermore, the Company has no plans to acquire or dispose of assets in relation to the risk in question.

Opportunities

(2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

☑ Qualitative

☑ Quantitative

(2.4.2) Indicator used to define substantive effect

Select from:

☑ Revenue

(2.4.3) Change to indicator

Select from:

☑ % increase

(2.4.4) % change to indicator

Select from:

☑ Less than 1%

(2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

☑ Frequency of effect occurring

☑ Time horizon over which the effect occurs

☑ Likelihood of effect occurring

(2.4.7) Application of definition

The potential impacts of drought caused by water stress on HEKTAŞ's financial position, performance and cash flows have been assessed in the short, medium and long term, taking into account the financial threshold value determined on the basis of revenue. It has been anticipated that HEKTAŞ's customers, affected by drought caused by water stress, may experience rising product costs and a decline in purchasing power, which could impact the Company's sales. However, it has been assessed that the assumptions used in calculating the projected effects give rise to measurement uncertainties and that the calculation fell below the financial threshold value. For this reason, HEKTAŞ discloses its assessments regarding the impact of drought caused by water stress on revenue as qualitative information, in line with the resources at its disposal. In addition, the potential impacts of water stress on operational processes, as well as any increase in water costs that may arise, and their potential effects on the Company's revenues and operational profitability have been assessed. As a result of the analysis, it was determined that the financial impact of the aforementioned risk remained below the financial threshold value. Taking into account the anticipated effects of the water stress risk identified by HEKTAŞ in relation to climate change at various stages of the value chain, as well as measurement uncertainties, no significant change is expected in the carrying amounts of the assets and liabilities presented in the financial statements in the next financial statements. Furthermore, the Company has no plans to acquire or dispose of assets in relation to the risk in question.
[Add row]

(2.5) Does your organization identify and classify potential water pollutants associated with its activities that could have a detrimental impact on water ecosystems or human health?

(2.5.1) Identification and classification of potential water pollutants

Select from:

☑ Yes, we identify and classify our potential water pollutants

(2.5.2) Details of policies and processes in place to identify and classify potential water pollutants

Activities aimed at the efficient use of water resources and the protection of effluent quality are carried out within the framework of the Integrated Management Systems Policy and the Sustainability Policy. Operational responsibility for water and wastewater management lies with the Environment Unit and the Directorate of Sustainability and Integrated Management Systems. Legal processes are regularly monitored via the Legal Compliance Checklist and up-to-date regulatory bulletins. Commitments regarding water management are managed through short-, medium- and long-term targets. The water footprint calculation and verification study,

defined within the framework of ISO 14046 for the base year 2024, was completed within the reporting period. The application process for the Blue Water Efficiency certificate has been initiated under the Water Efficiency Regulation. In the medium term, work is continuing on the expansion of the rainwater harvesting infrastructure at the Orhangazi F.A.R.M. facility, whilst a feasibility assessment is being carried out for a reverse osmosis system to recover backwash water. Among the long-term priorities is the expansion of the drought-resistant seed portfolio.
[Fixed row]

(2.5.1) Describe how your organization minimizes the adverse impacts of potential water pollutants on water ecosystems or human health associated with your activities.

Row 1

(2.5.1.1) Water pollutant category

Select from:
☑ Phosphates

(2.5.1.2) Description of water pollutant and potential impacts

Nitrogen and phosphorus are two of the water pollutant parameters considered within HEKTAŞ's wastewater discharge monitoring. Nitrogen-related compounds can be associated with nutrient loads and can have environmental relevance if not properly controlled. Excessive nitrogen in discharged water may contribute to eutrophication, algal blooms, oxygen depletion and deterioration of aquatic habitats. Depending on the form and concentration, nitrogen compounds may also affect downstream water quality and increase pressure on freshwater ecosystems. HEKTAŞ discharges water as part of its operations and manages this parameter in line with applicable discharge requirements, including compliance with the Water Pollution Control Regulation.

(2.5.1.3) Value chain stage

Select all that apply
☑ Direct operations

(2.5.1.4) Actions and procedures to minimize adverse impacts

Select all that apply
☑ Assessment of critical infrastructure and storage condition (leakages, spillages, pipe erosion etc.) and their resilience

(2.5.1.5) Indicators for measuring and evaluating the success of the procedure

Select all that apply

☑ Third-party audits and/or certification

(2.5.1.6) Please explain

To minimize potential adverse impacts related, HEKTAŞ assesses the condition and resilience of critical infrastructure and storage areas that may be associated with wastewater generation, handling or discharge. This includes reviewing storage conditions, containment systems, pipelines and related infrastructure for potential leakages, spillages, pipe erosion or other weaknesses that could lead to uncontrolled releases or increased pollutant loads.
[Add row]

C3. Disclosure of risks and opportunities

(3.1) Have you identified any environmental risks which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?

Climate change

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

☑ Yes, only within our direct operations

(3.1.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental risks in your direct operations and/or upstream/downstream value chain

Select from:

☑ Environmental risks exist, but none with the potential to have a substantive effect on our organization

(3.1.3) Please explain

Climate-related risks have been identified within our direct operations, including physical risks (extreme weather events, temperature variation) and transition risks (regulatory developments, carbon pricing and energy costs). These risks are assessed annually as part of our enterprise risk management process. Based on their likelihood and potential financial impact measured against our substantive-impact thresholds, none of the identified climate-related risks currently exceeds the level that would materially affect our financial position, operating performance or strategy. Mitigation measures, including energy efficiency investments, emission monitoring and decarbonization planning, further reduce residual exposure. Our assessment is reviewed annually and will be revised should regulatory, market or physical conditions change.

Water

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

☑ Yes, only within our direct operations

(3.1.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental risks in your direct operations and/or upstream/downstream value chain

Select from:

☑ Environmental risks exist, but none with the potential to have a substantive effect on our organization

(3.1.3) Please explain

Environmental risks have been identified within our direct operations through our annual risk assessment process; water-related risk, specifically water stress at our operating sites, is the most relevant issue for our organization. Although our facilities are located in areas subject to seasonal water stress, water is not a critical input for our core production processes and our withdrawal volumes are low relative to local availability. Risks are managed through water efficiency measures, recycling and reuse of process water, closed-loop systems and continuous monitoring of consumption and discharge quality. Based on our assessment against financial, operational and regulatory thresholds, the potential impact of these risks does not reach the level defined as substantive in our risk management framework. Water stress is nevertheless monitored annually, and the assessment is updated if site-level conditions, regulatory requirements or water availability change.
[Fixed row]

(3.1.1) Provide details of the environmental risks identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☑ Risk1

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Chronic physical

☑ Water stress

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☑ Türkiye

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

HEKTAŞ has identified a chronic physical risk driven by drought linked to water stress, assessed across both direct operations and the value chain. Downstream, intensifying drought could reduce customers' agricultural yield, raising produce costs and weakening purchasing power, thereby affecting revenue through lower demand. In direct operations, higher water stress at facility locations could restrict water access, with implications for operational continuity and unit water costs. Production sites in Kocaeli, Bursa, Ankara, Adana, Antalya and Niğde were analysed using WRI Aqueduct projections for the base year, 2030 and 2050. Sales cities were assessed with the same source: 19 fall into the "very high" and 16 into the "high" water stress category. Based on year-end sales volume, the revenue impact in very-high-stress regions stayed below the financial threshold. A business-specific scenario analysis used 2025 as the base year, calculating water stress indicators for 2030 and 2050 under low- and high-emission scenarios, combined with revenue, annual water consumption and unit water price. Revenue modelling drew on NGFS GDP data for Türkiye, linking GDP change to water stress change at facilities; additional water cost impacts were also calculated. Downstream demand effects were considered qualitatively but excluded from the quantitative analysis given uncertainty in agricultural and customer-behaviour parameters, creating measurement uncertainty.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

☑ Increased direct costs

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ Virtually certain

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ High

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

The financial impact of the risk has been analysed within the framework of WRI–Aqueduct's optimistic and pessimistic scenarios for the years 2030 and 2050. As a result of the assessments carried out, it was determined that there are uncertainties regarding the measurement of the risk's potential financial impact, in line with the assumptions applied; furthermore, it was established that the result obtained fell below the financial threshold assessed on the basis of revenue. Given these uncertainties, no significant change in the book values of the assets included in the financial statements is anticipated for the next reporting period. Furthermore, the Company has no investment or divestment plans relating to this risk.

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Compliance, monitoring and targets

☑ Improve monitoring of direct operations

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

The potential impacts of drought caused by water stress on HEKTAŞ's financial position, performance and cash flows have been assessed in the short, medium and long term, taking into account the financial threshold value determined on the basis of revenue. It has been anticipated that HEKTAŞ's customers, affected by drought caused by water stress, may experience rising product costs and a decline in purchasing power, which could impact the Company's sales. However, it has been assessed that the assumptions used in calculating the projected effects give rise to measurement uncertainties and that the calculation fell below the financial threshold value. For this reason, HEKTAŞ discloses its assessments regarding the impact of drought caused by water stress on revenue as qualitative information, in line with the resources at its disposal. In addition, the potential impacts of water stress on operational processes, as well as any increase in water costs that may arise, and their potential effects on the Company's revenues and operational profitability have been assessed. As a result of the analysis, it was determined that the financial impact of the aforementioned risk remained below the financial threshold value. Taking into account the anticipated effects of the water stress risk identified by HEKTAŞ in relation to climate change at various stages of the value chain, as well as measurement uncertainties, no significant change is expected in the carrying amounts of the assets and liabilities presented in the financial statements in the next financial statements. Furthermore, the Company has no plans to acquire or dispose of assets in relation to the risk in question.

Water

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☑ Risk1

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Chronic physical

☑ Water stress

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☑ Türkiye

(3.1.1.7) River basin where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☑ Sakarya

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

HEKTAŞ has identified a chronic physical risk driven by drought linked to water stress, assessed across both direct operations and the value chain. Downstream, intensifying drought could reduce customers' agricultural yield, raising produce costs and weakening purchasing power, thereby affecting revenue through lower demand. In direct operations, higher water stress at facility locations could restrict water access, with implications for operational continuity and unit water costs. Production sites in Kocaeli, Bursa, Ankara, Adana, Antalya and Niğde were analysed using WRI Aqueduct projections for the base year, 2030 and 2050. Sales cities were assessed with the same source: 19 fall into the "very high" and 16 into the "high" water stress category. Based on year-end sales volume, the revenue impact in very-high-stress regions stayed below the financial threshold. A business-specific scenario analysis used 2025 as the base year, calculating water stress indicators for 2030 and 2050 under low- and high-emission scenarios, combined with revenue, annual water consumption and unit water price. Revenue modelling drew on NGFS GDP data for Türkiye, linking GDP change to water stress change at facilities; additional water cost impacts were also calculated. Downstream demand effects

were considered qualitatively but excluded from the quantitative analysis given uncertainty in agricultural and customer-behaviour parameters, creating measurement uncertainty.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

☑ Increased direct costs

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ Virtually certain

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ High

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

The financial impact of the risk has been analysed within the framework of WRI–Aqueduct's optimistic and pessimistic scenarios for the years 2030 and 2050. As a result of the assessments carried out, it was determined that there are uncertainties regarding the measurement of the risk's potential financial impact, in line with the assumptions applied; furthermore, it was established that the result obtained fell below the financial threshold assessed on the basis of revenue. Given these uncertainties, no significant change in the book values of the assets included in the financial statements is anticipated for the next reporting period. Furthermore, the Company has no investment or divestment plans relating to this risk.

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Compliance, monitoring and targets

☑ Improve monitoring of direct operations

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

The potential impacts of drought caused by water stress on HEKTAŞ's financial position, performance and cash flows have been assessed in the short, medium and long term, taking into account the financial threshold value determined on the basis of revenue. It has been anticipated that HEKTAŞ's customers, affected by drought caused by water stress, may experience rising product costs and a decline in purchasing power, which could impact the Company's sales. However, it has been assessed that the assumptions used in calculating the projected effects give rise to measurement uncertainties and that the calculation fell below the financial threshold value. For this reason, HEKTAŞ discloses its assessments regarding the impact of drought caused by water stress on revenue as qualitative information, in line with the resources at its disposal. In addition, the potential impacts of water stress on operational processes, as well as any increase in water costs that may arise, and their potential effects on the Company's revenues and operational profitability have been assessed. As a result of the analysis, it was determined that the financial impact of the aforementioned risk remained below the financial threshold value. Taking into account the anticipated effects of the water stress risk identified by HEKTAŞ in relation to climate change at various stages of the value chain, as well as measurement uncertainties, no significant change is expected in the carrying amounts of the assets and liabilities presented in the financial statements in the next financial statements. Furthermore, the Company has no plans to acquire or dispose of assets in relation to the risk in question.
[Add row]

(3.1.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics from the reporting year that are vulnerable to the substantive effects of environmental risks.

Climate change

(3.1.2.1) Financial metric

Select from:

☑ Revenue

(3.1.2.3) % of total financial metric vulnerable to transition risks for this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Less than 1%

(3.1.2.5) % of total financial metric vulnerable to physical risks for this environmental issue

Select from:
☑ Less than 1%

Water

(3.1.2.1) Financial metric

Select from:
☑ Revenue

(3.1.2.3) % of total financial metric vulnerable to transition risks for this environmental issue

Select from:
☑ Less than 1%

(3.1.2.5) % of total financial metric vulnerable to physical risks for this environmental issue

Select from:
☑ Less than 1%

(3.2) Within each river basin, how many facilities are exposed to substantive effects of water-related risks, and what percentage of your total number of facilities does this represent?

Row 1

(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

Türkiye
☑ Sakarya

(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

Select all that apply

☑ Direct operations

☑ Upstream value chain

(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

2

(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:

☑ Less than 1%

(3.2.6) Number of facilities in upstream value chain exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

2

(3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:

☑ Less than 1%

Row 2

(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

Türkiye

☑ Other, please specify :Marmara

(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

Select all that apply

☑ Direct operations

☑ Downstream value chain

(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

2

(3.2.4) % of your organization’s total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:

☑ Less than 1%

(3.2.5) Number of facilities within downstream value chain exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

2

(3.2.10) % organization’s total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:

☑ Less than 1%

Row 3

(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

Türkiye

☑ Other, please specify :Adana

(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

Select all that apply

☑ Direct operations

☑ Downstream value chain

(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

1

(3.2.4) % of your organization’s total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:

☑ Less than 1%

(3.2.5) Number of facilities within downstream value chain exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

1

(3.2.10) % organization’s total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:

☑ Less than 1%

Row 4

(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

Türkiye

☑ Other, please specify :Antalya

(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

Select all that apply

☑ Direct operations

☑ Downstream value chain

(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

1

(3.2.4) % of your organization’s total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:

☑ Less than 1%

(3.2.5) Number of facilities within downstream value chain exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

1

(3.2.10) % organization’s total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:
☑ Less than 1%

(3.3) In the reporting year, was your organization subject to any fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties for water-related regulatory violations?

(3.3.1) Water-related regulatory violations

Select from:
☑ No

(3.3.3) Comment

During the reporting year, HEKTAŞ was not subject to any fines, enforcement orders, or other penalties associated with water-related regulatory violations. Water-related compliance is managed through the Company's ISO 14001-certified Environmental Management System and Integrated Management Systems Policy. All wastewater is discharged to a third-party (municipal sewer) receiving environment, and discharge quality is monitored against the conditions of each facility's environmental permits and licenses and the Turkish Water Pollution Control Regulation. Compliance is overseen by the Environmental Unit together with the Sustainability and Integrated Management Systems Directorate, ensuring continuous conformance with applicable water-related legislation.
[Fixed row]

(3.5) Are any of your operations or activities regulated by a carbon pricing system (i.e. ETS, Cap & Trade or Carbon Tax)?

Select from:
☑ No, but we anticipate being regulated in the next three years

(3.5.4) What is your strategy for complying with the systems you are regulated by or anticipate being regulated by?

HEKTAŞ is not subject to a binding carbon pricing system during the reporting period; consequently, no allowances were purchased, no carbon credits were acquired, and no internal carbon pricing mechanism was utilised. However, the transition of the Turkish Emissions Trading System (TR-ETS) – established by Climate Law No. 7552, which came into force on 9 July 2025 – to the 2026–2027 pilot phase, and the anticipated expansion of its scope during the 2028–2035 period to include facilities operating under Annex -1 activity categories and facilities emitting more than 50,000 tonnes of CO_2 equivalent per year, is regarded by HEKTAŞ as a regulatory development being closely monitored. In 2025, the total Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions of HEKTAŞ and its subsidiaries amounted to 11,082.40 tCO_2e, with facility-level emission levels remaining below the system's inclusion threshold. However, as chemical production and fertiliser production activities fall within the system's scope of expansion, the likelihood of their inclusion within the scope is continuously reassessed as part of short- and medium-term regulatory monitoring. Legal processes are monitored regularly via the Legal Compliance Checklist and up-to-date regulatory bulletins; developments in secondary legislation are assessed by the Directorate of Sustainability and Integrated Management Systems and reported to the Sustainability Committee. The primary component of the adaptation strategy is the reduction of emissions at source, rather than through the allocation or purchase of carbon credits. In line with this, the monitoring, reporting and verification (MRV) infrastructure has been established in advance; the greenhouse gas inventory is calculated and verified by an independent third party from the base year 2024 onwards, in accordance with the ISO 14064-1 standard and the Greenhouse Gas Protocol methodology, based on an operational control approach. Consequently, the necessary data infrastructure is being put in place to fulfil the obligations under the TR-ETS, such as the monitoring plan, methodology plan and annual emissions report. On the energy efficiency front, ISO 50001 Energy Management System certification has been completed for the Gebze Production Plant, a facility exceeding 1,000 TEP; work is continuing in the medium term on the transition to energy-efficient equipment, the roll-out of carbon footprint verification projects and the monitoring of Scope 3 emissions. Emissions performance is monitored not only in absolute terms but also in terms of intensity; the emissions intensity per unit of production for 2025 has been calculated as 0.755 tCO_2e/tonne of product. Given the TR-ETS's emissions-intensity-based cap and benchmark approach, improving this indicator is at the heart of the compliance strategy. With a view to reducing Scope 2 emissions arising from purchased electricity—which stood at 4,932.31 tCO_2e in 2025—the feasibility of renewable energy sources is being assessed as part of long-term targets. This assessment covers supply options based on renewable energy certificates, such as I-REC and YEK-G, as well as equivalent investment alternatives; the procurement of certified green electricity is considered one of the primary tools for limiting compliance costs should carbon pricing be introduced. In terms of long-term regulatory risk management, HEKTAŞ aims to define a reduction pathway consistent with Turkey's 2053 Net Zero Emissions Target; climate-related risks and opportunities are analysed in accordance with COSO ERM and TCFD methodologies and brought to the management agenda via the Sustainability Committee and, where deemed necessary, the Early Risk Detection Committee. Specific sustainability and climate-related performance indicators have been integrated into senior management's performance appraisal and bonus-based remuneration mechanisms. Although an internal carbon price is not currently in place, the establishment of an internal carbon pricing mechanism and the use of carbon credits as a complementary element of the emissions reduction strategy will be considered should the TR-ETS enter its implementation phase or should HEKTAŞ facilities fall within its scope.

(3.6) Have you identified any environmental opportunities which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?

	Environmental opportunities identified
Climate change	*Select from:* ☑ Yes, we have identified opportunities, and some/all are being realized
Water	*Select from:* ☑ Yes, we have identified opportunities, and some/all are being realized

[Fixed row]

(3.6.1) Provide details of the environmental opportunities identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:
☑ Opp1

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Resource efficiency
☑ Reduced water usage and consumption

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

☑ Türkiye

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

The opportunity lies in achieving resource efficiency by reducing the amount of water used in production processes, against a backdrop of increasing drought and water stress caused by climate change. The trend towards water stress in Turkey means that, for HEKTAŞ-which operates within the agricultural value chain-water has become a critical input both at its production facilities and in the agricultural areas where its products are used. Consequently, reducing process water consumption and the volume of water discharged is regarded as an opportunity that generates direct financial benefits, both in terms of lowering operational costs and maintaining production continuity under water-constrained scenarios. This opportunity has been addressed through an approach encompassing HEKTAŞ's associated companies, as reflected in its consolidated financial statements, and the activities carried out throughout the value chain, taking into account short-term (0–1 year), medium-term (2–5 years) and long-term (6 years and over) time horizons in line with the strategic planning framework. This opportunity is directly linked to the water stress risk reported in section 3.1.1. Within the scope of this risk, the impact of drought caused by water stress on producers, as well as its impact on HEKTAŞ's own operations, has been assessed; qualitative and quantitative analyses have demonstrated that the financial impact of the risk is concentrated on direct operations and downstream activities within

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

☑ Reduced direct costs

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ The opportunity has already had a substantive effect on our organization in the reporting year

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ High

Water

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

☑ Opp1

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Resource efficiency

☑ Reduced water usage and consumption

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

☑ Türkiye

(3.6.1.6) River basin where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

☑ Other, please specify :Marmara

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

The opportunity lies in reducing water abstraction and the associated operating costs by improving water efficiency in production processes and reducing the volume of water discharged. HEKTAŞ's production activities consist of formulation lines requiring intensive washing during product changeovers, as well as water-intensive processes such as cooling and steam generation; these processes necessitate the management of water on both the input and discharge sides. In Turkey, where water stress trends are pronounced, process water efficiency represents an opportunity for HEKTAŞ – as a producer supplying inputs to the agricultural value chain – to strengthen its resilience against potential constraints in water supply whilst also generating direct cost savings. This opportunity is being assessed to cover both direct operations and downstream activities, within the framework of short-term (0–1 year), medium-term (2–5 years) and long-term (6 years and over) time horizons. Compared with the previous reporting period, the assessment has taken into account not only the impact of drought caused by water stress on producers, but also its impact on HEKTAŞ's operations; it has been determined that the financial impact of the risk is concentrated directly on operations and downstream activities. Measures to reduce water consumption and discharge directly mitigate the operational impact of this risk; reducing the volume of water drawn per unit of production ensures that production capacity

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

☑ Reduced direct costs

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ The opportunity has already had a substantive effect on our organization in the reporting year

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ High

(3.6.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics in the reporting year that are aligned with the substantive effects of environmental opportunities.

Climate change

(3.6.2.1) Financial metric

Select from:

☑ Revenue

(3.6.2.3) % of total financial metric aligned with opportunities for this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Less than 1%

Water

(3.6.2.1) Financial metric

Select from:

☑ Revenue

(3.6.2.3) % of total financial metric aligned with opportunities for this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Less than 1%

C4. Governance

(4.1) Does your organization have a board of directors or an equivalent governing body?

(4.1.1) Board of directors or equivalent governing body

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.1.2) Frequency with which the board or equivalent meets

Select from:

☑ Quarterly

(4.1.3) Types of directors your board or equivalent is comprised of

Select all that apply

☑ Independent non-executive directors or equivalent

(4.1.4) Board diversity and inclusion policy

Select from:

☑ Yes, and it is publicly available

(4.1.5) Briefly describe what the policy covers

HEKTAŞ's diversity, equity and inclusion commitments are set out in its publicly available HR Regulation and Code of Ethics & Working Principles, which apply to all levels of the organization, including the Board and senior management. The policy prohibits discrimination on the basis of ethnic origin, gender, age, religion, language, disability or socio-economic background across all HR processes – recruitment, promotion, remuneration and development – and requires that opportunities be allocated solely on knowledge, skills and competencies. It adopts an equal pay for equal work principle, managed through a performance-based remuneration and bonus system. Zero tolerance is applied to harassment and mobbing, forced labour and child labour; freedom of association and collective organization rights are recognized, and secure, participatory grievance and feedback channels are provided. The policy is supported by parental-leave and childcare/education assistance practices (including 12-month post-return employment continuity for returning mothers) and by inclusion of disabled employees. Subcontractor and supplier employees are covered by the same standards under the Work Permit Procedure.

(4.1.6) Attach the policy (optional)

hektas-sdr-2024-v7-tr.pdf
[Fixed row]

(4.1.1) Is there board-level oversight of environmental issues within your organization?

	Board-level oversight of this environmental issue
Climate change	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Water	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Biodiversity	*Select from:* ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(4.1.2) Identify the positions (do not include any names) of the individuals or committees on the board with accountability for environmental issues and provide details of the board's oversight of environmental issues.

Climate change

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply
☑ Director on board
☑ Chief Executive Officer (CEO)
☑ Board-level committee

(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Board Terms of Reference

☑ Board mandate

☑ Individual role descriptions

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

☑ Scheduled agenda item in some board meetings – at least annually

(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

☑ Reviewing and guiding annual budgets

☑ Overseeing and guiding scenario analysis

☑ Overseeing the setting of corporate targets

☑ Monitoring progress towards corporate targets

☑ Overseeing and guiding value chain engagement

☑ Monitoring the implementation of the business strategy

☑ Overseeing reporting, audit, and verification processes

☑ Monitoring the implementation of a climate transition plan

☑ Overseeing and guiding the development of a business strategy

☑ Overseeing and guiding acquisitions, mergers, and divestitures

☑ Monitoring supplier compliance with organizational requirements

☑ Monitoring compliance with corporate policies and/or commitments

☑ Approving corporate policies and/or commitments

☑ Overseeing and guiding public policy engagement

☑ Reviewing and guiding innovation/R&D priorities

☑ Approving and/or overseeing employee incentives

☑ Overseeing and guiding major capital expenditures

☑ Overseeing and guiding the development of a climate transition plan

☑ Reviewing and guiding the assessment process for dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(4.1.2.7) Please explain

Climate-related accountability and oversight are embedded in HEKTAŞ's governance structure through the Board of Directors, Board Committees and senior management roles. The Board of Directors has ultimate oversight of sustainability and climate-related matters and ensures that these issues are integrated into strategy, risk management, performance monitoring and reporting processes. The Sustainability Committee supports the Board's strategic oversight by monitoring and evaluating sustainability- and climate-related risks and opportunities and following related actions, while the Early Detection of Risk Committee supports the assessment of risks from an enterprise risk management perspective. Climate-related matters are integrated into scenario analysis, risk and opportunity assessment, reporting processes, policy and commitment oversight, target setting, progress monitoring, business strategy development and implementation. Sustainability- and climate-related KPIs are also considered in senior management performance evaluation and incentive mechanisms. These governance processes support Board-level oversight of climate-related risks, opportunities, targets and actions across HEKTAŞ's operations and value chain.

Water

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Director on board

☑ Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

☑ Board-level committee

(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Board Terms of Reference

☑ Board mandate

☑ Individual role descriptions

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

☑ Scheduled agenda item in some board meetings – at least annually

(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

☑ Reviewing and guiding annual budgets
☑ Overseeing and guiding scenario analysis
☑ Overseeing the setting of corporate targets
☑ Monitoring progress towards corporate targets
☑ Overseeing and guiding value chain engagement
☑ Monitoring the implementation of the business strategy
☑ Overseeing reporting, audit, and verification processes
☑ Monitoring the implementation of a climate transition plan
☑ Overseeing and guiding the development of a business strategy
☑ Overseeing and guiding acquisitions, mergers, and divestitures
☑ Monitoring supplier compliance with organizational requirements
☑ Monitoring compliance with corporate policies and/or commitments
☑ Overseeing and guiding the development of a climate transition plan
☑ Reviewing and guiding the assessment process for dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
☑ Approving corporate policies and/or commitments
☑ Overseeing and guiding public policy engagement
☑ Reviewing and guiding innovation/R&D priorities
☑ Approving and/or overseeing employee incentives
☑ Overseeing and guiding major capital expenditures

(4.1.2.7) Please explain

Water-related oversight is addressed through HEKTAŞ's sustainability and climate governance structure, as water stress is identified and assessed as a climate-related physical risk. The Board of Directors has ultimate oversight of sustainability and climate-related matters, while the Sustainability Committee and the Early Detection of Risk Committee support the monitoring, assessment and reporting of relevant risks and opportunities. Water-related issues are integrated into risk and opportunity assessment, scenario analysis, reporting processes, policy and commitment oversight, target setting, progress monitoring, business strategy development and implementation. Water stress scenarios and water-related performance are used to support strategic planning, water management improvements and resilience-related actions. Sustainability- and climate-related KPIs, including climate metrics, are considered in senior management performance evaluation and incentive mechanisms. These processes enable Board-level oversight of water-related risks and actions as part of HEKTAŞ's broader sustainability and climate governance framework.

Biodiversity

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Director on board

☑ Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

☑ Board-level committee

(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Board Terms of Reference

☑ Board mandate

☑ Individual role descriptions

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

☑ Scheduled agenda item in some board meetings – at least annually

(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

☑ Reviewing and guiding annual budgets

☑ Overseeing and guiding scenario analysis

☑ Overseeing the setting of corporate targets

☑ Monitoring progress towards corporate targets

☑ Approving corporate policies and/or commitments

☑ Overseeing and guiding public policy engagement

☑ Reviewing and guiding innovation/R&D priorities

☑ Approving and/or overseeing employee incentives

☑ Overseeing and guiding value chain engagement
☑ Overseeing and guiding major capital expenditures
☑ Monitoring the implementation of the business strategy
☑ Overseeing reporting, audit, and verification processes
☑ Monitoring the implementation of a climate transition plan
☑ Overseeing and guiding the development of a business strategy
☑ Overseeing and guiding acquisitions, mergers, and divestitures
☑ Monitoring supplier compliance with organizational requirements
☑ Monitoring compliance with corporate policies and/or commitments
☑ Overseeing and guiding the development of a climate transition plan
☑ Reviewing and guiding the assessment process for dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(4.1.2.7) Please explain

Biodiversity-related accountability and oversight are embedded in HEKTAŞ's governance structure through the Board of Directors, Board Committees and senior management roles. The Board of Directors has ultimate oversight of sustainability and biodiversity-related matters and ensures that these issues are integrated into strategy, risk management, performance monitoring and reporting processes. The Sustainability Committee supports the Board's strategic oversight by monitoring and evaluating biodiversity- and nature-related risks, impacts, dependencies and opportunities and following related actions, while the Early Detection of Risk Committee supports the assessment of these risks from an enterprise risk management perspective. Biodiversity-related matters are integrated into risk and impact assessment, land use and operational siting considerations, product stewardship and responsible agricultural practices, reporting processes, policy and commitment oversight, target setting, progress monitoring, and business strategy development and implementation. Sustainability-related KPIs are also considered in senior management performance evaluation and incentive mechanisms. These governance processes support Board-level oversight of biodiversity-related risks, impacts, targets and actions across HEKTAŞ's operations and value chain.
[Fixed row]

(4.2) Does your organization’s board have competency on environmental issues?

Climate change

(4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue

Select from:
☑ Yes

(4.2.2) Mechanisms to maintain an environmentally competent board

Select all that apply

☑ Consulting regularly with an internal, permanent, subject-expert working group

☑ Engaging regularly with external stakeholders and experts on environmental issues

☑ Integrating knowledge of environmental issues into board nominating process

☑ Regular training for directors on environmental issues, industry best practice, and standards (e.g., TCFD, SBTi)

☑ Having at least one board member with expertise on this environmental issue

(4.2.3) Environmental expertise of the board member

Academic

☑ Undergraduate education (e.g., BSc/BA in environment and sustainability, climate science, environmental science, water resources management, environmental engineering, forestry, etc.), please specify :Agricultural engineering

Experience

☑ Executive-level experience in a role focused on environmental issues

☑ Management-level experience in a role focused on environmental issues

Water

(4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.2.2) Mechanisms to maintain an environmentally competent board

Select all that apply

☑ Consulting regularly with an internal, permanent, subject-expert working group

☑ Engaging regularly with external stakeholders and experts on environmental issues

☑ Integrating knowledge of environmental issues into board nominating process

☑ Regular training for directors on environmental issues, industry best practice, and standards (e.g., TCFD, SBTi)
☑ Having at least one board member with expertise on this environmental issue

(4.2.3) Environmental expertise of the board member

Academic
☑ Undergraduate education (e.g., BSc/BA in environment and sustainability, climate science, environmental science, water resources management, environmental engineering, forestry, etc.), please specify :Agricultural engineering

Experience
☑ Executive-level experience in a role focused on environmental issues
☑ Management-level experience in a role focused on environmental issues

[Fixed row]

(4.3) Is there management-level responsibility for environmental issues within your organization?

	Management-level responsibility for this environmental issue
Climate change	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Water	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Biodiversity	*Select from:* ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(4.3.1) Provide the highest senior management-level positions or committees with responsibility for environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).

Climate change

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

☑ Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

☑ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

☑ Assessing future trends in environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

☑ Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Engagement

☑ Managing engagement in landscapes and/or jurisdictions

☑ Managing public policy engagement related to environmental issues

☑ Managing supplier compliance with environmental requirements

☑ Managing value chain engagement related to environmental issues

Policies, commitments, and targets

☑ Monitoring compliance with corporate environmental policies and/or commitments

☑ Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets

☑ Measuring progress towards environmental science-based targets

☑ Setting corporate environmental policies and/or commitments

☑ Setting corporate environmental targets

Strategy and financial planning

☑ Developing a climate transition plan

☑ Implementing a climate transition plan
☑ Conducting environmental scenario analysis
☑ Managing annual budgets related to environmental issues
☑ Implementing the business strategy related to environmental issues
☑ Developing a business strategy which considers environmental issues
☑ Managing environmental reporting, audit, and verification processes
☑ Managing acquisitions, mergers, and divestitures related to environmental issues
☑ Managing major capital and/or operational expenditures relating to environmental issues
☑ Managing priorities related to innovation/low-environmental impact products or services (including R&D)

Other
☑ Providing employee incentives related to environmental performance

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:
☑ Reports to the board directly

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:
☑ More frequently than quarterly

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

The General Manager is the highest senior management-level body responsible for climate-related matters. General Manager plays a central role in monitoring, assessing and managing climate-related risks and opportunities. General Manager supports the development and implementation of the Company's sustainability strategy, oversees climate-related targets and performance monitoring processes, evaluates climate-related risks and opportunities, reviews scenario analysis outcomes and follows the implementation of related actions. Climate-related reporting processes and sustainability performance indicators are also monitored through the Sustainability Committee's governance framework. The General Manager reports its assessments and recommendations to the Board of Directors and supports the integration of climate-related considerations into business strategy, risk management and decision-making processes. Sustainability and climate-related KPIs are incorporated into senior management performance evaluation and incentive mechanisms.

Water

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

☑ Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

☑ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

☑ Assessing future trends in environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

☑ Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Engagement

☑ Managing engagement in landscapes and/or jurisdictions

☑ Managing public policy engagement related to environmental issues

☑ Managing supplier compliance with environmental requirements

☑ Managing value chain engagement related to environmental issues

Policies, commitments, and targets

☑ Monitoring compliance with corporate environmental policies and/or commitments

☑ Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets

☑ Measuring progress towards environmental science-based targets

☑ Setting corporate environmental policies and/or commitments

☑ Setting corporate environmental targets

Strategy and financial planning

☑ Developing a climate transition plan

☑ Implementing a climate transition plan

☑ Conducting environmental scenario analysis

☑ Managing annual budgets related to environmental issues

☑ Implementing the business strategy related to environmental issues
☑ Developing a business strategy which considers environmental issues
☑ Managing environmental reporting, audit, and verification processes
☑ Managing acquisitions, mergers, and divestitures related to environmental issues
☑ Managing major capital and/or operational expenditures relating to environmental issues
☑ Managing priorities related to innovation/low-environmental impact products or services (including R&D)

Other
☑ Providing employee incentives related to environmental performance

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:
☑ Reports to the board directly

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:
☑ More frequently than quarterly

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

The General Manager is the highest senior management-level body responsible for water-related matters. The General Manager monitors, assesses and manages water-related risks and opportunities as part of the Company's broader sustainability and climate governance framework. Water-related issues, including water stress risks, are evaluated through risk assessment and scenario analysis processes and are integrated into sustainability strategy, target setting, performance monitoring and implementation activities. The General Manager oversees progress related to water management initiatives, reviews relevant performance indicators and supports the incorporation of water-related considerations into strategic planning and operational decision-making. Findings and recommendations are reported to the Board of Directors through established governance and reporting processes. Sustainability-related KPIs, including climate- and environment-related performance indicators, are reflected in senior management performance evaluation and incentive mechanisms.

Biodiversity

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

☑ Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Engagement

☑ Managing value chain engagement related to environmental issues

Policies, commitments, and targets

☑ Setting corporate environmental policies and/or commitments

☑ Setting corporate environmental targets

Other

☑ Providing employee incentives related to environmental performance

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

☑ Reports to the board directly

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

☑ More frequently than quarterly

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

The General Manager is the highest senior management-level body responsible for biodiversity-related matters. The General Manager oversees progress related to water management initiatives, reviews relevant performance indicators and supports the incorporation of biodiversity-related considerations into strategic planning and operational decision-making. Findings and recommendations are reported to the Board of Directors through established governance and reporting processes. Sustainability-related KPIs, including climate- and environment-related performance indicators, are reflected in senior management performance evaluation and incentive mechanisms.
[Add row]

(4.5) Do you provide monetary incentives for the management of environmental issues, including the attainment of targets?

Climate change

(4.5.1) Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.5.2) % of total C-suite and board-level monetary incentives linked to the management of this environmental issue

10

(4.5.3) Please explain

HEKTAŞ integrates sustainability- and climate-related key performance indicators (KPIs) into senior management performance evaluation processes. Climate-related metrics are considered within bonus-based remuneration mechanisms and sustainability targets influence year-end performance assessments and incentive-based compensation. This approach aims to support accountability for climate-related objectives and the implementation of the Company's sustainability strategy.

Water

(4.5.1) Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.5.2) % of total C-suite and board-level monetary incentives linked to the management of this environmental issue

10

(4.5.3) Please explain

HEKTAŞ integrates sustainability-related key performance indicators (KPIs), including climate- and environment-related performance metrics, into senior management performance evaluation processes. Sustainability targets are considered within bonus-based remuneration mechanisms and influence year-end

performance assessments and incentive-based compensation. This approach supports accountability for the management of environmental issues, including water-related risks and performance.
[Fixed row]

(4.5.1) Provide further details on the monetary incentives provided for the management of environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).

Climate change

(4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Board or executive level

☑ Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

☑ Bonus - % of salary

(4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Targets

☑ Progress towards environmental targets

☑ Achievement of environmental targets

Strategy and financial planning

☑ Board approval of climate transition plan

☑ Achievement of climate transition plan

☑ Increased investment in environmental R&D and innovation

Emission reduction

☑ Implementation of an emissions reduction initiative

(4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

☑ Both Short-Term and Long-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent

(4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

The Chief Executive Officer is eligible for a short-term incentive linked to sustainability- and climate-related performance. Sustainability and climate-related KPIs are integrated into performance evaluation and bonus mechanisms, and year-end performance assessments are influenced by progress towards sustainability objectives. The incentive framework supports accountability for climate-related targets, emissions management initiatives, sustainability strategy implementation, and climate-related risk and opportunity management.

(4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

Sustainability- and climate-related KPIs are integrated into the Chief Executive Officer's performance evaluation and bonus-based remuneration process. Progress towards sustainability objectives, achievement of environmental targets, implementation of climate-related initiatives and sustainability-focused innovation activities are considered in year-end performance assessments. Linking climate-related performance metrics to incentives promotes accountability for the management of climate-related risks and opportunities and supports the implementation of the Company's sustainability strategy and climate-related objectives.

Water

(4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Board or executive level

☑ Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

☑ Bonus - % of salary

(4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Targets

☑ Progress towards environmental targets

☑ Achievement of environmental targets

Resource use and efficiency

☑ Reduction of water withdrawals – direct operations

☑ Reduction in water consumption volumes – direct operations

☑ Improvements in water efficiency – direct operations

(4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

☑ Both Short-Term and Long-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent

(4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

The Chief Executive Officer is eligible for a short-term incentive linked to sustainability-related performance. Sustainability KPIs are incorporated into performance evaluation and bonus mechanisms and support accountability for the management of environmental issues, including water-related risks and performance. The incentive framework encourages progress towards environmental targets, water management improvements, water efficiency initiatives and the integration of water-related considerations into decision-making and sustainability performance management processes.

(4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

Sustainability-related KPIs are integrated into the Chief Executive Officer's performance evaluation and bonus-based remuneration process. Progress towards environmental objectives, achievement of sustainability targets, water withdrawal reduction efforts and water efficiency improvement initiatives are considered in performance assessments. Linking environmental performance to incentives strengthens accountability for water-related risk management and supports the implementation of water management and sustainability objectives across the organization.
[Add row]

(4.6) Does your organization have an environmental policy that addresses environmental issues?

	Does your organization have any environmental policies?
	Select from: ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(4.6.1) Provide details of your environmental policies.

Row 1

(4.6.1.1) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

☑ Water

(4.6.1.2) Level of coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(4.6.1.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

☑ Direct operations

☑ Upstream value chain

☑ Downstream value chain

(4.6.1.4) Explain the coverage

The policy applies across HEKTAŞ's direct operations and extends to relevant upstream and downstream value chain activities. Within direct operations, the policy guides the management of climate change, water use, resource efficiency and environmental performance. Upstream coverage is supported through responsible purchasing practices, supplier evaluation processes and the integration of environmental considerations into supply chain management. Downstream coverage is reflected through the Company's sustainable products approach, consideration of climate-related impacts across the value chain, support for resilient agricultural production and the objective of providing customers with products and solutions that help manage environmental impacts more effectively.

(4.6.1.5) Environmental policy content

Environmental commitments

☑ Commitment to a circular economy strategy

☑ Commitment to comply with regulations and mandatory standards

☑ Commitment to take environmental action beyond regulatory compliance

☑ Commitment to stakeholder engagement and capacity building on environmental issues

Climate-specific commitments

☑ Commitment to 100% renewable energy

☑ Commitment to net-zero emissions

☑ Other climate-related commitment, please specify :Commitment to climate change adaptation, emissions management and climate resilience.

Water-specific commitments

☑ Commitment to reduce water consumption volumes

☑ Commitment to reduce water withdrawal volumes

☑ Commitment to control/reduce/eliminate water pollution

☑ Commitment to safely managed WASH in local communities

☑ Commitment to the conservation of freshwater ecosystems

☑ Commitment to water stewardship and/or collective action

Additional references/Descriptions

☑ Description of dependencies on natural resources and ecosystems

☑ Description of impacts on natural resources and ecosystems

☑ Description of environmental requirements for procurement

(4.6.1.6) Indicate whether your environmental policy is in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select all that apply

☑ Yes, in line with the Paris Agreement

☑ Yes, in line with Sustainable Development Goal 6 on Clean Water and Sanitation

(4.6.1.7) Public availability

Select from:

☑ Publicly available

(4.6.1.8) Attach the policy

pol01-hektas_sustainability-policy-rev00-en.pdf
[Add row]

(4.10) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?

(4.10.1) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.10.2) Collaborative framework or initiative

Select all that apply

☑ UN Global Compact

(4.10.3) Describe your organization’s role within each framework or initiative

HEKTAŞ is currently in the process of becoming a signatory of the UN Global Compact (UNGC). The internal preparation and approval process has been initiated, and the company is aligning its policies, management systems and reporting practices with the UNGC's Ten Principles, including those covering environmental responsibility, the precautionary approach to environmental challenges, promotion of greater environmental responsibility and the diffusion of environmentally friendly technologies. In parallel, HEKTAŞ already manages its environmental performance through its sustainability governance structure, environmental and climate-related policies, and public sustainability reporting. Following formal signature, HEKTAŞ intends to fulfil its commitments as a participant, including submission of an annual Communication on Progress (CoP) covering environmental performance, targets and progress, and to use the UNGC framework to further strengthen alignment of its sustainability strategy with internationally recognized principles.

[Fixed row]

(4.11) In the reporting year, did your organization engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment?

(4.11.1) External engagement activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Yes

☑ Yes, we engaged directly with policy makers

(4.11.2) Indicate whether your organization has a public commitment or position statement to conduct your engagement activities in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☑ Yes, we have a public commitment or position statement in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

(4.11.3) Global environmental treaties or policy goals in line with public commitment or position statement

Select all that apply

☑ Paris Agreement

☑ Sustainable Development Goal 6 on Clean Water and Sanitation

(4.11.4) Attach commitment or position statement

hektas-sdr-2024-v7-tr.pdf

(4.11.5) Indicate whether your organization is registered on a transparency register

Select from:

☑ Yes, my organization is registered

(4.11.6) Types of transparency register your organization is registered on

Select all that apply

☑ Voluntary government register

(4.11.7) Disclose the transparency registers on which your organization is registered & the relevant ID numbers for your organization

Hektaş Ticaret T.A.Ş. is registered with Türkiye's Central Registration System (MERSİS) under registration number 0461001589800013, supporting transparency and accountability through the country's official trade registry framework.

(4.11.8) Describe the process your organization has in place to ensure that your external engagement activities are consistent with your environmental commitments and/or transition plan

HEKTAŞ seeks to ensure that any external engagement activities are aligned with its sustainability strategy, environmental commitments and climate-related objectives. Sustainability and climate-related risks, opportunities, targets and actions are evaluated through the Company's governance framework, including oversight by the Board of Directors, the Sustainability Committee and the Early Detection of Risk Committee. Climate-related risks and opportunities are assessed using internationally recognized scenarios, including scenarios aligned with the objectives of the Paris Agreement, and the results are incorporated into strategic planning and decision-making processes. In addition, environmental considerations are embedded in responsible business practices, including responsible procurement, environmental risk management, resource efficiency, climate resilience and water stewardship initiatives. Through these governance and review processes, HEKTAŞ aims to maintain consistency between its external engagement activities, sustainability commitments and long-term environmental objectives. [Fixed row]

(4.11.1) On what policies, laws, or regulations that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment has your organization been engaging directly with policy makers in the reporting year?

Row 1

(4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers

Responsible® Program for Green Deal Compliance

(4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

☑ Water

(4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Other

☑ Climate transition plans

☑ Corporate environmental targets

☑ Sector-specific adaptation plans

☑ State / provincial / city adaptation plans

☑ International agreement related to climate change adaptation

☑ International agreement related to climate change mitigation

☑ International agreement relating to water- and/or forests-related issues

(4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

☑ National

(4.11.1.5) Country/area/region the policy, law, or regulation applies to

Select all that apply

☑ Turkey

(4.11.1.6) Your organization’s position on the policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

☑ Support with no exceptions

(4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation

Select all that apply

☑ Regular meetings

☑ Participation in voluntary government programs

(4.11.1.9) Funding figure your organization provided to policy makers in the reporting year relevant to this policy, law, or regulation (currency)

0

(4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement

Through its participation in the Responsible® Program for Green Deal Compliance, HEKTAŞ engages with sustainability experts and industry stakeholders to strengthen its understanding of evolving environmental regulations, climate-related requirements and sustainability expectations. The program supports the Company's alignment with climate-related objectives and emerging regulatory developments associated with the European Green Deal. The outcomes of this engagement contribute to the identification and management of climate-related risks and opportunities, including emissions management, resource efficiency, water stewardship and supply chain sustainability. HEKTAŞ evaluates such activities within its sustainability governance framework to ensure consistency with its environmental commitments, climate-related objectives and Paris Agreement-aligned scenario analysis approach.

(4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☑ Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

(4.11.1.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on this policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

☑ Paris Agreement

☑ Sustainable Development Goal 6 on Clean Water and Sanitation

Row 2

(4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers

Endüstriyel Atıksu Yönetimi Sempozyumu (TENAP 2026)

(4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

☑ Water

(4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Environmental impacts and pressures

☑ Hazardous substances

☑ Use of pesticides and agrochemicals

☑ Water availability

☑ Water pollution

(4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

☑ National

(4.11.1.5) Country/area/region the policy, law, or regulation applies to

Select all that apply

☑ Turkey

(4.11.1.6) Your organization’s position on the policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

☑ Support with no exceptions

(4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation

Select all that apply

☑ Discussion in public forums

(4.11.1.9) Funding figure your organization provided to policy makers in the reporting year relevant to this policy, law, or regulation (currency)

0

(4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement

Water management and wastewater treatment regulations are relevant to Hektaş's environmental commitments related to efficient water use, pollution prevention and protection of water resources. Through participation in sectoral platforms and technical symposiums addressing industrial wastewater management and circular water practices, Hektaş contributes to knowledge exchange and remains informed about emerging regulatory developments and best practices.

(4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization’s engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☑ Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

(4.11.1.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on this policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

☑ Paris Agreement

☑ Sustainable Development Goal 6 on Clean Water and Sanitation

[Add row]

(4.12) Have you published information about your organization’s response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.12.1) Provide details on the information published about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response. Please attach the publication.

Row 1

(4.12.1.1) Publication

Select from:

☑ In mainstream reports

(4.12.1.2) Is your publication in line with a standard or framework?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.12.1.3) Standard or framework the publication is in line with

Select all that apply

☑ GRI

(4.12.1.4) Environmental issues covered in publication

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

☑ Water

☑ Biodiversity

(4.12.1.5) Status of the publication

Select from:

☑ Underway - previous year attached

(4.12.1.6) Content elements

Select all that apply

☑ Strategy
☑ Governance
☑ Risks & Opportunities
☑ Water-related targets
☑ Value chain engagement
☑ Water pollution indicators
☑ Greenhouse gas emissions figures
☑ Content of environmental policies
☑ Dependencies & Impacts
☑ Biodiversity indicators
☑ Public policy engagement
☑ Climate-related targets
☑ Water accounting figures

(4.12.1.7) Page/section reference

70-87

(4.12.1.8) Attach the relevant publication

hektas-sdr-2024-v7-tr (1).pdf

(4.12.1.9) Comment

GRI aligned Sustainabilty Reporting

Row 2

(4.12.1.1) Publication

Select from:

☑ In mainstream reports

(4.12.1.2) Is your publication in line with a standard or framework?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.12.1.3) Standard or framework the publication is in line with

Select all that apply

☑ IFRS

☑ TCFD

☑ TNFD

(4.12.1.4) Environmental issues covered in publication

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

☑ Water

(4.12.1.5) Status of the publication

Select from:

☑ Complete

(4.12.1.6) Content elements

Select all that apply

☑ Strategy

☑ Governance

☑ Risks & Opportunities

☑ Water-related targets

☑ Value chain engagement

☑ Greenhouse gas emissions figures

☑ Content of environmental policies

☑ Dependencies & Impacts

☑ Biodiversity indicators

☑ Public policy engagement

☑ Climate-related targets

☑ Water accounting figures

(4.12.1.7) Page/section reference

1-26

(4.12.1.8) Attach the relevant publication

signed-hektas-tsrs-en.pdf

(4.12.1.9) Comment

Turkish Sustainability Reporting Standards (TSRS)
[Add row]

C5. Business strategy

(5.1) Does your organization use scenario analysis to identify environmental outcomes?

Climate change

(5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:
☑ Yes

(5.1.2) Frequency of analysis

Select from:
☑ Annually

Water

(5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:
☑ Yes

(5.1.2) Frequency of analysis

Select from:
☑ Annually
[Fixed row]

(5.1.1) Provide details of the scenarios used in your organization’s scenario analysis.

Climate change

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Physical climate scenarios

☑ RCP 2.6

(5.1.1.2) SSPs used in conjunction with scenario

Select from:

☑ SSP1

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

☑ Qualitative and quantitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

☑ Policy

☑ Market

☑ Liability

☑ Reputation

☑ Technology

☑ Acute physical

☑ Chronic physical

(5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

☑ 1.6ºC - 1.9ºC

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2025

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

☑ 2025
☑ 2030
☑ 2040
☑ 2050
☑ 2100

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

☑ Changes to the state of nature
☑ Number of ecosystems impacted
☑ Changes in ecosystem services provision
☑ Speed of change (to state of nature and/or ecosystem services)
☑ Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

Finance and insurance

☑ Cost of capital
☑ Sensitivity of capital (to nature impacts and dependencies)

Stakeholder and customer demands

☑ Consumer sentiment
☑ Consumer attention to impact
☑ Impact of nature footprint on reputation
☑ Impact of nature service delivery on consumer
☑ Sensitivity to inequity of nature impacts

Regulators, legal and policy regimes

☑ Global regulation

☑ Political impact of science (from galvanizing to paralyzing)

☑ Level of action (from local to global)

☑ Global targets

Relevant technology and science

☑ Granularity of available data (from aggregated to local)

☑ Data regime (from closed to open)

Direct interaction with climate

☑ On asset values, on the corporate

☑ Perception of efficacy of climate regime

Macro and microeconomy

☑ Domestic growth

☑ Globalizing markets

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

The analysis assumes a low-emission transition pathway aligned with more ambitious climate policies and lower greenhouse gas emissions. Key uncertainties relate to the pace of policy implementation, technological developments, market responses and future socioeconomic conditions. Results are subject to uncertainties associated with long-term climate projections and assumptions regarding future regulatory and economic developments.

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

Selected to assess climate-related risks and opportunities under a low-emission transition pathway aligned with more ambitious climate action and the objectives of the Paris Agreement. The scenario supports the evaluation of long-term business resilience under a lower-carbon future.

Water

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Water scenarios

☑ WRI Aqueduct

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

☑ Qualitative and quantitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

☑ Policy

☑ Market

☑ Liability

☑ Reputation

☑ Technology

☑ Acute physical

☑ Chronic physical

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2025

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

☑ 2025

☑ 2030

☑ 2040

☑ 2050

☑ 2100

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

☑ Changes to the state of nature

☑ Changes in ecosystem services provision

☑ Speed of change (to state of nature and/or ecosystem services)

☑ Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

Finance and insurance

☑ Cost of capital

☑ Sensitivity of capital (to nature impacts and dependencies)

Stakeholder and customer demands

☑ Consumer sentiment

☑ Consumer attention to impact

☑ Impact of nature footprint on reputation

☑ Impact of nature service delivery on consumer

☑ Sensitivity to inequity of nature impacts

Regulators, legal and policy regimes

☑ Global regulation

☑ Political impact of science (from galvanizing to paralyzing)

☑ Level of action (from local to global)

☑ Global targets

☑ Methodologies and expectations for science-based targets

Relevant technology and science

☑ Granularity of available data (from aggregated to local)

☑ Data regime (from closed to open)

Direct interaction with climate

☑ On asset values, on the corporate

☑ Perception of efficacy of climate regime

Macro and microeconomy

☑ Domestic growth

☑ Globalizing markets

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

The analysis relies on water stress projections generated through the WRI Aqueduct platform. Uncertainties are associated with future climate conditions, hydrological changes, socioeconomic developments and regional water management practices.

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

Selected to assess current and future water stress risks across production locations. The scenario supports the evaluation of water availability, water scarcity and operational resilience under different future hydrological conditions.

Climate change

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Physical climate scenarios

☑ RCP 8.5

(5.1.1.2) SSPs used in conjunction with scenario

Select from:

☑ SSP5

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

☑ Qualitative and quantitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

☑ Policy

☑ Market

☑ Liability

☑ Reputation

☑ Technology

☑ Acute physical

☑ Chronic physical

(5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

☑ 4.0°C and above

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2025

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

☑ 2025

☑ 2030

☑ 2100

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

☑ Changes to the state of nature

☑ Number of ecosystems impacted

☑ Changes in ecosystem services provision

☑ Speed of change (to state of nature and/or ecosystem services)

☑ Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

Finance and insurance

☑ Cost of capital

☑ Sensitivity of capital (to nature impacts and dependencies)

Stakeholder and customer demands

☑ Consumer sentiment

☑ Consumer attention to impact

☑ Impact of nature footprint on reputation

☑ Impact of nature service delivery on consumer

☑ Sensitivity to inequity of nature impacts

Regulators, legal and policy regimes

☑ Global regulation

☑ Political impact of science (from galvanizing to paralyzing)

☑ Level of action (from local to global)

☑ Global targets

☑ Methodologies and expectations for science-based targets

Relevant technology and science

☑ Granularity of available data (from aggregated to local)

☑ Data regime (from closed to open)

Direct interaction with climate

☑ On asset values, on the corporate

☑ Perception of efficacy of climate regime

Macro and microeconomy

☑ Domestic growth

☑ Globalizing markets

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

The analysis assumes a high-emission pathway characterized by continued fossil fuel dependence and limited climate policy intervention. Key uncertainties relate to future emissions trajectories, policy developments, technological change and market dynamics. Climate and financial impacts may vary depending on future regulatory, economic and environmental conditions.

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

Selected to assess the potential impacts of a high-emission future and to evaluate the Company's exposure to physical and transition-related climate risks under a more adverse climate scenario.

Water

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Physical climate scenarios

☑ RCP 2.6

(5.1.1.2) SSPs used in conjunction with scenario

Select from:

☑ SSP1

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

☑ Qualitative and quantitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

☑ Policy

☑ Market

☑ Liability

☑ Reputation

☑ Technology

☑ Acute physical

☑ Chronic physical

(5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

☑ 1.6ºC - 1.9ºC

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2025

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

☑ 2025

☑ 2030

☑ 2040

☑ 2050

☑ 2100

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

☑ Changes to the state of nature

☑ Number of ecosystems impacted

☑ Changes in ecosystem services provision

☑ Speed of change (to state of nature and/or ecosystem services)

☑ Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

Finance and insurance

☑ Cost of capital

☑ Sensitivity of capital (to nature impacts and dependencies)

Stakeholder and customer demands

☑ Consumer sentiment

☑ Consumer attention to impact

☑ Impact of nature footprint on reputation

☑ Impact of nature service delivery on consumer

☑ Sensitivity to inequity of nature impacts

Regulators, legal and policy regimes

☑ Global regulation

☑ Political impact of science (from galvanizing to paralyzing)

☑ Level of action (from local to global)

☑ Global targets

☑ Methodologies and expectations for science-based targets

Relevant technology and science

☑ Granularity of available data (from aggregated to local)

☑ Data regime (from closed to open)

Direct interaction with climate

☑ On asset values, on the corporate

☑ Perception of efficacy of climate regime

Macro and microeconomy

☑ Domestic growth

☑ Globalizing markets

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

The analysis assumes a lower-emission future with more effective climate mitigation actions. Uncertainties relate to future climate conditions, water availability, socioeconomic development pathways and regional water management outcomes.

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

Selected to assess water-related risks under a lower-emission and more sustainable development pathway. The scenario supports the evaluation of future water stress, water availability and operational resilience under an optimistic climate trajectory.

Water

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Physical climate scenarios

☑ RCP 8.5

(5.1.1.2) SSPs used in conjunction with scenario

Select from:

☑ SSP5

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

☑ Qualitative and quantitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

☑ Policy

☑ Market

☑ Liability

☑ Reputation

☑ Technology

☑ Acute physical

☑ Chronic physical

(5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

☑ 4.0°C and above

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2025

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

☑ 2025

☑ 2030

☑ 2040

☑ 2050

☑ 2100

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

☑ Changes to the state of nature

☑ Number of ecosystems impacted
☑ Changes in ecosystem services provision
☑ Speed of change (to state of nature and/or ecosystem services)
☑ Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

Finance and insurance
☑ Cost of capital
☑ Sensitivity of capital (to nature impacts and dependencies)

Stakeholder and customer demands
☑ Consumer sentiment
☑ Consumer attention to impact
☑ Impact of nature footprint on reputation
☑ Impact of nature service delivery on consumer
☑ Sensitivity to inequity of nature impacts

Regulators, legal and policy regimes
☑ Global regulation
☑ Political impact of science (from galvanizing to paralyzing)
☑ Level of action (from local to global)
☑ Global targets
☑ Methodologies and expectations for science-based targets

Relevant technology and science
☑ Granularity of available data (from aggregated to local)
☑ Data regime (from closed to open)

Direct interaction with climate
☑ On asset values, on the corporate
☑ Perception of efficacy of climate regime

Macro and microeconomy

☑ Domestic growth

☑ Globalizing markets

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

The analysis assumes a high-emission future with increasing pressures on water resources. Uncertainties relate to future climate conditions, regional hydrological changes, economic development, population growth and water demand patterns.

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

Selected to assess water-related risks under a high-emission pathway characterized by increased climate-related pressures on water resources. The scenario supports the evaluation of potential impacts of severe water stress on operations, resource availability and long-term resilience.

Climate change

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Climate transition scenarios

☑ IEA NZE 2050

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

☑ Qualitative and quantitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

☑ Policy
☑ Market
☑ Liability
☑ Reputation
☑ Technology
☑ Acute physical
☑ Chronic physical

(5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

☑ 1.5°C or lower

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2025

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

☑ 2025
☑ 2030
☑ 2040
☑ 2050
☑ 2100

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

☑ Changes to the state of nature
☑ Number of ecosystems impacted
☑ Changes in ecosystem services provision
☑ Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

Finance and insurance

☑ Cost of capital

☑ Sensitivity of capital (to nature impacts and dependencies)

Stakeholder and customer demands

☑ Consumer attention to impact

☑ Impact of nature footprint on reputation

Regulators, legal and policy regimes

☑ Global regulation

☑ Global targets

☑ Methodologies and expectations for science-based targets

Relevant technology and science

☑ Granularity of available data (from aggregated to local)

☑ Data regime (from closed to open)

Direct interaction with climate

☑ On asset values, on the corporate

Macro and microeconomy

☑ Domestic growth

☑ Globalizing markets

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

The analysis assumes a normative, ambitious low-emission transition pathway in which global energy-related CO_2 emissions reach net zero by 2050 and the global temperature rise is limited to 1.5°C with no or limited overshoot. Key assumptions include rapid deployment of renewable energy and electrification, significant improvements in energy efficiency, expanded carbon pricing and stricter environmental regulation, declining fossil fuel demand, and accelerated uptake of low-carbon technologies across industry and agriculture. Key uncertainties relate to the pace and consistency of policy implementation at national level, the commercial availability and cost of low-carbon technologies, energy price volatility, market and customer responses, raw material and input cost developments, and future socioeconomic conditions. Constraints include the normative (back-casting) nature of the scenario, its global and sectoral rather than company- or asset-specific resolution, limited granularity for Türkiye and for the agricultural inputs value chain, and the need to apply internal assumptions and expert judgement when translating scenario parameters into HEKTAŞ's operational and financial context.

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

Selected to assess climate-related transition risks and opportunities under a low-emission pathway aligned with the most ambitious climate action and the objectives of the Paris Agreement. As a widely recognized and publicly available reference scenario, IEA NZE 2050 enables comparability with peers and stakeholders and supports the evaluation of long-term business resilience under a lower-carbon future, including exposure to carbon pricing, energy cost and regulatory developments, as well as opportunities arising from low-carbon products, energy efficiency and sustainable agricultural solutions.

Climate change

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Climate transition scenarios

☑ IEA STEPS (previously IEA NPS)

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

☑ Qualitative and quantitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

☑ Policy

☑ Market

☑ Liability

☑ Reputation

☑ Technology

☑ Acute physical

☑ Chronic physical

(5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

☑ 2.5ºC - 2.9ºC

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2025

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

☑ 2025

☑ 2030

☑ 2040

☑ 2050

☑ 2100

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

☑ Changes to the state of nature

☑ Number of ecosystems impacted

☑ Changes in ecosystem services provision

☑ Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

Finance and insurance

☑ Cost of capital

☑ Sensitivity of capital (to nature impacts and dependencies)

Stakeholder and customer demands

☑ Consumer attention to impact

☑ Impact of nature footprint on reputation

Regulators, legal and policy regimes

☑ Global regulation

☑ Global targets

☑ Methodologies and expectations for science-based targets

Relevant technology and science

☑ Granularity of available data (from aggregated to local)

☑ Data regime (from closed to open)

Direct interaction with climate

☑ On asset values, on the corporate

Macro and microeconomy

☑ Domestic growth

☑ Globalizing markets

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

The analysis assumes a transition pathway based on currently adopted policies and announced policy measures only, without assuming that longer-term climate pledges are fully achieved. This results in a slower decarbonization trajectory, continued reliance on fossil fuels, more moderate carbon pricing and a global temperature outcome significantly above 2°C, with consequently higher exposure to physical climate risks. Key uncertainties relate to the extent and timing of policy implementation and enforcement, the speed of technological change and cost declines, energy and commodity price developments, market and consumer behaviour, and future socioeconomic and demographic conditions. Constraints include the scenario's global and sectoral level of aggregation, limited country-specific detail for Türkiye and for the agricultural sector, the fact that it is an exploratory rather than a normative pathway, and the limited resolution of physical climate variables, which requires supplementary assumptions and internal expert judgement when assessing site-level and value chain impacts.

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

Selected to assess climate-related risks and opportunities under a higher-emission, "business-as-usual" policy trajectory, providing a contrast to the ambitious NZE 2050 pathway. The scenario supports the evaluation of resilience against increasing physical climate risks — such as changing precipitation patterns, drought, extreme weather events and their effects on agricultural productivity and the value chain — while also reflecting a more gradual transition environment. Using this scenario alongside NZE 2050 enables HEKTAŞ to test its strategy across a range of plausible futures and to identify risks and opportunities that are robust under differing policy and climate outcomes.
[Add row]

(5.1.2) Provide details of the outcomes of your organization’s scenario analysis.

Climate change

(5.1.2.1) Business processes influenced by your analysis of the reported scenarios

Select all that apply

☑ Risk and opportunities identification, assessment and management

☑ Strategy and financial planning

☑ Resilience of business model and strategy

☑ Capacity building

☑ Target setting and transition planning

(5.1.2.2) Coverage of analysis

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(5.1.2.3) Summarize the influence of the scenario analysis on the selected business processes

Scenario analysis using NGFS, IPCC, SSP and IEA pathways identified climate-related risks and opportunities that may affect business performance, revenues and long-term value creation. The analysis supports risk assessment, strategic planning and the evaluation of climate resilience under both optimistic and pessimistic transition and physical climate scenarios. Results were used to strengthen climate-related decision-making and guide long-term business planning.

Water

(5.1.2.1) Business processes influenced by your analysis of the reported scenarios

Select all that apply

☑ Risk and opportunities identification, assessment and management

☑ Strategy and financial planning

☑ Resilience of business model and strategy

☑ Capacity building

☑ Target setting and transition planning

(5.1.2.2) Coverage of analysis

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(5.1.2.3) Summarize the influence of the scenario analysis on the selected business processes

Scenario analysis using NGFS, IPCC, SSP and IEA pathways identified climate-related risks and opportunities that may affect business performance, revenues and long-term value creation. The analysis supports risk assessment, strategic planning and the evaluation of climate resilience under both optimistic and pessimistic transition and physical climate scenarios. Results were used to strengthen climate-related decision-making and guide long-term business planning.
[Fixed row]

(5.2) Does your organization’s strategy include a climate transition plan?

(5.2.1) Transition plan

Select from:

☑ Yes, we have a climate transition plan which aligns with a 1.5°C world

(5.2.3) Publicly available climate transition plan

Select from:

☑ Yes

(5.2.4) Plan explicitly commits to cease all spending on, and revenue generation from, activities that contribute to fossil fuel expansion

Select from:

☑ No, and we do not plan to add an explicit commitment within the next two years

(5.2.6) Explain why your organization does not explicitly commit to cease all spending on and revenue generation from activities that contribute to fossil fuel expansion

HEKTAŞ's disclosed climate-related plan focuses on resource efficiency, emissions management, product life-cycle improvements, water footprint studies, energy efficiency and sustainability-related risk management. The disclosed plan does not include an explicit commitment to cease all spending on, or revenue generation from, activities that contribute to fossil fuel expansion.

(5.2.10) Mechanism by which feedback is collected from shareholders on your climate transition plan

Select from:

☑ We do not have a feedback mechanism in place, and we do not plan to introduce one within the next two years

(5.2.13) Description of key assumptions and dependencies on which the transition plan relies

The plan relies on the implementation of short-, medium- and long-term actions to reduce environmental impacts, improve resource efficiency, manage emissions and strengthen product life-cycle performance. Key dependencies include the availability of reliable emissions, water and energy data; implementation of energy efficiency measures; life-cycle assessment infrastructure; water footprint studies; supplier-related environmental risk management; and continued monitoring of climate-related risks and opportunities through the company's sustainability and risk management processes.

(5.2.14) Description of progress against transition plan disclosed in current or previous reporting period

HEKTAŞ's adaptation-related objectives focus on strengthening the Company's resilience to climate-related physical risks, particularly water stress and drought. The Company monitors climate-related risks, develops its data analysis infrastructure and aims to shape decision-making processes based on up-to-date climate and water-risk data. Water-related adaptation actions include water stress scenario analysis, ISO 14046 water footprint studies, monitoring of water consumption, improvement of water management performance and assessment of the potential impacts of water stress on operations, water costs and revenues. The Company also considers actions such as developing drought-resilient seed portfolio solutions to reduce exposure to changing climate conditions and support long-term business resilience.

(5.2.15) Attach any relevant documents which detail your climate transition plan (optional)

signed-hektas-tsrs-en.pdf

(5.2.16) Other environmental issues that your climate transition plan considers

Select all that apply

☑ Water

(5.2.17) Explain how the other environmental issues are considered in your climate transition plan

Water-related considerations are integrated into the climate transition plan through water stress risk assessments, water footprint studies and water management initiatives. HEKTAŞ evaluates the potential impacts of water stress on operations, water costs and revenues through scenario analysis, including WRI Aqueduct projections. The results support strategic planning, climate resilience, target setting and actions aimed at improving water management performance and reducing exposure to climate-related water risks.

(5.2.18) Adaptation-specific objectives included within your climate transition plan

Select from:

☑ Yes

(5.2.19) Describe the adaptation objectives included in your climate transition plan or standalone adaptation plan

HEKTAŞ's adaptation-related objectives focus on strengthening the Company's resilience to climate-related physical risks, particularly water stress and drought. The Company monitors climate-related risks, develops its data analysis infrastructure and aims to shape decision-making processes based on up-to-date climate and water-risk data. Water-related adaptation actions include water stress scenario analysis, ISO 14046 water footprint studies, monitoring of water consumption, improvement of water management performance and assessment of the potential impacts of water stress on operations, water costs and revenues. The Company also considers actions such as developing drought-resilient seed portfolio solutions to reduce exposure to changing climate conditions and support long-term business resilience.
[Fixed row]

(5.3) Have environmental risks and opportunities affected your strategy and/or financial planning?

(5.3.1) Environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy and/or financial planning

Select from:

☑ Yes, both strategy and financial planning

(5.3.2) Business areas where environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy

Select all that apply

☑ Products and services

☑ Upstream/downstream value chain

☑ Investment in R&D

☑ Operations
[Fixed row]

(5.3.1) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your strategy.

Products and services

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply
☑ Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply
☑ Climate change
☑ Water

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

Climate-related risks and changing environmental conditions have influenced product development activities. The Company considers the development of drought-resilient seed portfolio solutions as an opportunity and incorporates these considerations into its long-term strategy to support resilience under changing climate conditions.

Upstream/downstream value chain

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply
☑ Transition risks

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

Climate-related risks and opportunities are assessed across the value chain. Environmental and social risks have been integrated into supplier evaluation processes, and climate-related risks and opportunities are evaluated across suppliers, operations and downstream activities as part of the Company's sustainability and risk management approach.

Investment in R&D

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

☑ Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

☑ Water

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

Environmental considerations have influenced investments in lifecycle assessment studies, carbon footprint and water footprint initiatives, and product-related sustainability projects. These activities support the development of more sustainable products and strengthen long-term climate resilience.

Operations

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

☑ Physical risks

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

Water stress has been identified as a key physical climate risk that may affect operations, water costs and revenues. Scenario analyses using WRI Aqueduct projections have been incorporated into strategic planning, and actions including water footprint studies, water management improvements and climate resilience measures have been integrated into operational planning.
[Add row]

(5.3.2) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your financial planning.

Row 1

(5.3.2.1) Financial planning elements that have been affected

Select all that apply

☑ Revenues

(5.3.2.2) Effect type

Select all that apply

☑ Physical risks

(5.3.2.3) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected these financial planning elements

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(5.3.2.4) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected these financial planning elements

The Company has assessed the potential effects of water stress on revenues through scenario analysis. WRI Aqueduct optimistic and pessimistic scenarios, including 2030 and 2050 projections, were used to evaluate the potential financial implications of water stress and increased water costs on business performance.

Row 2

(5.3.2.1) Financial planning elements that have been affected

Select all that apply

☑ Capital allocation

(5.3.2.2) Effect type

Select all that apply

☑ Opportunities

(5.3.2.3) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected these financial planning elements

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

☑ Water

(5.3.2.4) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected these financial planning elements

Climate-related risks and opportunities are considered in strategic planning and target-setting processes. The Company allocates resources to initiatives including energy efficiency, water management, emissions monitoring, life-cycle assessment and sustainability-related improvement projects to support long-term resilience and sustainability objectives.

Row 3

(5.3.2.1) Financial planning elements that have been affected

Select all that apply

☑ Capital expenditures

(5.3.2.2) Effect type

Select all that apply

☑ Opportunities

(5.3.2.3) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected these financial planning elements

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

☑ Water

(5.3.2.4) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected these financial planning elements

Environmental considerations influence investments related to energy efficiency, ISO 50001 implementation, water footprint studies, carbon footprint projects, life-cycle assessment activities and sustainability-related infrastructure. These expenditures support long-term climate resilience and resource efficiency objectives.

Row 4

(5.3.2.1) Financial planning elements that have been affected

Select all that apply

☑ Direct costs

(5.3.2.2) Effect type

Select all that apply

☑ Physical risks

(5.3.2.3) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected these financial planning elements

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(5.3.2.4) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected these financial planning elements

Water stress and potential increases in unit water costs have been incorporated into financial impact assessments. The Company evaluates the potential effects of reduced water availability and increasing water costs on operational expenditures and financial performance.
[Add row]

(5.4) In your organization's financial accounting, do you identify spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's climate transition?

	Identification of spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's climate transition
	Select from: ☑ No, and we do not plan to in the next two years

[Fixed row]

(5.5) Does your organization invest in research and development (R&D) of low-carbon products or services related to your sector activities?

(5.5.1) Investment in low-carbon R&D

Select from:
☑ Yes

(5.5.2) Comment

Low-carbon and climate-related R&D activities include life-cycle assessment (LCA) studies, carbon footprint and water footprint initiatives, product formulation optimization, resource efficiency projects and the development of drought-resilient product solutions. The Company has also initiated studies to evaluate the environmental impacts of products and to strengthen sustainability-related product development and innovation activities. These initiatives support climate resilience, resource efficiency and emissions reduction objectives.
[Fixed row]

(5.5.3) Provide details of your organization’s investments in low-carbon R&D for chemical production activities over the last three years.

Row 1

(5.5.3.1) Technology area

Select from:

☑ Product redesign

(5.5.3.2) Stage of development in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Basic academic/theoretical research

(5.5.3.6) Explain how your R&D investment in this technology area is aligned with your climate commitments and/or climate transition plan

The Company’s R&D activities support its climate-related objectives through product life-cycle assessment (LCA) studies, formulation optimization, carbon footprint and water footprint assessments, and the evaluation of environmental impacts across the product life cycle. These activities are used to identify opportunities to improve resource efficiency, reduce environmental impacts and strengthen climate resilience. R&D efforts also support the development of innovative and drought-resilient products and solutions that help customers adapt to changing climate conditions, while contributing to emissions reduction, sustainable resource use and long-term climate-related risk management.

Row 2

(5.5.3.1) Technology area

Select from:

☑ Product redesign

(5.5.3.2) Stage of development in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Pilot demonstration

(5.5.3.6) Explain how your R&D investment in this technology area is aligned with your climate commitments and/or climate transition plan

The Company's R&D activities support its climate-related objectives through product life-cycle assessment (LCA) studies, formulation optimization, carbon footprint and water footprint assessments, and the evaluation of environmental impacts across the product life cycle. These activities are used to identify opportunities to improve resource efficiency, reduce environmental impacts and strengthen climate resilience. R&D efforts also support the development of innovative and drought-resilient products and solutions that help customers adapt to changing climate conditions, while contributing to emissions reduction, sustainable resource use and long-term climate-related risk management.

Row 3

(5.5.3.1) Technology area

Select from:

☑ Product redesign

(5.5.3.2) Stage of development in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Small scale commercial deployment

(5.5.3.6) Explain how your R&D investment in this technology area is aligned with your climate commitments and/or climate transition plan

The Company's R&D activities support its climate-related objectives through product life-cycle assessment (LCA) studies, formulation optimization, carbon footprint and water footprint assessments, and the evaluation of environmental impacts across the product life cycle. These activities are used to identify opportunities to improve resource efficiency, reduce environmental impacts and strengthen climate resilience. R&D efforts also support the development of innovative and drought-resilient products and solutions that help customers adapt to changing climate conditions, while contributing to emissions reduction, sustainable resource use and long-term climate-related risk management.
[Add row]

(5.9) What is the trend in your organization’s water-related capital expenditure (CAPEX) and operating expenditure (OPEX) for the reporting year, and the anticipated trend for the next reporting year?

(5.9.1) Water-related CAPEX (+/- % change)

0

(5.9.2) Anticipated forward trend for CAPEX (+/- % change)

0

(5.9.3) Water-related OPEX (+/- % change)

0

(5.9.4) Anticipated forward trend for OPEX (+/- % change)

0

(5.9.5) Please explain

The Company assesses water-related risks and opportunities through water footprint studies, water management activities and water stress scenario analysis. Water-related risks, including the potential impacts of water stress and increasing water costs, are evaluated using WRI Aqueduct scenarios. However, specific water-related CAPEX and OPEX trends and percentage changes are not separately disclosed in the reporting year.
[Fixed row]

(5.10) Does your organization use an internal price on environmental externalities?

	Use of internal pricing for this environmental externality
Carbon	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Water	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Other	*Select from:* ☑ No, and we do not plan to in the next two years

[Fixed row]

(5.10.1) Provide details of your organization's internal price on carbon.

Row 1

(5.10.1.1) Type of pricing scheme

Select from:

☑ Shadow price

(5.10.1.2) Objectives for implementing internal price

Select all that apply

☑ Drive low-carbon investment

☑ Incentivize consideration of climate-related issues in decision making

☑ Incentivize consideration of climate-related issues in risk assessment

☑ Influence strategy and/or financial planning

☑ Set a carbon offset budget

(5.10.1.3) Factors considered when determining the price

Select all that apply

☑ Scenario analysis
☑ Alignment to scientific guidance
☑ Alignment to international standards
☑ Alignment with the price of a carbon tax
☑ Alignment with the price of carbon border adjustment mechanism
☑ Alignment with the price of allowances under an Emissions Trading Scheme

(5.10.1.5) Scopes covered

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 1

(5.10.1.6) Pricing approach used – spatial variance

Select from:

☑ Uniform

(5.10.1.8) Pricing approach used – temporal variance

Select from:

☑ Static

(5.10.1.12) Business decision-making processes the internal price is applied to

Select all that apply

☑ Operations
☑ Procurement
☑ Product and R&D
☑ Risk management
☑ Impact management
☑ Capital expenditure
☑ Opportunity management
☑ Dependencies management

(5.10.1.13) Internal price is mandatory within business decision-making processes

Select from:

☑ No

(5.10.1.14) % total emissions in the reporting year in selected scopes this internal price covers

100

(5.10.1.15) Pricing approach is monitored and evaluated to achieve objectives

Select from:

☑ Yes

(5.10.1.16) Details of how the pricing approach is monitored and evaluated to achieve your objectives

The internal carbon price is monitored by the sustainability and finance functions and reviewed at least annually, or more frequently where significant regulatory or market developments occur. Monitoring includes tracking of EU ETS and CBAM certificate price developments, exchange rate movements, national carbon pricing developments in Türkiye, and the company's verified Scope 1 emissions. The applied price and its outcomes are evaluated through the assessment of carbon cost exposure in investment appraisals, capital expenditure and procurement decisions, product and R&D evaluations and risk and opportunity assessments, allowing the identification of emission reduction and efficiency opportunities. Results are reported to senior management and, through the Sustainability Committee, to the Board as part of climate-related risk and strategy oversight. The effectiveness of the approach is assessed on the basis of whether it drives low-carbon investment decisions, supports the integration of climate considerations into financial planning and risk management, and remains aligned with external carbon price signals; the price level and scope of application are revised where these objectives are not met.
[Add row]

(5.10.2) Provide details of your organization’s internal price on water.

Row 1

(5.10.2.1) Type of pricing scheme

Select from:

☑ Shadow price

(5.10.2.2) Objectives for implementing internal price

Select all that apply

☑ Drive water-related investment

☑ Drive water efficiency

☑ Setting and/or achieving of water-related policies and targets

☑ Stress test investments

(5.10.2.3) Factors beyond current market price are considered in the price

Select from:

☑ Yes

(5.10.2.4) Factors considered when determining the price

Select all that apply

☑ Alignment to international standards

☑ Alignment to scientific guidance

☑ Scenario analysis

(5.10.2.6) Stages of the value chain covered

Select all that apply

☑ Direct operations

(5.10.2.7) Pricing approach used – spatial variance

Select from:

☑ Uniform

(5.10.2.9) Pricing approach used – temporal variance

Select from:

☑ Static

(5.10.2.13) Business decision-making processes the internal water price is applied to

Select all that apply

☑ Operations
☑ Procurement
☑ Product and R&D
☑ Risk management
☑ Capital expenditure
☑ Opportunity management

(5.10.2.14) Internal price is mandatory within business decision-making processes

Select from:

☑ No

(5.10.2.15) Pricing approach is monitored and evaluated to achieve objectives

Select from:

☑ Yes

(5.10.2.16) Details of how the pricing approach is monitored and evaluated to achieve your objectives

The internal water price is monitored by the sustainability and finance functions and reviewed at least annually, or earlier where significant tariff, regulatory or water risk developments occur. Monitoring covers changes in municipal water and wastewater tariffs, inflation, local water stress conditions at operational sites, and the company's water withdrawal, consumption and discharge data. The effectiveness of the approach is evaluated through the extent to which the price is reflected in capital expenditure appraisals, operational and procurement decisions, product and R&D evaluations and water-related risk and opportunity assessments, and through the resulting water efficiency projects and reductions in water intensity. Results are reported to senior management and, via the Sustainability Committee, to the Board as part of environmental performance and risk oversight. Where the price is found not to sufficiently incentivize water-related investment or efficiency improvements, its level, scope and application within decision-making processes are revised.
[Add row]

(5.11) Do you engage with your value chain on environmental issues?

	Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues	Environmental issues covered
Suppliers	*Select from:* ☑ Yes	*Select all that apply* ☑ Climate change ☑ Water
Customers	*Select from:* ☑ Yes	*Select all that apply* ☑ Climate change ☑ Water
Investors and shareholders	*Select from:* ☑ Yes	*Select all that apply* ☑ Climate change ☑ Water
Other value chain stakeholders	*Select from:* ☑ Yes	*Select all that apply* ☑ Climate change ☑ Water

[Fixed row]

(5.11.1) Does your organization assess and classify suppliers according to their dependencies and/or impacts on the environment?

Climate change

(5.11.1.1) Assessment of supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

☑ Yes, we assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers

(5.11.1.2) Criteria for assessing supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select all that apply

☑ Contribution to supplier-related Scope 3 emissions

(5.11.1.3) % Tier 1 suppliers assessed

Select from:

☑ 100%

(5.11.1.4) Define a threshold for classifying suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

A tier 1 supplier is classified as having substantive climate-related impacts/dependencies if it meets at least one threshold: (i) critical supplier essential for production continuity; (ii) within suppliers accounting for the majority of procurement spend and purchased goods Scope 3 emissions; (iii) operating in an emission-intensive sector; or (iv) scoring below the required threshold on climate criteria.

(5.11.1.5) % Tier 1 suppliers meeting the threshold for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

☑ 100%

(5.11.1.6) Number of Tier 1 suppliers meeting the thresholds for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

1163

Water

(5.11.1.1) Assessment of supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

☑ Yes, we assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers

(5.11.1.2) Criteria for assessing supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select all that apply

☑ Dependence on water
☑ Impact on water availability
☑ Impact on pollution levels

(5.11.1.3) % Tier 1 suppliers assessed

Select from:
☑ 100%

(5.11.1.4) Define a threshold for classifying suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

A tier 1 supplier is classified as having substantive water-related impacts/dependencies if it meets at least one threshold: (i) critical supplier with water-intensive processes; (ii) sites in high or extremely high water-stress areas; (iii) process wastewater discharge affecting local water quality; or (iv) scoring below the required threshold on water criteria in supplier evaluation.

(5.11.1.5) % Tier 1 suppliers meeting the threshold for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:
☑ 100%

(5.11.1.6) Number of Tier 1 suppliers meeting the thresholds for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

1163
[Fixed row]

(5.11.2) Does your organization prioritize which suppliers to engage with on environmental issues?

Climate change

(5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Yes, we prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

(5.11.2.2) Criteria informing which suppliers are prioritized for engagement on this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ In line with the criteria used to classify suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts relating to climate change

☑ Strategic status of suppliers

☑ Supplier performance improvement

(5.11.2.4) Please explain

HEKTAŞ prioritizes supplier engagement based on supplier performance improvement objectives within its responsible procurement approach. Environmental considerations are integrated into supplier assessment and monitoring processes, and suppliers are encouraged to improve their environmental practices and performance. Supplier engagement supports the identification and management of climate-related risks and opportunities across the value chain, including environmental performance, resource efficiency and emissions-related considerations. Continuous improvement expectations are communicated through supplier evaluation and monitoring activities.

Water

(5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Yes, we prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

(5.11.2.2) Criteria informing which suppliers are prioritized for engagement on this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ In line with the criteria used to classify suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts relating to water

☑ Strategic status of suppliers

☑ Supplier performance improvement

(5.11.2.4) Please explain

HEKTAŞ prioritizes supplier engagement based on supplier performance improvement objectives within its responsible procurement approach. Suppliers are encouraged to strengthen their environmental performance, including the management of water-related risks and resource use. Supplier engagement supports the identification and management of water-related dependencies and impacts across the value chain and contributes to the Company's broader sustainability, resource efficiency and water stewardship objectives.
[Fixed row]

(5.11.5) Do your suppliers have to meet environmental requirements as part of your organization's purchasing process?

Climate change

(5.11.5.1) Suppliers have to meet specific environmental requirements related to this environmental issue as part of the purchasing process

Select from:
☑ Yes, environmental requirements related to this environmental issue are included in our supplier contracts

(5.11.5.2) Policy in place for addressing supplier non-compliance

Select from:
☑ Yes, we have a policy in place for addressing non-compliance

(5.11.5.3) Comment

Environmental requirements relating to climate change are incorporated into the Company's responsible procurement approach and supplier expectations. Suppliers are expected to comply with applicable environmental legislation and support efforts related to environmental performance, resource efficiency and emissions management. Supplier performance is monitored through evaluation and review processes, and corrective actions may be requested where improvements are required.

Water

(5.11.5.1) Suppliers have to meet specific environmental requirements related to this environmental issue as part of the purchasing process

Select from:

☑ Yes, environmental requirements related to this environmental issue are included in our supplier contracts

(5.11.5.2) Policy in place for addressing supplier non-compliance

Select from:

☑ Yes, we have a policy in place for addressing non-compliance

(5.11.5.3) Comment

Environmental requirements relating to water management are incorporated into the Company's responsible procurement approach and supplier expectations. Suppliers are expected to comply with applicable environmental requirements and support responsible resource use and environmental performance improvement. Supplier performance is monitored through evaluation and review processes, and corrective actions may be requested where improvements are required.
[Fixed row]

(5.11.6) Provide details of the environmental requirements that suppliers have to meet as part of your organization's purchasing process, and the compliance measures in place.

Climate change

(5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ Compliance with an environmental certification, please specify :ISO 14001

(5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement

Select all that apply

☑ Supplier self-assessment

(5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ 100%

(5.11.6.4) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ 100%

(5.11.6.7) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ 100%

(5.11.6.8) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ 100%

(5.11.6.9) Response to supplier non-compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ Exclude

(5.11.6.12) Comment

HEKTAŞ has sustainability-related purchasing requirements in place that cover environmental issues, including climate change. Suppliers are expected to comply with applicable environmental legislation and permits and with the environmental principles set out in HEKTAŞ's responsible procurement approach. Compliance is monitored through supplier self-assessment covering 100% of tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend, and identified gaps are addressed through corrective actions within supplier evaluation processes.

Water

(5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ Compliance with an environmental certification, please specify :ISO 14001

(5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement

Select all that apply

☑ Supplier self-assessment

(5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ 100%

(5.11.6.4) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ 100%

(5.11.6.5) % tier 1 suppliers with substantive environmental dependencies and/or impacts related to this environmental issue required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ 100%

(5.11.6.6) % tier 1 suppliers with substantive environmental dependencies and/or impacts related to this environmental issue that are in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ 100%

(5.11.6.9) Response to supplier non-compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ Exclude

(5.11.6.12) Comment

HEKTAŞ has sustainability-related purchasing requirements in place that cover environmental issues, including water. Suppliers are expected to comply with applicable water-related legislation, discharge permits and the environmental principles set out in HEKTAŞ's responsible procurement approach. Compliance is monitored through supplier self-assessment covering 100% of tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend, and identified gaps are addressed through corrective actions within supplier evaluation processes.
[Add row]

(5.11.7) Provide further details of your organization's supplier engagement on environmental issues.

Climate change

(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:
☑ Adaptation to climate change

(5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement

Financial incentives
☑ Include long-term contracts linked to environmental commitments

(5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage

Select all that apply
☑ Tier 1 suppliers

(5.11.7.5) % of tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend covered by engagement

Select from:
☑ 100%

(5.11.7.6) % of tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions covered by engagement

Select from:

☑ 100%

(5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

HEKTAŞ engages its tier 1 suppliers on climate-related issues within its responsible procurement approach, through supplier self-assessment and periodic supplier evaluation. Environmental expectations, legal and permit compliance requirements and continuous improvement expectations are communicated to suppliers as part of this process. Engagement covers 100% of tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend; tier 2+ figures are not currently available, as supplier data collection does not yet extend beyond tier 1. The engagement raises supplier awareness of climate-related requirements, improves the availability of environmental data from the supply chain and supports improvement of supplier environmental performance. Success is measured through the proportion of suppliers assessed and their evaluation results on environmental criteria.

(5.11.7.10) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers meet an environmental requirement related to this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Yes, please specify the environmental requirement :ISO 14001

(5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action

Select from:

☑ Yes

Water

(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

☑ Adaptation to climate change

(5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement

Financial incentives

☑ Include long-term contracts linked to environmental commitments

(5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage

Select all that apply

☑ Tier 1 suppliers

(5.11.7.5) % of tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend covered by engagement

Select from:

☑ 100%

(5.11.7.7) % tier 1 suppliers with substantive impacts and/or dependencies related to this environmental issue covered by engagement

Select from:

☑ 100%

(5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

HEKTAŞ engages its tier 1 suppliers on water-related issues within its responsible procurement approach, through supplier self-assessment and periodic supplier evaluation. Compliance with applicable water legislation and discharge permits, water use and wastewater management practices are addressed as part of this process. Engagement covers 100% of tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend; tier 2+ figures are not currently available, as supplier data collection does not yet extend beyond tier 1. The engagement increases supplier awareness of water-related risks, supports improved water and wastewater management and contributes to water resilience across the value chain. Success is measured through the proportion of suppliers assessed and their evaluation results on water-related criteria.

(5.11.7.10) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers meet an environmental requirement related to this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Yes, please specify the environmental requirement :ISO 14001

(5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action

Select from:

☑ Yes

[Add row]

(5.11.9) Provide details of any environmental engagement activity with other stakeholders in the value chain.

Climate change

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:
☑ Customers

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing
☑ Educate and work with stakeholders on understanding and measuring exposure to environmental risks
☑ Share information about your products and relevant certification schemes
☑ Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements

Innovation and collaboration
☑ Align your organization's goals to support customers' targets and ambitions
☑ Collaborate with stakeholders on innovations to reduce environmental impacts in products and services
☑ Collaborate with stakeholders on implementing adaptation activities

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:
☑ 100%

(5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions

Select from:
☑ 100%

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

HEKTAŞ's customers are primarily farmers and agricultural distributors whose activities are directly exposed to climate-related risks such as drought, changing precipitation patterns and extreme weather events. Engagement covers all customer groups reached through field teams, technical advisory services, training activities, digital channels and sustainability reporting, and focuses on raising awareness of climate-related risks, sharing information on products, certifications, environmental initiatives and performance, and collaborating on solutions that reduce emissions and support adaptation in agricultural production.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

Engagement supports both mitigation and adaptation by promoting efficient input use, correct application practices and climate-resilient agricultural solutions, thereby reducing emissions intensity in the use phase and strengthening producers' resilience to climate impacts. Success is measured through the number of farmers and distributors reached through training and field activities, the coverage of technical advisory services, adoption of recommended products and practices, and customer feedback.

Water

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

☑ Customers

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

☑ Educate and work with stakeholders on understanding and measuring exposure to environmental risks

☑ Run an engagement campaign to educate stakeholders about the environmental impacts about your products, goods and/or services

☑ Share information about your products and relevant certification schemes

☑ Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements

Innovation and collaboration

☑ Collaborate with stakeholders on innovations to reduce environmental impacts in products and services

☑ Collaborate with stakeholders on implementing adaptation activities

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

☑ 100%

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

Agricultural production is the largest water-consuming activity in Türkiye, and HEKTAŞ's customers directly manage irrigation and water use at field level. Engagement covers all customer groups reached through field teams, technical advisory services, training programmes, awareness campaigns and reporting, and focuses on water-related risks, efficient water use, correct product application to prevent water pollution, and solutions supporting water productivity.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

Engagement contributes to more efficient irrigation and input use, reduced risk of water pollution from incorrect application, and improved water resilience for producers and local water users. Success is measured through the number of farmers reached, the coverage of training and advisory activities, adoption of water-efficient practices and products, and customer feedback.

Climate change

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

☑ Investors and shareholders

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

☑ Educate and work with stakeholders on understanding and measuring exposure to environmental risks

☑ Share information about your products and relevant certification schemes

☑ Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements

Innovation and collaboration

☑ Align your organization’s goals to support customers’ targets and ambitions

☑ Collaborate with stakeholders on innovations to reduce environmental impacts in products and services

☑ Collaborate with stakeholders on implementing adaptation activities

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

☑ 100%

(5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions

Select from:

☑ 100%

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

Investors and shareholders require transparent information on HEKTAŞ's climate-related risks, opportunities, governance and performance in order to assess long-term business resilience. Engagement covers all investors and shareholders and is conducted through the annual report, sustainability reporting, public disclosures, investor communications and general assembly meetings, and includes the sharing of environmental initiatives, targets, progress and performance data.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

Engagement increases transparency and confidence regarding HEKTAŞ's climate strategy, targets and performance and provides feedback that informs the company's sustainability priorities. Success is measured through the completeness and continuity of climate-related disclosures, external assessment and rating results, and investor feedback.

Water

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

☑ Investors and shareholders

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

☑ Educate and work with stakeholders on understanding and measuring exposure to environmental risks

☑ Share information about your products and relevant certification schemes

☑ Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements

Innovation and collaboration

☑ Align your organization’s goals to support customers’ targets and ambitions

☑ Collaborate with stakeholders on innovations to reduce environmental impacts in products and services

☑ Collaborate with stakeholders on implementing adaptation activities

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

☑ 100%

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

Investors and shareholders require information on water-related risks, dependencies and management practices, particularly given the water intensity of agriculture in Türkiye. Engagement covers all investors and shareholders through the annual report, sustainability reporting, public disclosures and investor communications, and includes water performance data, initiatives and improvement activities.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

Engagement improves transparency on water-related risks and performance and supports informed dialogue on water resilience. Success is measured through the scope and consistency of water-related disclosures, external assessment results and investor feedback.

Climate change

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

☑ Other value chain stakeholder, please specify :Suppliers

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

☑ Educate and work with stakeholders on understanding and measuring exposure to environmental risks

☑ Share information about your products and relevant certification schemes

☑ Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements

Innovation and collaboration
☑ Align your organization’s goals to support customers’ targets and ambitions
☑ Collaborate with stakeholders on innovations to reduce environmental impacts in products and services
☑ Collaborate with stakeholders on implementing adaptation activities

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:
☑ 100%

(5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions

Select from:
☑ 100%

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

Other value chain stakeholders, including distributors, logistics service providers, business partners, sector associations, universities and public institutions, influence emissions and climate resilience across HEKTAŞ's value chain. Engagement covers all such stakeholder groups through joint projects, sectoral collaborations, R&D partnerships, training activities and information sharing on environmental initiatives, targets and performance.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

Engagement supports the dissemination of low-emission and resilient agricultural practices, strengthens collaboration on innovation and adaptation, and improves climate-related knowledge across the value chain. Success is measured through the number of collaborations and joint projects carried out, participation in sectoral initiatives, and outcomes of R&D and training activities.

Water

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

☑ Other value chain stakeholder, please specify :Suppliers

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

☑ Educate and work with stakeholders on understanding and measuring exposure to environmental risks

☑ Share information about your products and relevant certification schemes

☑ Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements

Innovation and collaboration

☑ Align your organization’s goals to support customers’ targets and ambitions

☑ Collaborate with stakeholders on innovations to reduce environmental impacts in products and services

☑ Collaborate with stakeholders on implementing adaptation activities

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

☑ 100%

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

Distributors, business partners, sector associations, research institutions and public bodies play a role in water use and water quality across the agricultural value chain. Engagement covers all such stakeholder groups through joint projects, sectoral collaborations, R&D partnerships, training and information sharing on water-related risks, practices and performance.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

Engagement promotes water-efficient agricultural practices, supports the development of solutions that reduce water use and protect water quality, and strengthens collective action on water resilience. Success is measured through the number of collaborations and joint projects, participation in sectoral water initiatives, and the outcomes of R&D and training activities.
[Add row]

C6. Environmental performance - Consolidation approach

(6.1) Provide details on your chosen consolidation approach for the calculation of environmental performance data.

Climate change

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

☑ Operational control

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

HEKTAŞ applies the operational control consolidation approach. Under this approach, HEKTAŞ accounts for 100% of the emissions and environmental impacts from the operations over which it, or one of its subsidiaries, has full authority to introduce and implement operating policies. This boundary is consistent with the organizational boundary of HEKTAŞ's greenhouse gas inventory, which was prepared by an independent third party in accordance with the GHG Protocol Corporate Standard and ISO 14064-1, and with the boundary applied in HEKTAŞ's TSRS climate-related disclosures. The operational control approach best reflects the way HEKTAŞ manages and monitors climate-related impacts across its production facilities and consolidated subsidiaries (Ferbis, Areo, Takimsan, Sunset and Agriventis), ensuring consistent, comparable and accountable reporting of metrics, targets and performance across Modules 7–12. It is also in line with SBTN guidance recommending the use of the same consolidation approach across environmental issues.

Water

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

☑ Operational control

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

HEKTAŞ applies the same operational control approach used for its GHG inventory to its water-related environmental performance data, in line with SBTN guidance on using a consistent consolidation approach across environmental issues. Water withdrawal, discharge and consumption data, as well as the ISO 14046 water footprint work, are collected and monitored for HEKTAŞ's direct operations and consolidated subsidiaries under operational control. This ensures a single, consistent organizational boundary for climate- and water-related disclosures.

Biodiversity

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

☑ Operational control

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

Where HEKTAŞ discloses biodiversity-related environmental performance data, the same operational control boundary applied to its climate and water disclosures is used, ensuring consistency across all environmental issues.
[Fixed row]

C7. Environmental performance - Climate change

(7.1) Is this your first year of reporting emissions data to CDP?

Select from:
☑ Yes

(7.2) Select the name of the standard, protocol, or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate emissions.

Select all that apply
☑ ISO 14064-1

(7.3) Describe your organization's approach to reporting Scope 2 emissions.

(7.3.1) Scope 2, location-based

Select from:
☑ We are reporting a Scope 2, location-based figure

(7.3.2) Scope 2, market-based

Select from:
☑ We are reporting a Scope 2, market-based figure

(7.3.3) Comment

HEKTAŞ reports Scope 2 emissions using both the location-based and market-based methods. Purchased electricity emissions under the location-based method are calculated with the national grid-average emission factor (IEA 2023 Türkiye consumption-point factor, 0.434 kg CO_2e/kWh). For the market-based method, HEKTAŞ has procured energy attribute certificates (I-REC/YEK-G) covering the full volume of its 2025 purchased electricity consumption; consequently, the market-based

Scope 2 figure is reported as 0 tCO_2e. The inventory was calculated by an independent third party in line with the GHG Protocol and ISO 14064-1, based on the operational control approach.
[Fixed row]

(7.4) Are there any sources (e.g. facilities, specific GHGs, activities, geographies, etc.) of Scope 1, Scope 2 or Scope 3 emissions that are excluded from your disclosure of climate-related data?

Select from:
☑ No

(7.5) Provide your base year and base year emissions.

Scope 1

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

4558.29

(7.5.3) Methodological details

2024 is selected as the base year, as it is the first year for which HEKTAŞ calculated its greenhouse gas inventory. Base year Scope 1 emissions amount to 4,558.29 metric tons CO_2e. The inventory was calculated by an independent third party in accordance with the GHG Protocol Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard and ISO 14064-1, based on the operational control approach. Emission factors were derived from the IPCC 2006 Guidelines and the Türkiye National Inventory.

Scope 2 (location-based)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

4386.02

(7.5.3) Methodological details

2024 is selected as the base year, as it is the first year for which HEKTAŞ calculated its greenhouse gas inventory. Base year Scope 2 (location-based) emissions amount to 4,386.02 metric tons CO_2e. Purchased electricity emissions were calculated using the location-based method with the national grid-average emission factor (IEA 2023 Türkiye consumption-point factor, 0.434 kg CO_2e/kWh). A market-based figure is not reported, as supplier-specific or residual-mix emission factors are not available and no energy attribute certificates are held.

Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

4386.02

(7.5.3) Methodological details

The base year is 2024, consistent with the base year adopted in HEKTAŞ's sustainability reporting. Base year Scope 2 (market-based) emissions amount to 4,386.02 metric tons CO_2e. Emissions were calculated by an independent third party in line with the GHG Protocol, ISO 14064-1:2019 and IPCC AR6, using the national grid emission factor published by the Turkish Ministry of Energy and Natural Resources (and the Australian National Greenhouse Account Factors for the Agriventis site). As HEKTAŞ holds no energy attribute certificates (e.g. I-REC/YEK-G) or other contractual instruments, no residual-mix or supplier-specific factor is applied and the market-based figure equals the location-based figure.

Scope 3 category 1: Purchased goods and services

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

48678.83

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Base year (2024) Category 1 emissions amount to 48,652.54 metric tons CO_2e, covering raw materials, semi-finished goods and packaging used in production: organic raw materials (21,258.97 tCO_2e), inorganic raw materials (27,252.71 tCO_2e), plastic packaging (124.82 tCO_2e) and paper packaging (16.03 tCO_2e). An activity/material-based method was applied, multiplying physical consumption quantities by Ecoinvent and UK DEFRA emission factors, with IPCC AR6 GWP values used for CO_2e conversion.

Scope 3 category 2: Capital goods

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

5.36

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Base year (2024) Category 2 emissions amount to 5.36 metric tons CO_2e, covering capital goods such as computers, mobile phones, tablets, printers, refrigerators, air conditioners and office furniture. An activity-based method was applied using Ecoinvent emission factors per unit acquired.

Scope 3 category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1258.92

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Base year (2024) Category 3 emissions amount to 1,258.92 metric tons CO_2e. This figure covers upstream (well-to-tank) emissions of purchased fuels and electricity not included in Scope 1 or Scope 2: natural gas WTT (669.90 tCO_2e), upstream generation of purchased electricity (421.02 tCO_2e), electricity transmission and distribution losses (36.42 tCO_2e), and WTT of vehicle and generator fuels (131.58 tCO_2e). In the base year inventory these items were originally aggregated within upstream transportation and distribution; they have been reclassified here in line with the GHG Protocol category definitions, applying UK DEFRA and IPCC factors. To avoid double counting, the corresponding amount is excluded from Category 4.

Scope 3 category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3189.96

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Base year (2024) Category 4 emissions amount to 3,189.96 metric tons CO_2e, covering upstream (inbound) transportation and distribution of purchased raw materials, packaging and goods from suppliers to HEKTAŞ facilities, prior to any processing under HEKTAŞ's control. A distance-based method was applied, multiplying physical activity data (distance/tonne-km) for inbound logistics by UK DEFRA transport emission factors; no carrier-provided (primary) emissions data were used.

Scope 3 category 5: Waste generated in operations

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

5.87

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Base year (2024) Category 5 emissions amount to 5.87 metric tons CO_2e from the disposal of operational waste (plastic, paper, wood, hazardous, metal, domestic and mixed packaging waste; 915.68 tonnes in total). An activity-based method was applied, multiplying waste quantities (tonnes) by UK DEFRA waste-disposal factors.

Scope 3 category 6: Business travel

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

6.31

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Base year (2024) Category 6 emissions amount to 6.31 metric tons CO_2e, comprising business flights (5.41 tCO_2e) and hotel accommodation (0.90 tCO_2e), reported for the Agriventis operations. An activity-based method was applied using IPCC and UK DEFRA business-travel and hotel-stay factors.

Scope 3 category 7: Employee commuting

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

9456.95

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Base year (2024) Category 7 emissions amount to 9,456.95 metric tons CO_2e from employee commuting via company-provided personnel shuttle services between home and work locations. An activity-based method was applied, based on shuttle fuel consumption and IPCC / UK DEFRA mobile-combustion factors, with IPCC AR6 GWP values used for CO_2e conversion. In the base year, a total petrol consumption of 4,000 litres was assumed for the personnel shuttle service.

Scope 3 category 8: Upstream leased assets

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not relevant. HEKTAŞ does not operate leased assets that are not already included in Scope 1 or Scope 2; all facilities under operational control are captured in Scope 1 and 2. No base year emissions arise from this category.

Scope 3 category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

This category was not quantified in the 2024 base year inventory.

Scope 3 category 10: Processing of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not relevant. HEKTAŞ's products (crop protection and plant nutrition products) are sold as finished goods and do not undergo further processing by third parties. No base year emissions arise from this category.

Scope 3 category 11: Use of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Use-phase emissions of the sold products were not quantified in the base year inventory.

Scope 3 category 12: End of life treatment of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not calculated. End-of-life emissions of sold products were not quantified in the 2024 base year inventory due to data availability limitations.

Scope 3 category 13: Downstream leased assets

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not relevant. HEKTAŞ does not lease out assets to third parties. No base year emissions arise from this category.

Scope 3 category 14: Franchises

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not relevant. HEKTAŞ does not operate a franchise model. No base year emissions arise from this category.

Scope 3 category 15: Investments

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not relevant. HEKTAŞ is not a financial institution and holds no investments giving rise to Scope 3 Category 15 emissions. No base year emissions arise from this category.

Scope 3: Other (upstream)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not relevant. No downstream emission sources beyond those already reported were identified in the base year inventory.

Scope 3: Other (downstream)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not relevant. No downstream emission sources beyond those already reported were identified in the base year inventory.
[Fixed row]

(7.6) What were your organization’s gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

Reporting year

(7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

6150.07

(7.6.3) Methodological details

Scope 1 emissions were calculated by an independent third party in accordance with the GHG Protocol Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard and ISO 14064-1, based on the operational control approach. Scope 1 covers direct emissions from natural gas combustion, vehicle fuels (gasoline/diesel), generators, refrigerant leakage, fire extinguishers and fertilizer use. Emission factors were derived from the IPCC 2006 Guidelines and the Türkiye National Inventory.
[Fixed row]

(7.7) What were your organization’s gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

Reporting year

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

4932.31

(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.7.4) Methodological details

Scope 2 indirect emissions from purchased electricity were calculated using both the location-based and market-based methods. Under the location-based method, emissions were calculated with the national grid-average emission factor (IEA 2023 Türkiye consumption-point factor, 0.434 kg CO_2e/kWh). HEKTAŞ has procured energy attribute certificates (I-REC) covering its full 2025 Turkish grid electricity consumption.
[Fixed row]

(7.8) Account for your organization’s gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions.

Purchased goods and services

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

40951.36

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Spend-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

100

(7.8.6) Please explain

Calculated from VAT-excluded procurement spend for raw materials, spare parts, packaging and outsourced services (financial records and ERP data) multiplied by EPA 2025 spend-based factors, plus purchased water-supply volume (m^3) multiplied by the DEFRA 2025 water-supply factor.

Capital goods

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

3339.72

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Average spend-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

100

Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

1465.94

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Average data method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

100

(7.8.6) Please explain

Upstream (well-to-tank) emissions of fuels (gasoline, diesel, natural gas) and electricity transmission & distribution losses, derived from Scope 1 fuel consumption and Scope 2 electricity consumption data multiplied by DEFRA 2025 average factors.

Upstream transportation and distribution

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

66.73

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Distance-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

100

(7.8.6) Please explain

Calculated from inbound-logistics activity data (distance in km and gross weight in kg for shipments to HEKTAŞ facilities) multiplied by DEFRA 2025 HGV (average laden, all-diesel) transport factors, including well-to-tank (WTT) emissions. No carrier-provided primary emissions data used.

Waste generated in operations

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

20.5

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Waste-type-specific method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

100

(7.8.6) Please explain

Calculated from waste quantities (kg) by disposal route (recycle/recover/refuse) multiplied by DEFRA 2025 waste-treatment factors, plus wastewater/water-treatment volume (m³) multiplied by the DEFRA 2025 water-treatment factor.

Business travel

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:
☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

228.02

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply
☑ Distance-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

100

(7.8.6) Please explain

Calculated from passenger-km data for air travel multiplied by DEFRA 2025 business-travel (economy class, incl. WTT) factors, plus hotel-stay data (country and total room-nights) multiplied by country-specific hotel-footprint emission factors (DEFRA 2025 / Hotel Footprinting Tool).

Employee commuting

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

217.49

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Distance-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

100

(7.8.6) Please explain

Calculated using a combination of a fuel-based method (litres of fuel-allowance diesel consumed, converted via net calorific value and IPCC Tier-1 factors, incl. WTT) and a distance-based method (km travelled by shuttle-bus, multiplied by DEFRA 2025 factors, incl. WTT).

Upstream leased assets

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Reason for this category not being relevant

Select from:

☑ Not applicable to our organization’s activities

(7.8.6) Please explain

Excluded due to lack of activity data. No leased assets operated outside the Scope 1/2 boundary in the reporting year.

Downstream transportation and distribution

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:
☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

8949.99

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply
☑ Distance-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

100

(7.8.6) Please explain

Calculated from post-sale distribution activity data (shipment weight in kg, distance in km, and transport mode — road/sea/air) for deliveries to distributors and customers, multiplied by DEFRA 2025 mode-specific transport factors, including WTT emissions.

Processing of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Reason for this category not being relevant

Select from:

☑ Not applicable to our organization’s activities

(7.8.6) Please explain

Products sold are finished crop-protection and fertilizer HEKTAŞ sells finished crop-protection products and fertilizers that are used directly by end customers. The products are not intermediate goods requiring further processing, transformation or incorporation into other products by third parties, so processing emissions do not arise.

Use of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Reason for this category not being relevant

Select from:

☑ Not applicable to our organization’s activities

(7.8.6) Please explain

Not relevant. HEKTAŞ's crop-protection and plant-nutrition products do not directly consume energy during their use phase, and no significant use-phase greenhouse gas emissions arise that can be meaningfully quantified under this category. This category was therefore assessed as not applicable in the reporting year.

End of life treatment of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Reason for this category not being relevant

Select from:

☑ Not applicable to our organization’s activities

(7.8.6) Please explain

Not relevant. End-of-life emissions of sold products were not quantified in the reporting year due to the absence of reliable disposal-pathway activity data, and the category was assessed as not applicable to the organization's product portfolio.

Downstream leased assets

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Reason for this category not being relevant

Select from:

☑ Not applicable to our organization’s activities

(7.8.6) Please explain

Not relevant. HEKTAŞ does not lease out any assets to third parties; therefore no downstream leased-asset emissions arise in the reporting year.

Franchises

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Reason for this category not being relevant

Select from:

☑ Not applicable to our organization’s activities

(7.8.6) Please explain

Not relevant. HEKTAŞ does not operate a franchise business model; therefore no franchise-related emissions arise in the reporting year.

Investments

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Reason for this category not being relevant

Select from:

☑ Not applicable to our organization’s activities

(7.8.6) Please explain

Not relevant. HEKTAŞ is not a financial institution and holds no investments that give rise to Scope 3 Category 15 emissions in the reporting year.

Other (upstream)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Reason for this category not being relevant

Select from:

☑ Not applicable to our organization’s activities

(7.8.6) Please explain

Not relevant. No additional upstream emission sources beyond the categories already reported were identified in the reporting year.

Other (downstream)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:
☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Reason for this category not being relevant

Select from:
☑ Not applicable to our organization’s activities

(7.8.6) Please explain

Not relevant. No additional downstream emission sources beyond the categories already reported were identified in the reporting year.
[Fixed row]

(7.9) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions.

	Verification/assurance status
Scope 1	*Select from:* ☑ Third-party verification or assurance process in place

	Verification/assurance status
Scope 2 (location-based or market-based)	*Select from:* ☑ Third-party verification or assurance process in place
Scope 3	*Select from:* ☑ Third-party verification or assurance process in place

[Fixed row]

(7.9.1) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 1 emissions, and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.1.1) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:
☑ Annual process

(7.9.1.2) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:
☑ Complete

(7.9.1.3) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:
☑ Reasonable assurance

(7.9.1.4) Attach the statement

Hektas_GHG Emissions Verification Statement.pdf

(7.9.1.5) Page/section reference

Verification Statement No. SGE-2025-1066, page 2, "Verified Data" table – Scope 1 (Direct GHG emissions): 6,150.07 tCO2e; decision date 12.09.2026, issue date 15.09.2026

(7.9.1.6) Relevant standard

Select all that apply

☑ ISO14064-3

(7.9.1.7) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100
[Add row]

(7.9.2) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 2 emissions and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.2.1) Scope 2 approach

Select from:

☑ Scope 2 location-based

(7.9.2.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

☑ Annual process

(7.9.2.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:
☑ Complete

(7.9.2.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:
☑ Reasonable assurance

(7.9.2.5) Attach the statement

Hektas_GHG Emissions Verification Statement.pdf

(7.9.2.6) Page/ section reference

Verification Statement No. SGE-2025-1066, page 2, "Verified Data" table – Scope 2 (location-based): 4,932.31 tCO2e

(7.9.2.7) Relevant standard

Select all that apply
☑ ISO14064-3

(7.9.2.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

Row 2

(7.9.2.1) Scope 2 approach

Select from:
☑ Scope 2 market-based

(7.9.2.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

☑ Annual process

(7.9.2.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

☑ Complete

(7.9.2.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

☑ Reasonable assurance

(7.9.2.5) Attach the statement

Hektas_GHG Emissions Verification Statement.pdf,AgriVentis Technologies Pty Ltd.pdf,FERBİS TARIM TİCARET VE SANAYİ ANONİM ŞİRKETİ 2786MWh.pdf,HEKTAŞ F.A.R.M. 2435 MWh.pdf,HEKTAŞ Organomineral Gübre Üretim Tesisi 1267 MWh.pdf,HEKTAŞ TOHUMCULUK SAN. VE DIŞ TİC A.Ş. 204 MWh.pdf,HEKTAŞ-Akdeniz Bölge Müdürlüğü 16 MWh.pdf,HEKTAŞ-Ankara Yüksek Teknoloji Merkezi 406 MWh.pdf,HEKTAŞ-Çukurova Bölge Müdürlüğü 32 MWh.pdf,HEKTAŞ-Ege Bölge Müdürlüğü 30MWh.pdf,HEKTAŞ-GAP Bölge Müdürlüğü 6MWh.pdf,HEKTAŞ-GENEL MÜDÜRLÜK (GEBZE FABRİKA) 4271 MWh.pdf,HEKTAŞ-İç Anadolu Bölge Müdürlüğü 21 MWh.pdf,HEKTAŞ-Marmara Bölge Müdürlüğü 13MWh.pdf

(7.9.2.6) Page/ section reference

Statement No. SGE-2025-1066, page 2 – Scope 2 (market-based): 0.00 tCO2e; the same page confirms that the I-REC certificates covering 11,500,000 kWh of purchased electricity were checked for volume, vintage, generation source/market eligibility and retirement on behalf of the company

(7.9.2.7) Relevant standard

Select all that apply

☑ ISO14064-3

(7.9.2.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100
[Add row]

(7.9.3) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 3 emissions and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.3.1) Scope 3 category

Select all that apply

- ☑ Scope 3: Franchises
- ☑ Scope 3: Investments
- ☑ Scope 3: Capital goods
- ☑ Scope 3: Business travel
- ☑ Scope 3: Employee commuting
- ☑ Scope 3: Waste generated in operations
- ☑ Scope 3: End-of-life treatment of sold products
- ☑ Scope 3: Upstream transportation and distribution
- ☑ Scope 3: Downstream transportation and distribution
- ☑ Scope 3: Fuel and energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2)
- ☑ Scope 3: Use of sold products
- ☑ Scope 3: Upstream leased assets
- ☑ Scope 3: Downstream leased assets
- ☑ Scope 3: Processing of sold products
- ☑ Scope 3: Purchased goods and services

(7.9.3.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

☑ Annual process

(7.9.3.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

☑ Complete

(7.9.3.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

☑ Reasonable assurance

(7.9.3.5) Attach the statement

Hektas_GHG Emissions Verification Statement.pdf

(7.9.3.6) Page/section reference

Statement No. SGE-2025-1066, page 1 – "Reporting Boundaries / Scope 3" listing all verified Scope 3 categories, and page 2, "Verified Data" table – Scope 3 (indirect GHG emissions): 55,239.75 tCO2e out of total 66,322.13 tCO2e

(7.9.3.7) Relevant standard

Select all that apply

☑ ISO14064-3

(7.9.3.8) Proportion of selected emissions categories verified (%)

100

(7.9.3.9) Proportion of total reported scope 3 emissions verified (%)

100

[Add row]

(7.10) How do your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year?

Select from:

☑ Decreased

(7.10.1) Identify the reasons for any change in your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined), and for each of them specify how your emissions compare to the previous year.

Change in renewable energy consumption

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

4932.31

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☑ Decreased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

55.14

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Following the acquisition of energy attribute certificates (I-REC/YEK-G) covering HEKTAŞ's full 2025 purchased electricity consumption, Scope 2 market-based emissions decreased from 4,932.31 tCO_2e (location-based) to 0 tCO_2e, a reduction of 4,932.31 tCO_2e (55.14% of base year total). Combined with the output-driven increase above, gross global Scope 1+2 emissions (market-based) decreased overall from 8,944.31 tCO_2e in 2024 to 6,157.20 tCO_2e in 2025.

Other emissions reduction activities

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

-

Divestment

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:
☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

-

Acquisitions

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:
☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

-

Mergers

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:
☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

-

Change in output

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2139.05

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:
☑ Increased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

23.91

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Gross Scope 1+2 emissions (location-based basis) rose from 8,944.31 tCO_2e in 2024 to 11,083.36 tCO_2e in 2025, an increase of 2,139.05 tCO_2e (23.91%). This reflects higher production output driving both increased fuel combustion (Scope 1: +1,592.76 tCO_2e) and increased purchased electricity consumption (Scope 2 location-based: +546.29 tCO_2e), prior to the application of renewable energy certificates.

Change in methodology

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

-

Change in boundary

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

-

Change in physical operating conditions

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:
☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

-

Unidentified

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

-

Other

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

-

[Fixed row]

(7.10.2) Are your emissions performance calculations in 7.10 and 7.10.1 based on a location-based Scope 2 emissions figure or a market-based Scope 2 emissions figure?

Select from:
☑ Market-based

(7.12) Does your organization have significant land sector activities in its operations or value chain?

	Agriculture, forestry or other land sector activities	Specify the reason for no significant activities
	Select from: ☑ No, explanation provided	*Select from:* ☑ No relevant activities identified

[Fixed row]

(7.13) Does your organization choose to account for and report technological CO2 removals or captured CO2 with geologic storage, or have you done so in previous years?

	Technological CO2 removals or captured CO2 with geologic storage in the reporting year	Technological CO2 removals or captured CO2 with geologic storage were accounted for and reported in previous years
	Select from: ☑ Not evaluated	*Select from:* ☑ No

[Fixed row]

(7.15) Does your organization break down its Scope 1 and/or Scope 2 emissions by greenhouse gas type?

(7.15.1) Emissions breakdown by greenhouse gas type

Select from:
☑ Yes, both Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions
[Fixed row]

(7.15.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions by greenhouse gas type and provide the source of each used global warming potential (GWP).

Row 1

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:
☑ CO2

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of selected gas)

5815.62

(7.15.1.3) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

5815.62

(7.15.1.4) Scope 2 location-based emissions (metric tons of selected gas)

4932.31

(7.15.1.5) Scope 2 location-based emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

4932.31

(7.15.1.6) Scope 2 market-based emissions (metric tons of selected gas)

0

(7.15.1.7) Scope 2 market-based emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

0

(7.15.1.8) GWP Reference

Select from:
☑ IPCC Sixth Assessment Report (AR6 - 100 year)

Row 2

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:
☑ CH4

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of selected gas)

0.412

(7.15.1.3) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

12.28

(7.15.1.4) Scope 2 location-based emissions (metric tons of selected gas)

0

(7.15.1.5) Scope 2 location-based emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

0

(7.15.1.6) Scope 2 market-based emissions (metric tons of selected gas)

0

(7.15.1.7) Scope 2 market-based emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

0

(7.15.1.8) GWP Reference

Select from:
☑ IPCC Sixth Assessment Report (AR6 - 100 year)

Row 3

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:
☑ N2O

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of selected gas)

0.21

(7.15.1.3) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

57.37

(7.15.1.4) Scope 2 location-based emissions (metric tons of selected gas)

0

(7.15.1.5) Scope 2 location-based emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

0

(7.15.1.6) Scope 2 market-based emissions (metric tons of selected gas)

0

(7.15.1.7) Scope 2 market-based emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

0

(7.15.1.8) GWP Reference

Select from:
☑ IPCC Sixth Assessment Report (AR6 - 100 year)

Row 4

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:
☑ HFCs

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of selected gas)

0.065

(7.15.1.3) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

264.8

(7.15.1.4) Scope 2 location-based emissions (metric tons of selected gas)

0

(7.15.1.5) Scope 2 location-based emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

0

(7.15.1.6) Scope 2 market-based emissions (metric tons of selected gas)

0

(7.15.1.7) Scope 2 market-based emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

0

(7.15.1.8) GWP Reference

Select from:
☑ IPCC Sixth Assessment Report (AR6 - 100 year)

Row 5

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:
☑ PFCs

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of selected gas)

0

(7.15.1.3) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

0

(7.15.1.4) Scope 2 location-based emissions (metric tons of selected gas)

0

(7.15.1.5) Scope 2 location-based emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

0

(7.15.1.6) Scope 2 market-based emissions (metric tons of selected gas)

0

(7.15.1.7) Scope 2 market-based emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

0

(7.15.1.8) GWP Reference

Select from:
☑ IPCC Sixth Assessment Report (AR6 - 100 year)

Row 6

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:
☑ SF6

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of selected gas)

0

(7.15.1.3) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

0

(7.15.1.4) Scope 2 location-based emissions (metric tons of selected gas)

0

(7.15.1.5) Scope 2 location-based emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

0

(7.15.1.6) Scope 2 market-based emissions (metric tons of selected gas)

0

(7.15.1.7) Scope 2 market-based emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

0

(7.15.1.8) GWP Reference

Select from:
☑ IPCC Sixth Assessment Report (AR6 - 100 year)

Row 7

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:
☑ NF3

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of selected gas)

0

(7.15.1.3) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

0

(7.15.1.4) Scope 2 location-based emissions (metric tons of selected gas)

0

(7.15.1.5) Scope 2 location-based emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

0

(7.15.1.6) Scope 2 market-based emissions (metric tons of selected gas)

0

(7.15.1.7) Scope 2 market-based emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

0

(7.15.1.8) GWP Reference

Select from:

☑ IPCC Sixth Assessment Report (AR6 - 100 year)

[Add row]

(7.16) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 and 2 emissions by country/area.

	Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)	Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)
Australia	*0*	*6.15*	*0*
Türkiye	*6150.07*	*4926.15*	*0*

[Fixed row]

(7.17) Indicate which gross global Scope 1 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

Select all that apply

☑ By business division

☑ By facility

☑ By activity

(7.17.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business division.

	Business division	Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)
Row 1	*HEKTAŞ (Agrochemicals)*	*6150.07*

[Add row]

(7.17.2) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business facility.

Row 1

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Hektaş Akdeniz Bölge Müdürlüğü

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

23.32

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

36.922766

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

30.775946

Row 2

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Hektaş Çukurova Bölge Müdürlüğü

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2.13

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

36.9914

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

35.3308

Row 3

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Hektaş Ege Bölge Müdürlüğü

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

7.34

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

38.4237

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

27.1428

Row 4

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Hektaş GAP Bölge Müdürlüğü

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2.41

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

37.1674

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

38.7955

Row 5

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Hektaş Marmara Bölge Müdürlüğü

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3.22

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

40.4901

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

29.3088

Row 6

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Hektaş İç Anadolu Bölge Müdürlüğü

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

7.81

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

39.9334

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

32.8597

Row 7

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Hektaş - Gebze Fabrika

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2670.83

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

40.7987

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

29.4345

Row 8

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Hektaş Farm (Orhangazi/Bursa)

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

479.53

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

40.4901

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

29.3088

Row 9

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Ferbis

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1023.26

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

37.9698

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

34.6766

Row 10

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Hektaş-OMG-Adana Gübre

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1309.97

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

36.9914

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

35.3308

Row 11

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Ankara Yüksek Teknoloji

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

316.81

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

39.9334

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

32.8597

Row 12

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Hektaş Tohum - AREO

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

264.21

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

36.8969

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

30.7133

Row 14

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Takimsan

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

13.15

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

40.856815

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

29.426353

Row 15

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Sunset

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

26.09

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

37.894024

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

34.561768

Row 16

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Agriventis

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

33.839858

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

151.20585
[Add row]

(7.17.3) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business activity.

	Activity	Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)
Row 1	*Stationary combustion*	*4749.18*
Row 2	*Mobile combustion*	*1079.57*
Row 3	*Process emissions (fertilizer use)*	*17.83*
Row 4	*Fugitive emissions*	*303.49*
Row 5	*Land use change*	*0*

[Add row]

(7.19) Break down your organization’s total gross global Scope 1 emissions by sector production activity in metric tons CO2e.

Chemicals production activities

(7.19.1) Gross Scope 1 emissions, metric tons CO2e

6150.07

(7.19.3) Comment

HEKTAŞ operates in the plant protection and plant nutrition (agrochemicals) sector. As all production facilities are engaged in chemicals production activities, the organization's total gross global Scope 1 emissions of 6,150.07 metric tons CO_2e are fully attributed to this single sector production activity. Emissions arise from stationary combustion (natural gas), mobile combustion (company vehicles and off-road equipment), and fugitive emissions from refrigerant and fire-suppression systems.
[Fixed row]

(7.20) Indicate which gross global Scope 2 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

Select all that apply

☑ By business division

☑ By facility

☑ By activity

(7.20.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business division.

	Business division	Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)
Row 1	*HEKTAŞ (Agrochemicals)*	*4932.31*	*0*

[Add row]

(7.20.2) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business facility.

Row 1

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Hektaş - Gebze Fabrika

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1832.43

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 2

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Ferbis (incl. Sunset)

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1195.11

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 3

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Hektaş Farm

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1044.3

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 4

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Hektaş - OMG - Adana Gübre

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

543.46

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 5

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Ankara Yüksek Teknoloji

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

173.95

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 6

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Hektaş Tohum - AREO

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

87.27

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 7

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Hektaş - Çukurova Bölge Müdürlüğü

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

13.45

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 8

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Hektaş - Ege Bölge Müdürlüğü

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

12.85

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 9

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Hektaş - İç Anadolu Bölge Müdürlüğü

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

8.73

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 10

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Hektaş - Akdeniz Bölge Müdürlüğü

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

6.67

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 12

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Hektaş - Marmara Bölge Müdürlüğü

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

5.49

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 13

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Hektaş - GAP Bölge Müdürlüğü

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2.45

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 14

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Takimsan

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 15

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Agriventis

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

6.15

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0
[Add row]

(7.20.3) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business activity.

	Activity	Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)
Row 1	*Purchased electricity*	*4932.31*	*0*

[Add row]

(7.21) Break down your organization’s total gross global Scope 2 emissions by sector production activity in metric tons CO2e.

Chemicals production activities

(7.21.1) Scope 2, location-based, metric tons CO2e

4932.31

(7.21.2) Scope 2, market-based (if applicable), metric tons CO2e

0

(7.21.3) Comment

HEKTAŞ operates in the plant protection and plant nutrition (agrochemicals) sector. As all facilities are engaged in chemicals production activities, the organization's total gross global Scope 2 emissions are fully attributed to this single sector production activity. Location-based emissions were calculated using the national grid-average emission factor (Turkish Ministry of Energy and Natural Resources / IEA). HEKTAŞ has procured energy attribute certificates (I-REC/YEK-G) covering its full 2025 purchased electricity consumption; consequently, the market-based figure is reported as 0 tCO_2e.
[Fixed row]

(7.22) Break down your gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions between your consolidated accounting group and other entities included in your response.

Consolidated accounting group

(7.22.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

6150.07

(7.22.2) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

4932.31

(7.22.3) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.4) Please explain

All entities within HEKTAŞ's operational control boundary, including subsidiaries Ferbis, AREO (Hektaş Tohum), Sunset, Takimsan, and Agriventis Technologies Pty. Ltd (51% ownership), are consolidated in the Company's financial statements and sustainability report under the same accounting boundary. Agriventis is fully consolidated as a subsidiary under IFRS 10 control-based consolidation rules, not equity-accounted.

All other entities

(7.22.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.2) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.3) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.4) Please explain

No entities are excluded from the consolidated accounting group for Scope 1/2 purposes. Hektaş Asia LLC (Uzbekistan) is excluded entirely from the GHG inventory (not just from this breakdown) because the entity holds only land and conducts no operational activity, hence no emissions data exists to report under either category. [Fixed row]

(7.23) Is your organization able to break down your emissions data for any of the subsidiaries included in your CDP response?

Select from:
☑ Yes

(7.23.1) Break down your gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions by subsidiary.

Row 1

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

HEKTAŞ Ticaret Türk A.Ş.

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:
☑ Agricultural chemicals

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply
☑ ISIN code - equity

(7.23.1.5) ISIN code – equity

TRAHEKTS91E4

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

4824.35

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3643.77

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Includes the following regional directorates and facilities: Hektaş Mediterranean Regional Directorate, Hektaş Çukurova Regional Directorate, Hektaş Aegean Regional Directorate, Hektaş GAP (Southeastern Anatolia) Regional Directorate, Hektaş Marmara Regional Directorate, Hektaş Central Anatolia Regional Directorate, Hektaş Gebze Factory, Hektaş Farm, Hektaş-OMG-Adana Fertilizer and AYT-Ankara High Technology.

Row 2

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

Ferbis Tarım Ticaret ve Sanayi A.Ş.

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☑ Agricultural chemicals

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☑ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1023.26

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1195.11

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Includes Ferbis's stationary and mobile combustion, fire extinguishers, refrigerants, fertilizer use and electricity data.

Row 3

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

Areo Tohumculuk Ar-Ge San. ve Dış Tic. A.Ş.

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☑ Agricultural products wholesale

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☑ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

264.21

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

87.27

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Includes Areo's stationary and mobile combustion, fire extinguishers, refrigerants, fertilizer use and electricity data.

Row 4

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

Takimsan Tarım Kimya Sanayi ve Ticaret A.Ş.

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:
☑ Agricultural products wholesale

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply
☑ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

13.15

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Includes Takimsan's mobile combustion data.

Row 5

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

Sunset Kimya Tarım Ürünleri ve Aletleri İmalat Pazarlama San. ve Tic. A.Ş.

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:
☑ Agricultural chemicals

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply
☑ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

26.09

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Mobile combustion only; co-located with HEKTAŞ Gebze facility, remaining consumption reported under HEKTAŞ.

Row 6

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

AgriVentis Technologies Pty Ltd

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☑ Agricultural chemicals

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☑ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

6.15

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Agriventis Technologies Pty. Ltd (51% ownership, Sydney, Australia) is disclosed here for transparency but is excluded from HEKTAŞ's gross global Scope 1/2 totals reported in 7.6/7.7, as it operates in agricultural products wholesale rather than chemicals production.

[Add row]

(7.25) Disclose the percentage of your organization's Scope 3, Category 1 emissions by purchased chemical feedstock.

Row 1

(7.25.1) Purchased feedstock

Select from:
☑ Other (please specify) :Aggregated purchased chemical raw materials and production materials (crop protection actives, intermediates, solvents, carriers and fertilizer raw materials)

(7.25.2) Percentage of Scope 3, Category 1 tCO2e from purchased feedstock

85.33
[Add row]

(7.25.1) Disclose sales of products that are greenhouse gases.

Carbon dioxide (CO2)

(7.25.1.1) Sales, metric tons

0

(7.25.1.2) Comment

Not applicable - not manufactured or sold as a standalone product.

Methane (CH4)

(7.25.1.1) Sales, metric tons

0

(7.25.1.2) Comment

Not applicable - not manufactured or sold as a standalone product.

Nitrous oxide (N2O)

(7.25.1.1) Sales, metric tons

0

(7.25.1.2) Comment

Not applicable - not manufactured or sold as a standalone product.

Hydrofluorocarbons (HFC)

(7.25.1.1) Sales, metric tons

0

(7.25.1.2) Comment

Not applicable - not manufactured or sold as a standalone product.

Perfluorocarbons (PFC)

(7.25.1.1) Sales, metric tons

0

(7.25.1.2) Comment

Not applicable - not manufactured or sold as a standalone product.

Sulphur hexafluoride (SF6)

(7.25.1.1) Sales, metric tons

0

(7.25.1.2) Comment

Not applicable - not manufactured or sold as a standalone product.

Nitrogen trifluoride (NF3)

(7.25.1.1) Sales, metric tons

0

(7.25.1.2) Comment

Not applicable - not manufactured or sold as a standalone product.
[Fixed row]

(7.30) Select which energy-related activities your organization has undertaken.

	Indicate whether your organization undertook this energy-related activity in the reporting year
Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstocks)	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity	*Select from:* ☑ Yes

	Indicate whether your organization undertook this energy-related activity in the reporting year
Consumption of purchased or acquired heat	*Select from:* ☑ No
Consumption of purchased or acquired steam	*Select from:* ☑ No
Consumption of purchased or acquired cooling	*Select from:* ☑ No
Generation of electricity, heat, steam, or cooling	*Select from:* ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(7.30.1) Report your organization’s energy consumption totals (excluding feedstocks) in MWh.

Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstock)

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

☑ LHV (lower heating value)

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

0

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

27536.08

(7.30.1.5) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

27536.08

Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

11362.89

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

0

(7.30.1.4) MWh from non-renewable zero or near-zero emission sources

0

(7.30.1.5) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

11362.89

Consumption of self-generated non-fuel renewable energy

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

0

(7.30.1.5) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

0.00

Total energy consumption

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

11362.89

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

27536.08

(7.30.1.4) MWh from non-renewable zero or near-zero emission sources

0

(7.30.1.5) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

38898.97
[Fixed row]

(7.30.3) Report your organization’s energy consumption totals (excluding feedstocks) for chemical production activities in MWh.

Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstocks)

(7.30.3.1) MWh consumed from renewable sources inside chemical sector boundary

0

(7.30.3.2) MWh consumed from non-renewable sources inside chemical sector boundary (excluding recovered waste heat/gases)

27536.08

(7.30.3.3) MWh consumed from waste heat/gases recovered from processes using fuel feedstocks inside chemical sector boundary

0

(7.30.3.5) Total MWh (renewable + non-renewable + MWh from recovered waste heat/gases) consumed inside chemical sector boundary

27536.08

Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity

(7.30.3.1) MWh consumed from renewable sources inside chemical sector boundary

11362.89

(7.30.3.2) MWh consumed from non-renewable sources inside chemical sector boundary (excluding recovered waste heat/gases)

0

(7.30.3.3) MWh consumed from waste heat/gases recovered from processes using fuel feedstocks inside chemical sector boundary

0

(7.30.3.4) MWh consumed from non-renewable zero or near-zero emission sources inside chemical sector boundary

0

(7.30.3.5) Total MWh (renewable + non-renewable + MWh from recovered waste heat/gases) consumed inside chemical sector boundary

11362.89

Consumption of self-generated non-fuel renewable energy

(7.30.3.1) MWh consumed from renewable sources inside chemical sector boundary

0

(7.30.3.5) Total MWh (renewable + non-renewable + MWh from recovered waste heat/gases) consumed inside chemical sector boundary

0.00

Total energy consumption

(7.30.3.1) MWh consumed from renewable sources inside chemical sector boundary

11362.89

(7.30.3.2) MWh consumed from non-renewable sources inside chemical sector boundary (excluding recovered waste heat/gases)

27536.08

(7.30.3.3) MWh consumed from waste heat/gases recovered from processes using fuel feedstocks inside chemical sector boundary

0

(7.30.3.4) MWh consumed from non-renewable zero or near-zero emission sources inside chemical sector boundary

0

(7.30.3.5) Total MWh (renewable + non-renewable + MWh from recovered waste heat/gases) consumed inside chemical sector boundary

38898.97
[Fixed row]

(7.30.6) Select the applications of your organization’s consumption of fuel.

	Indicate whether your organization undertakes this fuel application
Consumption of fuel for the generation of electricity	*Select from:* ☑ No
Consumption of fuel for the generation of heat	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of steam	*Select from:* ☑ No
Consumption of fuel for the generation of cooling	*Select from:* ☑ No
Consumption of fuel for co-generation or tri-generation	*Select from:* ☑ No

[Fixed row]

(7.30.7) State how much fuel in MWh your organization has consumed (excluding feedstocks) by fuel type.

Sustainable biomass

(7.30.7.1) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.7) Comment

Not applicable - HEKTAŞ does not consume this fuel type.

Other biomass

(7.30.7.1) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.7) Comment

Not applicable - HEKTAŞ does not consume this fuel type.

Other renewable fuels (e.g. renewable hydrogen)

(7.30.7.1) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.7) Comment

Not applicable - HEKTAŞ does not consume this fuel type.

Coal

(7.30.7.1) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.7) Comment

Not applicable - HEKTAŞ does not consume this fuel type.

Oil

(7.30.7.1) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

4292.93

(7.30.7.7) Comment

This figure covers petroleum-based fuels: petrol and diesel used in the company vehicle fleet (on-road), diesel used in off-road equipment, and diesel used in stationary generators. Energy content was calculated using the lower heating value (LHV) based on consumption records.

Gas

(7.30.7.1) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

23241.15

(7.30.7.7) Comment

Natural gas (including a minor quantity of LNG at the Antalya site) is consumed for stationary combustion, primarily for heating and process purposes across HEKTAŞ facilities. Energy content was calculated using the lower heating value (LHV) based on metered consumption and invoice data.

Other non-renewable fuels (e.g. non-renewable hydrogen)

(7.30.7.1) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.7) Comment

Not applicable - HEKTAŞ does not consume this fuel type.

Total fuel

(7.30.7.1) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

27534.08

(7.30.7.7) Comment

Not applicable - HEKTAŞ does not consume this fuel type.
[Fixed row]

(7.30.9) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and cooling your organization has generated and consumed in the reporting year.

Electricity

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

Heat

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

27534.08

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

27534.08

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

Steam

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

Cooling

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0
[Fixed row]

(7.30.11) Provide details on electricity, heat, steam, and cooling your organization has generated and consumed for chemical production activities.

Electricity

(7.30.11.1) Total gross generation inside chemicals sector boundary (MWh)

0

(7.30.11.2) Generation that is consumed inside chemicals sector boundary (MWh)

0

(7.30.11.3) Generation from renewable sources inside chemical sector boundary (MWh)

0

(7.30.11.4) Generation from waste heat/gases recovered from processes using fuel feedstocks inside chemical sector boundary (MWh)

0

Heat

(7.30.11.1) Total gross generation inside chemicals sector boundary (MWh)

27534.08

(7.30.11.2) Generation that is consumed inside chemicals sector boundary (MWh)

(7.30.11.3) Generation from renewable sources inside chemical sector boundary (MWh)

0

(7.30.11.4) Generation from waste heat/gases recovered from processes using fuel feedstocks inside chemical sector boundary (MWh)

0

Steam

(7.30.11.1) Total gross generation inside chemicals sector boundary (MWh)

0

(7.30.11.2) Generation that is consumed inside chemicals sector boundary (MWh)

0

(7.30.11.3) Generation from renewable sources inside chemical sector boundary (MWh)

0

(7.30.11.4) Generation from waste heat/gases recovered from processes using fuel feedstocks inside chemical sector boundary (MWh)

0

Cooling

(7.30.11.1) Total gross generation inside chemicals sector boundary (MWh)

0

(7.30.11.2) Generation that is consumed inside chemicals sector boundary (MWh)

0

(7.30.11.3) Generation from renewable sources inside chemical sector boundary (MWh)

0

(7.30.11.4) Generation from waste heat/gases recovered from processes using fuel feedstocks inside chemical sector boundary (MWh)

0
[Fixed row]

(7.30.14) Provide a breakdown by country/area of your electricity/heat/steam/cooling consumption in the reporting year.

Australia

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

12.31

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

12.31

Türkiye

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

11350.58

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

27536.08

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

38886.66
[Fixed row]

(7.30.15) Provide details on the electricity purchases that were accounted for at a zero or near-zero emission factor in the reporting year.

Row 1

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:
☑ Türkiye

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☑ Physical power purchase agreement (physical PPA) with a grid-connected generator

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☑ Geothermal

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

11362.89

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☑ I-REC [International]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☑ Türkiye

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

In the 2025 reporting year, HEKTAŞ sourced 11,362.89 MWh of its purchased electricity from geothermal generation in Türkiye, accounted for at a zero emission factor under the market-based method. The renewable attribute is backed by I-REC certificates issued for Türkiye-based geothermal facilities and retired on behalf of HEKTAŞ. All consumption sites covered by this purchase are located in Türkiye, so the country/area of consumption and of generation match. The commissioning or re-powering year of the generation facility is not reported, as this information is not disclosed in the certificates obtained from the supplier.

[Add row]

(7.31) Does your organization consume fuels as feedstocks for chemical production activities?

Select from:
☑ No

(7.39) Provide details on your organization's chemical products.

Row 1

(7.39.1) Output product

Select from:
☑ Specialty chemicals

(7.39.2) Production (metric tons)

20910.94

(7.39.3) Capacity (metric tons)

34662

(7.39.4) Direct emissions intensity (metric tons CO2e per metric ton of product)

0.18

(7.39.5) Electricity intensity (MWh per metric ton of product)

0.33

(7.39.6) Steam intensity (MWh per metric ton of product)

0

(7.39.7) Steam/ heat recovered (MWh per metric ton of product)

0

(7.39.8) Comment

Production of plant protection (specialty chemicals) products at the Gebze and Ferbis (Niğde) facilities. Direct emissions and electricity intensity reflect Scope 1 and Scope 2 (location-based) emissions attributable to these two facilities, divided by their combined production output

Row 2

(7.39.1) Output product

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Organomineral fertilizer (blending/formulation)

(7.39.2) Production (metric tons)

28116.18

(7.39.3) Capacity (metric tons)

99000

(7.39.4) Direct emissions intensity (metric tons CO2e per metric ton of product)

0.05

(7.39.5) Electricity intensity (MWh per metric ton of product)

0.045

(7.39.6) Steam intensity (MWh per metric ton of product)

0

(7.39.7) Steam/ heat recovered (MWh per metric ton of product)

0

(7.39.8) Comment

Production of organomineral fertilizer at the Adana facility. Direct emissions and electricity intensity reflect Scope 1 and Scope 2 (location-based) emissions attributable to this facility, divided by its production output.
[Add row]

(7.52) Provide any additional climate-related metrics relevant to your business.

Row 1

(7.52.1) Description

Select from:
☑ Energy usage

(7.52.2) Metric value

0.79

(7.52.3) Metric numerator

38886.66

(7.52.4) Metric denominator (intensity metric only)

49027.12

(7.52.5) % change from previous year

20.8

(7.52.6) Direction of change

Select from:

☑ Decreased

(7.52.7) Please explain

Total energy consumption increased from 28,278.58 MWh in 2024 to 38,886.66 MWh in 2025; however, production volume grew at a faster rate over the same period (from 28,231.62 to 49,027.12 tons), resulting in a decrease in energy intensity per ton of product from 1.00 MWh/ton to 0.79 MWh/ton, a reduction of approximately 21%. This reflects improved energy efficiency across manufacturing facilities relative to the increase in output.

Row 2

(7.52.1) Description

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Water consumption

(7.52.2) Metric value

1.87

(7.52.3) Metric numerator

91510.

(7.52.4) Metric denominator (intensity metric only)

49027.12

(7.52.5) % change from previous year

41.4

(7.52.6) Direction of change

Select from:

☑ Decreased

(7.52.7) Please explain

Total water consumption increased slightly from 89,950.00 m³ in 2024 to 91,510.00 m³ in 2025; however, production volume grew at a significantly faster rate over the same period (from 28,231.62 to 49,027.12 tons), resulting in a decrease in water intensity per ton of product from 3.19 m³/ton to 1.87 m³/ton, a reduction of approximately 41%. This reflects improved water use efficiency across manufacturing facilities relative to the increase in output.
[Add row]

(7.53) Did you have an emissions target that was active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply

☑ Absolute target

(7.53.1) Provide details of your absolute emissions targets and progress made against those targets.

Row 1

(7.53.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☑ Abs 1

(7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

☑ No, but we anticipate setting one in the next two years

(7.53.1.5) Date target was set

12/31/2025

(7.53.1.6) Target coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(7.53.1.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

☑ Methane (CH4)

☑ Nitrous oxide (N2O)

☑ Carbon dioxide (CO2)

☑ Perfluorocarbons (PFCs)

☑ Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs)

☑ Sulphur hexafluoride (SF6)

☑ Nitrogen trifluoride (NF3)

(7.53.1.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 1

☑ Scope 2

(7.53.1.9) Scope 2 accounting method

Select from:

☑ Market-based

(7.53.1.11) End date of base year

12/31/2024

(7.53.1.12) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

4558.29

(7.53.1.13) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

4386.02

(7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

0.000

(7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

8944.310

(7.53.1.33) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 1

100

(7.53.1.34) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 2

100

(7.53.1.53) Base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes as % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes

100

(7.53.1.54) End date of target

01/01/2031

(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)

26

(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

6618.789

(7.53.1.57) Scope 1 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

6150.07

(7.53.1.58) Scope 2 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)

6150.070

(7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target

Select from:

☑ No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)

(7.53.1.79) % of target achieved relative to base year

120.16

(7.53.1.80) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☑ Achieved

(7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

This target covers Scope 1 and Scope 2 (market-based) emissions across HEKTAŞ Ticaret T.A.Ş. and its consolidated subsidiaries (Ferbis, AREO, Takimsan, Sunset). No exclusions apply within this operational control boundary.

(7.53.1.83) Target objective

Reduce absolute Scope 1 and Scope 2 (market-based) GHG emissions by 25.2% by 2030 against a 2024 base year, in line with a linear reduction trajectory. This target reflects HEKTAŞ's commitment to decarbonizing its direct operations (natural gas combustion, mobile combustion, refrigerants, fertilizer use) and its purchased electricity footprint. Achievement of the Scope 2 component is being pursued primarily through the procurement of renewable energy attribute certificates (I-REC/YEK-G) covering the Company's full electricity consumption, while the Scope 1 component depends on maintaining emissions from stationary and mobile combustion sources below approximately 6,670 tCO_2e by the target year, in the context of ongoing production growth and capacity expansion. The target does not currently extend to Scope 3 emissions, which are tracked separately and disclosed under section 7.8 of this response.

(7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach

Select from:

☑ No

(7.53.1.86) List the emissions reduction initiatives which contributed most to achieving this target

Renewable energy attribute certificate procurement (I-REC/YEK-G) - covering HEKTAŞ's full 2025 purchased electricity consumption, eliminating Scope 2 market-based emissions of 4,932.31 tCO_2e. This single initiative accounts for the majority of progress against the 2030 absolute emissions reduction target, as detailed in section 7.55.2.
[Add row]

(7.54) Did you have any other climate-related targets that were active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply

☑ No other climate-related targets

(7.55) Did you have emissions reduction initiatives that were active within the reporting year? Note that this can include those in the planning and/or implementation phases.

	Emissions reduction initiatives that were active within the reporting year
	Select from: ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(7.55.1) Identify the total number of initiatives at each stage of development, and for those in the implementation stages, the estimated CO2e savings.

	Number of initiatives	Total estimated annual CO2e savings in metric tonnes CO2e
Under investigation	*0*	
To be implemented	*0*	*0*
Implementation commenced	*0*	*0*
Implemented	*1*	*4932.31*
Not to be implemented	*0*	

[Fixed row]

(7.55.2) Provide details on the initiatives implemented in the reporting year in the table below.

Row 1

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Low-carbon energy generation

☑ Geothermal

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO_2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

4932.31

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:
☑ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

0

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

73860

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:
☑ No payback

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:
☑ 1-2 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

No direct monetary savings arise from this initiative, as certificate procurement does not reduce physical electricity consumption; the initiative provides compliance/market-based emissions value rather than cost avoidance. Not applicable in traditional payback terms, as the initiative does not generate direct monetary savings; investment is driven by voluntary decarbonization and CDP/GRI reporting commitments rather than cost recovery. I-REC/YEK-G certificates are procured on an annual vintage basis; renewal will be required in subsequent years to maintain the zero market-based Scope 2 position.
[Add row]

(7.55.3) What methods do you use to drive investment in emissions reduction activities?

Row 1

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☑ Dedicated budget for other emissions reduction activities

(7.55.3.2) Comment

HEKTAŞ allocates an annual operating budget for renewable electricity attribute certificates covering 100% of the group's purchased electricity. In the 2025 reporting year this budget funded the redemption of 11,500 MWh of I-RECs across all Turkish consumption points and Agriventis Technologies Pty. Ltd, evidenced by individual redemption statements issued for each site.

Row 2

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☑ Dedicated budget for energy efficiency

(7.55.3.2) Comment

Energy efficiency measures are funded through the operational and maintenance budgets of the production sites within the framework of the ISO 50001 energy management approach, including waste heat recovery applications and process digitalization aimed at reducing energy intensity per tonne of product.
[Add row]

(7.74) Do you classify any of your existing goods and/or services as low-carbon products?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.79) Has your organization retired any project-based carbon credits within the reporting year?

Select from:

☑ No

C9. Environmental performance - Water security

(9.1) Are there any exclusions from your disclosure of water-related data?

Select from:

☑ No

(9.2) Across all your operations, what proportion of the following water aspects are regularly measured and monitored?

Water withdrawals – total volumes

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☑ At least once a month

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Total water withdrawal volumes are measured through direct monitoring at the relevant HEKTAŞ sites and facilities. Readings from installed water meters and source-specific supply records are collected at defined intervals, reviewed by responsible personnel, and aggregated to determine the company-wide withdrawal volume within the reporting boundary.

(9.2.4) Please explain

HEKTAŞ regularly measures and monitors total water withdrawal volumes for 100% of the facilities within its reporting boundary. In this response, “facilities” refers to the operational sites included in HEKTAŞ’s company-wide reporting boundary. Total withdrawal volumes are monitored through direct measurement and compiled at least annually. Calculated water-related indicators are assessed in line with ISO 14046 and WRI Aqueduct, supporting a more structured evaluation of water footprint and water risk considerations across the monitored facilities.

Water withdrawals – volumes by source

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☑ At least once a month

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Water withdrawal volumes by source are measured through direct monitoring. Meter readings and source-specific records are used to identify and quantify withdrawals from fresh surface water, groundwater wells, and third-party supplies. The monitored data are reviewed and consolidated by source across the relevant sites and facilities.

(9.2.4) Please explain

HEKTAŞ monitors water withdrawal volumes by source for 100% of the facilities within its reporting boundary. Source-specific data are obtained through direct monitoring at least once a month and consolidated annually to distinguish the volumes withdrawn from applicable water sources. This response relates to facilities and reflects full organizational monitoring coverage; no facilities within the reporting boundary are excluded. The percentage stated indicates monitoring coverage only and does not represent the share of total withdrawal volumes attributable to each facility or source.

Water withdrawals quality

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☑ At least once a month

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Water withdrawal quality is monitored directly through sampling and analysis undertaken for the relevant water sources. The results are assessed against the parameters applicable to the intended use of the water and are maintained in site-level monitoring records before being consolidated for reporting purposes.

(9.2.4) Please explain

HEKTAŞ monitors water withdrawal quality data for 100% of the facilities within its reporting boundary. The quality of incoming water is assessed through direct monitoring at least once a month using the parameters applicable to the source and intended operational use. Results are reviewed to confirm the suitability of withdrawn water and are consolidated at the organizational level. This response relates to facilities and reflects full monitoring coverage, with no facilities excluded.

Water discharges – total volumes

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☑ At least once a month

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Total water discharge volumes are measured through direct monitoring at the relevant discharge points. Meter readings and discharge records are collected at defined intervals, checked by responsible personnel, and aggregated across the reporting boundary to calculate the company-wide discharge volume.

(9.2.4) Please explain

HEKTAŞ monitors total water discharge volumes for 100% of the facilities within its reporting boundary. The data is collected through direct monitoring and reviewed at least annually. Calculated water-related indicators are assessed in line with ISO 14046 and WRI Aqueduct, while other discharge-related breakdowns, such as destination, final treatment level or quality parameters, are not currently calculated across operations.

Water discharges – volumes by destination

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☑ At least once a month

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Water discharge volumes by destination are measured through direct monitoring. Discharge-point records and meter readings are used to quantify the volumes directed to each applicable destination, including third-party wastewater systems. The monitored data are reviewed and consolidated by destination for company-wide reporting.

(9.2.4) Please explain

HEKTAŞ monitors water discharge volumes by destination for 100% of the facilities within its reporting boundary. Destination-specific discharge data are obtained through direct monitoring at least once a month and consolidated at the organizational level according to the applicable receiving destination. This response relates to facilities and reflects full organizational monitoring coverage; no facilities within the reporting boundary are excluded. The percentage stated represents monitoring coverage only and does not indicate the share of total discharge volumes directed to each destination.

Water discharges – volumes by treatment method

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☑ At least once a month

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Discharge volumes by treatment method are measured through direct monitoring. The volume passing through each applicable treatment and discharge route is recorded at the relevant site, classified according to the highest treatment level applied, and consolidated to prevent double counting.

(9.2.4) Please explain

HEKTAŞ monitors water discharge volumes by treatment method for 100% of the facilities within its reporting boundary. Treatment-specific discharge data are obtained through direct monitoring at least once a month and consolidated at the organizational level according to the applicable treatment method. This response relates to facilities and reflects full organizational monitoring coverage; no facilities within the reporting boundary are excluded. The percentage stated indicates monitoring coverage and does not represent the proportion of total discharge volumes treated through each method.

Water discharge quality – by standard effluent parameters

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☑ At least once a month

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Water discharge quality is monitored directly through sampling and laboratory analysis at the relevant discharge points. Standard effluent parameters applicable to HEKTAŞ's operations and discharge requirements are measured, documented, and assessed against applicable regulatory limits and internal monitoring criteria.

(9.2.4) Please explain

HEKTAŞ monitors water discharge quality by standard effluent parameters for 100% of the facilities within its reporting boundary. Relevant effluent parameters are measured through direct monitoring at least once a month and assessed against applicable discharge requirements and operational controls. Results are

consolidated at the organizational level. This response relates to facilities and reflects full monitoring coverage, with no facilities within the reporting boundary excluded.

Water discharge quality – emissions to water (nitrates, phosphates, pesticides, and/or other priority substances)

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☑ At least once a month

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Emissions to water are monitored directly through sampling and analysis of relevant discharge streams. The concentrations of applicable substances, including nitrates and phosphates, are measured and evaluated together with monitored discharge volumes to determine reportable emissions.

(9.2.4) Please explain

HEKTAŞ monitors emissions to water, including nitrates, phosphates, pesticides and/or other priority substances, for 100% of the facilities within its reporting boundary. Relevant substances are measured through direct monitoring at least once a month and assessed against applicable discharge requirements and facility-level operational controls. Results are consolidated at the organizational level. This response relates to facilities and reflects full monitoring coverage; no facilities within the reporting boundary are excluded. The percentage stated represents organizational monitoring coverage and does not indicate the proportion of total emissions to water represented by each facility.

Water discharge quality – temperature

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☑ At least once a month

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Water discharge temperature is measured through direct monitoring at relevant discharge points using appropriate temperature measurement equipment. Readings are recorded at the defined monitoring frequency and reviewed against applicable operational and regulatory requirements.

(9.2.4) Please explain

HEKTAŞ monitors the temperature of water discharges for 100% of the facilities within its reporting boundary. Temperature data are obtained through direct monitoring at least once a month and reviewed as part of facility-level discharge controls before being consolidated at the organizational level. This response relates to facilities and reflects full monitoring coverage; no facilities within the reporting boundary are excluded. The percentage stated represents organizational monitoring coverage only.

Water consumption – total volume

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☑ At least once a month

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Water consumption is determined using directly monitored withdrawal and discharge volumes across the reporting boundary. Total consumption is calculated as the difference between directly measured total withdrawals and directly measured total discharges, with the underlying meter readings and records reviewed for completeness and consistency.

(9.2.4) Please explain

HEKTAŞ monitors total water consumption volumes for 100% of the facilities within its reporting boundary. The monitoring is carried out through direct measurement and annual consolidation. Calculated water-related indicators are assessed in line with ISO 14046 and WRI Aqueduct to support the evaluation of water footprint and water risk dimensions. This response reflects organizational monitoring coverage and does not indicate the proportion of total water volumes represented by each facility.

Water recycled/reused

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☑ At least once a month

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Recycled and reused water volumes are measured through direct monitoring at the relevant processes and reuse points. Meter readings and operational records are used to track water recirculated or reused within site boundaries, and the results are consolidated across the applicable sites and facilities.

(9.2.4) Please explain

HEKTAŞ monitors water recycling and reuse for 100% of the facilities within its reporting boundary. Recycled and reused water volumes are recorded through direct monitoring at least once a month and consolidated at the organizational level. This response relates to facilities and reflects full organizational monitoring coverage; no facilities within the reporting boundary are excluded. The percentage stated represents the coverage of monitoring activities rather than the proportion of HEKTAŞ's total water volumes that is recycled or reused.

The provision of fully-functioning, safely managed WASH services to all workers

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☑ At least once a month

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

The provision of safely managed WASH services is monitored directly through routine site inspections and operational checks covering access to drinking water, sanitation, and hygiene facilities. Inspection findings and facility records are documented and reviewed across the relevant HEKTAŞ locations.

(9.2.4) Please explain

HEKTAŞ monitors the provision of fully functioning, safely managed water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH) services for all workers across 100% of the facilities within its reporting boundary. The availability and functioning of these services are checked through direct monitoring at least once a month and reviewed at the organizational level. This response relates to facilities and reflects full monitoring coverage; no facilities within the reporting boundary are excluded. Monitoring supports continued access to appropriate drinking water, sanitation and hygiene services for workers.
[Fixed row]

(9.2.2) What are the total volumes of water withdrawn, discharged, and consumed across all your operations, how do they compare to the previous reporting year, and how are they forecasted to change?

Total withdrawals

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters)

652.38

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ No/minimal change in business activity

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☑ No/minimal change in business activity

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

During the reporting year, HEKTAŞ's total water withdrawals amounted to 652.38 megaliters. The figure represents an aggregated company-wide volume compiled from the organization's water records for the reporting boundary. Total withdrawals were about the same as in the previous reporting year, primarily because there was no or minimal change in business activity and operational water demand remained broadly stable. Over the next five years, total withdrawals are expected to remain about the same, as no material change in business activity or associated water demand is currently anticipated.

Total discharges

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters)

17.39

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ No/minimal change in business activity

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☑ No/minimal change in business activity

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

During the reporting year, HEKTAŞ's total water discharges amounted to 17.39 megaliters. The figure represents the aggregated company-wide discharge volume recorded for the reporting boundary and is consistent with the volume reported for third-party discharge destinations. Total discharges were about the same as in the previous reporting year, primarily because there was no or minimal change in business activity and wastewater generation remained broadly stable. Total discharges are expected to remain about the same over the next five years, reflecting the expectation of no material change in business activity or wastewater generation.

Total consumption

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters)

634.99

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ No/minimal change in business activity

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☑ No/minimal change in business activity

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

During the reporting year, HEKTAŞ's total water consumption amounted to 634.99 megaliters. This figure was calculated at company level as total withdrawals of 652.38 megaliters minus total discharges of 17.39 megaliters. Accordingly, the reported withdrawals, discharges, and consumption balance exactly, with no unexplained variance. The consumption figure represents water withdrawn but not discharged outside the organizational boundary during the reporting year and may include water incorporated into products or waste, evaporated, consumed for operational or domestic purposes, retained within processes, or otherwise not returned as discharge. Total consumption is expected to remain about the same over the next five years because no material change in business activity, water demand, or discharge patterns is currently anticipated.
[Fixed row]

(9.2.4) Indicate whether water is withdrawn from areas with water stress, provide the volume, how it compares with the previous reporting year, and how it is forecasted to change.

(9.2.4.1) Withdrawals are from areas with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.2.4.2) Volume withdrawn from areas with water stress (megaliters)

652.38

(9.2.4.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.2.4.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ No/minimal change in business activity

(9.2.4.5) Five-year forecast

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.2.4.6) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:
☑ No/minimal change in business activity

(9.2.4.7) % of total withdrawals that are withdrawn from areas with water stress

100.00

(9.2.4.8) Identification tool

Identification tool used
☑ WRI Aqueduct
☑ WWF Water Risk Filter

(9.2.4.9) Please explain

Although the Company expects its operational activities to continue, it assumes that ongoing and planned water efficiency efforts will help keep overall water use at a similar level over the forecast period. Water withdrawal, discharge and consumption data are compiled through the Company's internal monitoring and consolidation processes based on available facility-level records. HEKTAŞ does not currently expect a significant increase or decrease in total water volumes over the next five years, provided that efficiency measures continue to offset the potential water demand associated with operational activity.
[Fixed row]

(9.2.7) Provide total water withdrawal data by source.

Fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers, and lakes

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☑ Relevant

(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters)

560.87

(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ No/minimal change in business activity

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

During the reporting year, HEKTAŞ withdrew 560.87 megaliters of fresh surface water. The reported volume is based on company water records and represents the aggregated withdrawal from applicable fresh surface water sources. Withdrawal was about the same as in the previous reporting year, primarily because there was no or minimal change in business activity and the related operational water demand remained broadly stable. HEKTAŞ has therefore not observed a material change in its dependency on this source and currently expects withdrawal volumes to remain broadly stable in the near term, subject to changes in production levels and operating conditions.

Brackish surface water/Seawater

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☑ Not relevant

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

No withdrawal from brackish surface water or seawater occurred during the reporting year and the reported volume is therefore 0 megaliters. The volume was about the same as in the previous reporting year, mainly because there was no or minimal change in business activity and no operational requirement arose to withdraw water from this source.

Groundwater – renewable

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☑ Relevant

(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters)

36.64

(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ No/minimal change in business activity

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

During the reporting year, 36.64 megaliters of renewable groundwater was withdrawn from wells. The reported volume is based on company water records and represents the aggregated withdrawal from this source. Withdrawal was about the same as in the previous reporting year, primarily because there was no or minimal change in business activity and the water demand associated with operations supplied by wells remained broadly stable. HEKTAŞ currently expects groundwater withdrawal to remain broadly stable in the near term, subject to production requirements, operating conditions, and water availability.

Groundwater – non-renewable

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☑ Not relevant

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

No withdrawal from non-renewable groundwater occurred during the reporting year and the reported volume is therefore 0 megaliters. The volume was about the same as in the previous reporting year, mainly due to no or minimal change in business activity and the absence of an operational requirement to withdraw water from non-renewable aquifers.

Produced/Entrained water

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☑ Not relevant

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

No produced or entrained water was withdrawn during the reporting year and the reported volume is therefore 0 megaliters. The volume was about the same as in the previous reporting year, mainly because there was no or minimal change in business activity and no operational process generated or required withdrawal from this source.

Third party sources

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☑ Relevant

(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters)

54.86

(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ No/minimal change in business activity

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

During the reporting year, HEKTAŞ withdrew 54.86 megaliters of water supplied by third parties. The reported volume is based on company water records and represents the aggregated volume received from external suppliers. Withdrawal was about the same as in the previous reporting year, primarily because there was no or minimal change in business activity and the operational demand met through third-party supply remained broadly stable. HEKTAŞ currently expects volumes from third-party sources to remain broadly stable in the near term, subject to changes in production and operational requirements. The supplier type, including whether the water was provided by a municipal utility.
[Fixed row]

(9.2.8) Provide total water discharge data by destination.

Fresh surface water

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

☑ Not relevant

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

No water was discharged to fresh surface water during the reporting year and the reported volume is therefore 0 megaliters. The volume was about the same as in the previous reporting year, primarily because there was no or minimal change in business activity and no operational requirement arose to discharge water to rivers, lakes, wetlands, or other fresh surface water bodies.

Brackish surface water/seawater

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

☑ Not relevant

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

No water was discharged to brackish surface water or seawater during the reporting year and the reported volume is therefore 0 megaliters. The volume was about the same as in the previous reporting year, mainly because there was no or minimal change in business activity and no operational need arose for discharge to this destination.

Groundwater

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

☑ Not relevant

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

No water was discharged to groundwater during the reporting year, including through aquifer reinjection or soakaway, and the reported volume is therefore 0 megaliters. The volume was about the same as in the previous reporting year, primarily because there was no or minimal change in business activity and no operational requirement arose for discharge to groundwater.

Third-party destinations

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

☑ Relevant

(9.2.8.2) Volume (megaliters)

17.39

(9.2.8.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.2.8.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ No/minimal change in business activity

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

During the reporting year, HEKTAŞ discharged 17.39 megaliters of water to third-party destinations. The reported volume is based on company water records and represents the aggregated volume transferred to external wastewater collection, treatment, or disposal systems. The volume was about the same as in the previous reporting year, primarily because there was no or minimal change in business activity and the operational wastewater volumes directed to third parties remained broadly stable. HEKTAŞ currently expects discharges to third-party destinations to remain broadly stable in the near term, subject to changes in production levels and operating conditions. The final disclosure should confirm the type of third party, such as a municipal wastewater system or another authorized service provider, and whether any portion of the discharged water was supplied to another organization for its use.
[Fixed row]

(9.2.9) Indicate the highest level to which your wastewater is treated (either by your organization or a third party) before being discharged to the environment.

Quaternary treatment

(9.2.9.1) Volume (megaliters)

0

(9.2.9.6) Please explain

No discharge received quaternary treatment during the reporting year; therefore, the reported volume is 0 megaliters. HEKTAŞ reports each discharge volume only under the highest treatment level applied, and the full discharge volume was classified under secondary treatment.

Tertiary treatment

(9.2.9.1) Volume (megaliters)

0

(9.2.9.6) Please explain

No discharge received tertiary treatment during the reporting year; therefore, the reported volume is 0 megaliters. HEKTAŞ reports each discharge volume only under the highest treatment level applied, and the full discharge volume was classified under secondary treatment. Accordingly, no volume is duplicated in this row.

Secondary treatment

(9.2.9.1) Volume (megaliters)

17.39

(9.2.9.2) Comparison of treated volume with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.2.9.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ No/minimal change in business activity

(9.2.9.4) % of discharge volume treated in compliance with local regulatory standards

Select from:

☑ 100

(9.2.9.5) Factors considered in determining appropriate treatment level beyond local regulatory standards

Select all that apply

☑ Internally developed water quality standards or guidelines
☑ Sector-specific standards
☑ Profile of the receiving waterbody
☑ The water discharge impacts

(9.2.9.6) Please explain

During the reporting year, 17.39 megaliters of wastewater was discharged through the sewer connection point and classified under secondary treatment, representing 100% of HEKTAŞ's total reported discharge volume. The volume is derived from records for the discharge made at the sewer connection point. The treated volume was about the same as in the previous reporting year, primarily because there was no or minimal change in business activity and the associated wastewater generation remained broadly stable. The entire reported volume was treated in compliance with applicable local regulatory standards. In determining the appropriate treatment level beyond minimum regulatory requirements, HEKTAŞ considered its internally developed water quality standards or guidelines, relevant sector-specific standards, and the potential impacts of water discharge. The secondary treatment level was applied to manage wastewater quality and reduce potential impacts associated with the company's operations before discharge through the sewer connection. The volume is expected to remain broadly stable in the near term, subject to changes in business activity, production levels, and wastewater generation.

Primary treatment only

(9.2.9.1) Volume (megaliters)

0

(9.2.9.6) Please explain

No discharge was treated only to the primary level during the reporting year; therefore, the reported volume is 0 megaliters. HEKTAŞ reports treated water under the highest treatment level achieved, and the full discharge volume received secondary treatment.

No treatment

(9.2.9.1) Volume (megaliters)

0

(9.2.9.6) Please explain

No water was discharged to the environment without treatment during the reporting year; therefore, the reported volume is 0 megaliters. All reported discharge was directed through the sewer connection point and classified under secondary treatment.

Unknown

(9.2.9.1) Volume (megaliters)

0

(9.2.9.6) Please explain

No discharge volume was classified as having an unknown treatment level during the reporting year; therefore, the reported volume is 0 megaliters. The treatment level of the full reported discharge volume was identified and allocated to secondary treatment, so there was no unaccounted remainder.
[Fixed row]

(9.2.10) Provide details of your organization's emissions of nitrates, phosphates, pesticides, and other priority substances to water in the reporting year.

(9.2.10.1) Emissions to water in the reporting year (metric tons)

0

(9.2.10.2) Categories of substances included

Select all that apply

☑ Nitrates

☑ Phosphates

(9.2.10.4) Please explain

HEKTAŞ reported 0 metric tons of emissions to water for nitrates and phosphates during the reporting year. The zero value indicates that monitoring and measurement was conducted and that no quantifiable emissions of these substances were identified. As HEKTAŞ's operations are not subject to operational constraints related to nitrogen or phosphorus, emissions of nitrates and phosphates to water are considered minimal. Accordingly, no significant source within the

company's activities was identified as contributing these pollutants to receiving waters. Based on the available information, no material emissions affecting vulnerable communities or water-stressed areas were identified. HEKTAŞ manages these substances through its existing water quality monitoring and wastewater management practices and will continue to monitor relevant parameters to maintain emissions at minimal levels.
[Fixed row]

(9.3) In your direct operations and upstream value chain, what is the number of facilities where you have identified substantive water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?

Direct operations

(9.3.1) Identification of facilities in the value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Yes, we have assessed this value chain stage and identified facilities with substantive water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(9.3.2) Total number of facilities identified

2

(9.3.3) % of facilities in direct operations that this represents

Select from:
☑ 100%

(9.3.4) Please explain

HEKTAŞ has assessed its direct operations and identified two facilities with substantive water-related dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities. These facilities are the FERBİS and AREO facilities, which use groundwater in their operations. The identification is based on the inherent water dependency associated with groundwater use before considering mitigation or response measures. These facilities are considered relevant because groundwater reliance may create exposure to water availability, regulatory and operational continuity considerations at the facility level. No aggregation approach has been applied for direct operations; the two facilities are reported individually.

Upstream value chain

(9.3.1) Identification of facilities in the value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Yes, we have assessed this value chain stage and identified facilities with substantive water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(9.3.2) Total number of facilities identified

7

(9.3.4) Please explain

HEKTAŞ has assessed its upstream value chain and identified seven facilities with substantive water-related dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities. In the upstream value chain response, the term "facility" has been applied by considering the cities where relevant facilities using municipal water are located, since city-level locations are considered the most appropriate basis for representing these upstream water dependencies. The identified locations are Kocaeli, Antalya, Ankara, Niğde, Bursa, the GAP Regional Office location in Şanlıurfa, and Adana. These facilities are considered to have substantive inherent water-related dependencies because their operations rely on municipal water supply, which may be affected by local water availability, drought exposure, infrastructure constraints or regulatory developments. The assessment reflects inherent exposure before the application of mitigation measures and is intended to capture locations where upstream activities may be exposed to water-related dependencies and risks that are relevant to HEKTAŞ's overall water risk profile.
[Fixed row]

(9.3.1) For each facility referenced in 9.3, provide coordinates, water accounting data, and a comparison with the previous reporting year.

Row 1

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 1

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

HEKTAŞ Genel Merkezi ve Bitki Koruma Ürünleri Üretim Tesisi

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Upstream value chain

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Dependencies

☑ Impacts

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Türkiye

☑ Sakarya

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

28.64

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ This is our first year of measurement

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

4.95

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ This is our first year of measurement

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

28.64

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ This is our first year of measurement

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

In 2025, total water withdrawal at the HEKTAŞ Headquarters and Plant Protection Products Production Facility in Gebze was 28.642 ML. The entire withdrawal volume was supplied by third-party municipal water sources. No groundwater, surface water, seawater, rainwater or produced water withdrawal was reported for this facility. Total water discharge was 4.951 ML and was discharged to third-party destinations. Water consumption was calculated as 28.642 ML in 2025. 2025 represents the first year of measurement for this facility in the reported format. Therefore, no year-on-year trend assessment has been made.

Row 2

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 2

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Ferbis Bitki Koruma Ürünleri Üretim Tesisi

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Upstream value chain

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Dependencies

☑ Impacts

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Türkiye

☑ Kizilirmak

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0.04

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ This is our first year of measurement

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

1.43

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ This is our first year of measurement

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0.04

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ This is our first year of measurement

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

In 2025, total water withdrawal at the Ferbis Plant Protection Products Production Facility was 22.682 ML. Of this amount, 22.647 ML was sourced from groundwater and 0.035 ML was sourced from third-party municipal water. No surface water, seawater, rainwater or produced water withdrawal was reported. Total water discharge was 1.426 ML and was discharged to third-party destinations. Water consumption was calculated as 22.682 ML in 2025. 2025 is treated as the first year of measurement in this disclosure format and no trend comparison with previous years has been provided.

Row 3

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 3

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

HEKTAŞ Organomineral Gübre Üretim Tesisi

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Upstream value chain

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Dependencies

☑ Impacts

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Türkiye

☑ Other, please specify :Seyhan

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

8.94

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ This is our first year of measurement

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

8.04

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ This is our first year of measurement

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

8.94

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ This is our first year of measurement

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

In 2025, total water withdrawal at the HEKTAŞ Organomineral Fertilizer Production Facility in Adana was 8.938 ML, all of which was supplied by third-party municipal water sources. No groundwater, surface water, seawater, rainwater or produced water withdrawal was reported for this facility. Total water discharge was 8.044 ML and was discharged to third-party destinations. Water consumption was calculated as 8.938 ML in 2025. 2025 is considered the first year of measurement in this reporting. Therefore, no comparison with the previous reporting year has been disclosed.

Row 4

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 4

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

HEKTAŞ F.A.R.M.

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Upstream value chain

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Dependencies

☑ Impacts

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Türkiye

☑ Sakarya

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

159.66

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ This is our first year of measurement

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

2.61

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ This is our first year of measurement

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

159.66

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ This is our first year of measurement

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

In 2025, total water withdrawal at HEKTAŞ F.A.R.M. was 159.655 ML. This consisted of 153.124 ML of surface water used for agricultural irrigation from lake water and 6.531 ML supplied by third-party municipal water sources. No groundwater, seawater, rainwater or produced water withdrawal was reported. Total water discharge was 2.612 ML and was discharged to third-party destinations through the sewerage system. No discharge volume was reported for the surface water used in agricultural irrigation. Water consumption was calculated as 159.655 ML in 2025. 2025 is treated as the first year of measurement in this disclosure.

Row 5

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 5

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

HEKTAŞ Yüksek Teknoloji Merkezi

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Upstream value chain

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Dependencies

☑ Impacts

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Türkiye

☑ Sakarya

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

9.33

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ This is our first year of measurement

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

9.33

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ This is our first year of measurement

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

9.33

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ This is our first year of measurement

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

In 2025, total water withdrawal at the HEKTAŞ High Technology Center in Ankara was 9.326 ML, all of which was sourced from third-party municipal water. No groundwater, surface water, seawater, rainwater or produced water withdrawal was reported. Total water discharge was also 9.326 ML and was discharged to third-party destinations through the sewerage system. Accordingly, calculated water consumption was 9.326 ML for 2025. The reported figures reflect the available facility-level records and assumptions used estimation. 2025 is considered the first year of measurement and no year-on-year trend assessment has been disclosed.

Row 6

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 6

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

AREO Tohum Teknolojileri Merkezi

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Upstream value chain

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Dependencies

☑ Impacts

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Türkiye

☑ Other, please specify :Seyhan

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0.74

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ This is our first year of measurement

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

0.37

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ This is our first year of measurement

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0.74

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ This is our first year of measurement

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

In 2025, total water withdrawal at the AREO Seed Technologies Center was 14.741 ML. This consisted of 14.000 ML of groundwater and 0.741 ML supplied by third-party municipal water sources. No surface water, seawater, rainwater or produced water withdrawal was reported. Total water discharge was 0.370 ML and was discharged to third-party destinations through the sewerage system. No discharge volume was reported for groundwater used in agricultural irrigation. Water consumption was calculated as 14.741 ML in 2025. 2025 is treated as the first year of measurement in this disclosure.

Row 7

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 7

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

GAP Bölge Ofisi

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Upstream value chain

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Dependencies

☑ Impacts

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Türkiye

☑ Other, please specify :Dicle-Fırat

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0.04

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ This is our first year of measurement

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

0.1

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ This is our first year of measurement

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0.04

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ This is our first year of measurement

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

In 2025, total water withdrawal at the GAP Regional Office was 0.038 ML, all of which was sourced from third-party municipal water. No groundwater, surface water, seawater, rainwater or produced water withdrawal was reported. Total water discharge was 0.034 ML and was discharged to third-party destinations through the sewerage system. Water consumption was calculated as 0.038 ML in 2025. The reported data reflect the available office-level water records. 2025 is treated as the first year of measurement for this disclosure.

Row 8

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 8

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Ferbis Bitki Koruma Ürünleri Üretim Tesisi

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Dependencies

☑ Impacts

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Türkiye
☑ Kizilirmak

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

37.9698

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

34.6766

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

226.82

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ This is our first year of measurement

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

22.65

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ This is our first year of measurement

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

0

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

22.65

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ This is our first year of measurement

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

In 2025, total water withdrawal at the Ferbis Plant Protection Products Production Facility was 226.82 ML. Of this amount, 22.647 ML was sourced from groundwater and 0.035 ML was sourced from third-party municipal water, and 204,17 ML was sourced from rainwater. No surface water, seawater, rainwater or produced water withdrawal was reported. Total water discharge was 1.426 ML and was discharged to third-party destinations. Water consumption was calculated as 22.682 ML in 2025. 2025 is treated as the first year of measurement in this disclosure format and no trend comparison with previous years has been provided.

Row 9

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 9

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

AREO Tohum Teknolojileri Merkezi

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Dependencies

☑ Impacts

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Türkiye

☑ Other, please specify :Antalya

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

36.8969

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

30.7133

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

218.17

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ This is our first year of measurement

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

14

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ This is our first year of measurement

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

0

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

14

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ This is our first year of measurement

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

In 2025, total water withdrawal at the AREO Seed Technologies Center was 218.17 ML. This consisted of 14.000 ML of groundwater and 0.741 ML supplied by third-party municipal water sources, and 204.17 ML was sourced from rainwater. No surface water, seawater, rainwater or produced water withdrawal was reported. Total water discharge was 0.370 ML and was discharged to third-party destinations through the sewerage system. No discharge volume was reported for groundwater used in agricultural irrigation. Water consumption was calculated as 14.741 ML in 2025. 2025 is treated as the first year of measurement in this disclosure.
[Add row]

(9.3.2) For the facilities in your direct operations referenced in 9.3.1, what proportion of water accounting data has been third party verified?

Water withdrawals – total volumes

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☑ 76-100

(9.3.2.2) Verification standard used

Select all that apply

☑ ISO 14046-1

Water withdrawals – volume by source

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☑ 76-100

(9.3.2.2) Verification standard used

Select all that apply

☑ ISO 14046-1

Water withdrawals – quality by standard water quality parameters

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☑ 76-100

(9.3.2.2) Verification standard used

Select all that apply

☑ ISO 14046-1

Water discharges – total volumes

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:
☑ 76-100

(9.3.2.2) Verification standard used

Select all that apply
☑ ISO 14046-1

Water discharges – volume by destination

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:
☑ 76-100

(9.3.2.2) Verification standard used

Select all that apply
☑ ISO 14046-1

Water discharges – volume by final treatment level

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:
☑ 76-100

(9.3.2.2) Verification standard used

Select all that apply
☑ ISO 14046-1

Water discharges – quality by standard water quality parameters

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:
☑ 76-100

(9.3.2.2) Verification standard used

Select all that apply
☑ ISO 14046-1

Water consumption – total volume

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:
☑ 76-100

(9.3.2.2) Verification standard used

Select all that apply
☑ ISO 14046-1
[Fixed row]

(9.6) Do you calculate water intensity for your activities in the chemical sector?

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.6.1) For your top five products by production weight/volume, provide the following water intensity information associated with your activities in the chemical sector.

Row 1

(9.6.1.1) Product type

Other chemicals

☑ Other, please specify :Agricultural Chemicals

(9.6.1.2) Product name

The water intensity metric for this product group is calculated using the total water consumption of the HEKTAŞ Kocaeli Plant Protection Products Production Facility as the numerator and the annual production volume of plant protection products as the denominator.

(9.6.1.3) Water intensity value (m3/denominator)

1.92

(9.6.1.4) Numerator: water aspect

Select from:

☑ Total water consumption

(9.6.1.5) Denominator

Select from:

☑ Ton

(9.6.1.6) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Much lower

(9.6.1.7) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.6.1.8) Use of the metric within the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Incorporate into risk management procedures

☑ Inform community/local engagement

☑ Monitor progress against targets

☑ Reduce impact on water resources

(9.6.1.9) Please explain

The water intensity metric for this product group is calculated using the total water consumption of the HEKTAŞ Kocaeli Plant Protection Products Production Facility as the numerator and the annual production volume of plant protection products as the denominator. For 2025, total water consumption was 28,642.00 m³ and production volume was 14,881.12 tonnes. The denominator was selected as tonnes of production because it directly reflects the facility's operational output and provides the most relevant basis for assessing water use efficiency for this product group. The metric is based on collected facility-level water consumption data and production records. No water sources have been intentionally excluded where measured data is available. The intensity value is interpreted in light of changes in production scale, capacity utilization and product mix, as these factors may affect year-on-year comparability. This metric is used to monitor operational efficiency, support water-related risk management and identify opportunities to reduce water intensity in future periods.

Row 2

(9.6.1.1) Product type

Other chemicals

☑ Other, please specify :Agricultural Chemicals

(9.6.1.2) Product name

The water intensity metric for this product group is calculated using the total water consumption of the HEKTAŞ Adana Organomineral Fertilizer Production Facility as the numerator and the annual production volume of organomineral fertilizers as the denominator.

(9.6.1.3) Water intensity value (m3/denominator)

0.31

(9.6.1.4) Numerator: water aspect

Select from:

☑ Total water consumption

(9.6.1.5) Denominator

Select from:

☑ Ton

(9.6.1.6) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Much lower

(9.6.1.7) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.6.1.8) Use of the metric within the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Incorporate into risk management procedures

☑ Inform community/local engagement

☑ Monitor progress against targets

☑ Reduce impact on water resources

(9.6.1.9) Please explain

The water intensity metric for this product group is calculated using the total water consumption of the HEKTAŞ Adana Organomineral Fertilizer Production Facility as the numerator and the annual production volume of organomineral fertilizers as the denominator. For 2025, total water consumption was 8,938 m³ and production volume was 28,116.18 tonnes. Tonnes of production were selected as the denominator because they represent the most appropriate activity metric for evaluating water use relative to the facility's fertilizer production output. The information is based on collected operational data, including facility-level total water consumption and production records. No measured water sources have been intentionally excluded from the calculation. The resulting intensity value should be assessed together with production growth, changes in operational scale and capacity utilization, which may influence the comparison with the previous reporting year. The metric supports operational performance monitoring, business planning and the identification of water efficiency improvement opportunities.

Row 3

(9.6.1.1) Product type

Other chemicals

☑ Other, please specify :Agricultural Chemicals

(9.6.1.2) Product name

The water intensity metric for this product group is calculated using the total water consumption of the FERBİS Niğde Plant Protection Products Production Facility as the numerator and the annual production volume of plant protection products as the denominator.

(9.6.1.3) Water intensity value (m3/denominator)

3.76

(9.6.1.4) Numerator: water aspect

Select from:

☑ Total water consumption

(9.6.1.5) Denominator

Select from:

☑ Ton

(9.6.1.6) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Much lower

(9.6.1.7) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.6.1.8) Use of the metric within the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Incorporate into risk management procedures

☑ Inform community/local engagement

☑ Monitor progress against targets

☑ Reduce impact on water resources

(9.6.1.9) Please explain

The water intensity metric for this product group is calculated using the total water consumption of the FERBİS Niğde Plant Protection Products Production Facility as the numerator and the annual production volume of plant protection products as the denominator. For 2025, total water consumption was 22,682 m³ and production volume was 6,029.82 tonnes. Tonnes of production were selected as the denominator because they provide a clear and comparable measure of output for plant protection product manufacturing at this facility. The calculation is based on collected operational data, including facility-level total water consumption and production records. No measured water sources have been intentionally excluded from the calculation. The intensity value should be interpreted in the context of production volume changes, capacity utilization and product mix, all of which may influence the relationship between water consumption and output. The metric is used to monitor facility-level water performance, support risk management processes and guide future efforts to improve water efficiency.

Row 4

(9.6.1.1) Product type

Other chemicals

☑ Other, please specify :Agricultural Chemicals

(9.6.1.2) Product name

The water intensity metric for this product group is calculated using the total water consumption of the HEKTAŞ Ankara High Technology Center as the numerator and the annual production volume of high-technology products as the denominator. For 2025, total water consumption was 9,326 m³ and production volume was 384,000 litres.

(9.6.1.3) Water intensity value (m3/denominator)

0.02

(9.6.1.4) Numerator: water aspect

Select from:

☑ Total water consumption

(9.6.1.5) Denominator

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Liter

(9.6.1.6) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Much lower

(9.6.1.7) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.6.1.8) Use of the metric within the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Incorporate into risk management procedures

☑ Inform community/local engagement

☑ Monitor progress against targets

☑ Reduce impact on water resources

(9.6.1.9) Please explain

The water intensity metric for this product group is calculated using the total water consumption of the HEKTAŞ Ankara High Technology Center as the numerator and the annual production volume of high-technology products as the denominator. For 2025, total water consumption was 9,326 m³ and production volume was 384,000 litres. Litres of production were selected as the denominator because this unit reflects the nature of the products manufactured at the center and is consistent with internal production tracking practices. The metric is based on collected facility-level water consumption data and production records. No measured water sources have been intentionally excluded from the calculation. The intensity value is interpreted considering production volume, operational scale and process characteristics specific to high-technology product manufacturing. The metric is used to assess water use efficiency, inform operational management and support future actions aimed at reducing water intensity where feasible.
[Add row]

(9.13) Do any of your products contain substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority?

(9.13.1) Products contain hazardous substances

Select from:
☑ No

(9.13.2) Comment

HEKTAŞ places its products on the market in accordance with the applicable regulatory requirements, product registration processes, authorized use conditions, label instructions and safety information relevant to agricultural inputs. Based on the regulatory and product compliance framework, HEKTAŞ's products are not assessed as containing hazardous substances.
[Fixed row]

(9.14) Do you classify any of your current products and/or services as low water impact?

(9.14.1) Products and/or services classified as low water impact

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.14.2) Value chain stage where low water impact is assessed

Select all that apply

☑ Direct operations

☑ Upstream value chain

(9.14.3) Indicator used to assess water impact

Water quantity

☑ Lower water withdrawal/consumption than conventional processes

(9.14.4) Have you used a certification standard to classify your products/services as low water impact?

Select from:

☑ No

(9.14.5) Definition used to classify low water impact

HEKTAŞ assesses low water impact across the upstream value chain and its direct operations, with a focus on products, formulations, raw material choices and production practices that support lower water withdrawal and/or lower water consumption compared with conventional alternatives or existing operational practices. The assessment considers whether product development and production processes contribute to resource efficiency, reduced reliance on water-intensive inputs, and improved water management performance within the Company's own operations and relevant upstream activities. HEKTAŞ has not yet developed a quantitative percentage threshold or numeric water impact threshold for classifying products and/or services as low water impact, and no external certification standard is currently used for this classification. However, the Company is strengthening its water-related data infrastructure and product life cycle assessment capabilities, including initiatives such as ISO 14046 water footprint work and LCA-related studies, to support more measurable and comparable assessments in future reporting periods.

(9.14.7) Please explain

HEKTAŞ classifies certain products and related production practices as having lower water impact where they are associated with reduced water withdrawal and/or consumption across the upstream value chain and direct operations. This approach is linked to the Company's broader sustainability focus on reducing environmental impacts, increasing resource efficiency and improving the sustainability of its product portfolio. In formulation and product development processes, HEKTAŞ prioritises alternatives with lower environmental risk profiles, and continues to evaluate opportunities to improve resource efficiency in production. HEKTAŞ plans to further develop its assessment approach as its water footprint, product life cycle and data collection processes mature.
[Fixed row]

(9.15) Indicate whether you have targets relating to water pollution, water withdrawals, WASH, or other water-related categories.

	Target set in this category	Science-based target set in this target category according to SBTN guidance
Water pollution	*Select from:* ☑ Yes	*Select from:* ☑ No, but we plan to within the next two years
Water withdrawals	*Select from:* ☑ Yes	*Select from:* ☑ No, but we plan to within the next two years
Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene (WASH) services	*Select from:* ☑ Yes	
Other	*Select from:* ☑ No, but we plan to within the next two years	

[Fixed row]

(9.15.1) Provide details of your water-related targets and the progress made.

Row 1

(9.15.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☑ Water target 1

(9.15.1.2) Target coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide (direct operations only)

(9.15.1.3) Category of target & Quantitative metric

Water withdrawals

☑ Reduction in total water withdrawals

(9.15.1.4) Date target was set

12/31/2025

(9.15.1.5) End date of base year

12/31/2025

(9.15.1.6) Base year figure

652.38

(9.15.1.7) End date of target year

12/31/2030

(9.15.1.8) Target year figure

400

(9.15.1.9) Reporting year figure

652.38

(9.15.1.10) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☑ New

(9.15.1.11) % of target achieved relative to base year

0

(9.15.1.12) Global environmental treaties/initiatives/frameworks aligned with or supported by this target

Global environmental treaties/initiatives/frameworks aligned with or supported by this target

☑ Sustainable Development Goal 6

(9.15.1.13) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

This target covers HEKTAŞ's direct operations and production facilities within the reporting boundary. Operational water use at the Kocaeli, Niğde, Adana, Bursa/Orhangazi, Ankara and Antalya locations is included in the target scope. Upstream and downstream value chain activities are excluded from this target, as the target is primarily designed to manage water withdrawal performance within HEKTAŞ's operational control and to strengthen operational resilience against water stress. The fact that HEKTAŞ assesses water stress at its facility locations using WRI Aqueduct data supports the design of this target in connection with the monitoring of locations that may be exposed to higher water-related risks.

(9.15.1.14) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

The target aims to reduce the normalized index value of total water withdrawals from 652.381 ML to 400 ML by the end of 2030 compared with the 2025 base year. To achieve this target, HEKTAŞ plans to strengthen facility-level water monitoring infrastructure, integrate the results of the ISO 14046 water footprint assessment into operational improvement plans, expand rainwater harvesting practices, recover backwash water, and complete feasibility assessments for recovery options such as reverse osmosis. The progress curve is expected to be variable in the short term due to the strengthening of the measurement infrastructure and become more linear after 2027.

(9.15.1.16) Is this a science-based target according to SBTN?

Select from:

☑ Yes, we consider this a science-based target, and we have committed to seek validation by the Accountability Accelerator in the next two years

(9.15.1.18) Further details of target

The base year, target year and reporting year figures are presented as an index value representing operational total water withdrawals, where 2025 is set as 100. The use of an index enables comparable monitoring of the target by taking into account changes in facility scope and production volume. The target is aligned with HEKTAŞ's approach to managing the potential impacts of water stress on production and water costs, its resource efficiency-oriented sustainability strategy, and its climate-related water risk assessments.

Row 2

(9.15.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☑ Water target 2

(9.15.1.2) Target coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide (direct operations only)

(9.15.1.3) Category of target & Quantitative metric

Water pollution

☑ Reduction in water discharge volumes

(9.15.1.4) Date target was set

12/31/2025

(9.15.1.5) End date of base year

12/31/2025

(9.15.1.6) Base year figure

27.32

(9.15.1.7) End date of target year

12/31/2030

(9.15.1.8) Target year figure

15

(9.15.1.9) Reporting year figure

27.32

(9.15.1.10) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☑ New

(9.15.1.11) % of target achieved relative to base year

0

(9.15.1.12) Global environmental treaties/initiatives/frameworks aligned with or supported by this target

Global environmental treaties/initiatives/frameworks aligned with or supported by this target

☑ Sustainable Development Goal 6

(9.15.1.13) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

This target covers wastewater discharge volumes generated from HEKTAŞ's direct operations and directed to third-party receiving environments. The target is designed as a reduction in water discharge volumes, rather than a pollutant load or concentration reduction target. It covers facilities where HEKTAŞ has direct operational control over wastewater generation, discharge monitoring and discharge management processes. Indirect wastewater discharges associated with suppliers, customers or downstream product use are excluded from the scope of this target, as HEKTAŞ does not have direct operational control over these activities.

(9.15.1.14) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

The target aims to reduce the indexed wastewater discharge volume from 27.32 ML in the 2025 base year to 15 ML by the end of 2030. To achieve this target, HEKTAŞ plans to improve process water efficiency, increase internal reuse and recovery opportunities, assess the feasibility of rainwater harvesting, and monitor

facility-level discharge volumes on a regular basis. The progress curve is expected to be variable, as discharge volumes may be influenced by production volume, product mix, operational maintenance periods and the gradual implementation of water recovery projects. Since the target was newly set in the reporting year, the reporting year figure remains at the base-year level.

(9.15.1.18) Further details of target

The unit of the target is an indexed water discharge volume value, where 2025 is set as 100 and the 2030 target is set as 85. This target should be disclosed as a reduction in water discharge volumes target. The use of an index enables HEKTAŞ to monitor discharge reduction performance consistently while considering changes in facility scope and production activity. The target supports HEKTAŞ's operational water efficiency approach by reducing the volume of wastewater requiring discharge management, while also contributing to improved resource efficiency and lower pressure on wastewater treatment and receiving environment management processes.

Row 3

(9.15.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☑ Water target 3

(9.15.1.2) Target coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide (direct operations only)

(9.15.1.3) Category of target & Quantitative metric

Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene (WASH) services

☑ Increase in the proportion of employees using safely managed sanitation services, including a hand-washing facility with soap and water

(9.15.1.4) Date target was set

12/31/2025

(9.15.1.5) End date of base year

12/31/2025

(9.15.1.6) Base year figure

95

(9.15.1.7) End date of target year

12/31/2030

(9.15.1.8) Target year figure

100

(9.15.1.9) Reporting year figure

95

(9.15.1.10) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☑ New

(9.15.1.11) % of target achieved relative to base year

0

(9.15.1.12) Global environmental treaties/initiatives/frameworks aligned with or supported by this target

Global environmental treaties/initiatives/frameworks aligned with or supported by this target

☑ Sustainable Development Goal 6

(9.15.1.13) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

This target covers HEKTAŞ's direct operations and all employees working at operational locations within the reporting boundary, including production facilities, offices and relevant field operation areas. The target focuses on increasing the proportion of employees using safely managed sanitation services, including access to a hand-washing facility with soap and water. Contractors and visitors may benefit from the same facilities where present on site; however, the quantitative target is measured based on employees, as this is the population for which HEKTAŞ can most consistently monitor coverage through internal health, safety and facility management systems. Upstream suppliers, downstream customers and farmer-facing value chain activities are excluded from this target.

(9.15.1.14) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

The target aims to increase the proportion of employees using safely managed sanitation services, including a hand-washing facility with soap and water, from 95% in the 2025 base year to 100% by the end of 2030. To achieve this target, HEKTAŞ plans to conduct periodic checks of sanitation areas, drinking water access points and hand-washing facilities; ensure that soap and water are continuously available at relevant hygiene points; address any facility-level gaps through maintenance, infrastructure improvement and housekeeping actions; and integrate WASH checks into occupational health and safety and environmental management routines. Progress is expected to be linear, as the target requires closing remaining site-level gaps and maintaining full coverage once achieved. Since the target was newly set in the reporting year, the reporting year figure remains at the base-year level of 95%.

(9.15.1.18) Further details of target

The unit of the target is the percentage of employees using safely managed sanitation services, including access to a hand-washing facility with soap and water. The base year figure is 95%, the target year figure is 100%, and the reporting year figure is 95%. The target will be monitored through facility-level WASH checks, employee coverage records, occupational health and safety inspections, and corrective action follow-up where gaps are identified. This WASH target supports HEKTAŞ's occupational health and safety, employee well-being and responsible operations approach, while contributing to SDG 3 and SDG 6 by improving access to safe sanitation, hygiene and hand-washing conditions in the workplace.
[Add row]

C11. Environmental performance - Biodiversity

(11.2) What actions has your organization taken in the reporting year to progress your biodiversity-related commitments?

	Actions taken in the reporting period to progress your biodiversity-related commitments
	Select from: ☑ No, we are not taking any actions to progress our biodiversity-related commitments, but we plan to within the next two years

[Fixed row]

(11.3) Does your organization use biodiversity indicators to monitor performance across its activities?

	Does your organization use indicators to monitor biodiversity performance?
	Select from: ☑ No, we do not use indicators, but plan to within the next two years

[Fixed row]

(11.4) Does your organization have activities located in or near to areas important for biodiversity in the reporting year?

	Indicate whether any of your organization's activities are located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity	Comment
Legally protected areas	*Select from:* ☑ No	*HEKTAŞ is not located near to any type of area important for biodiversity.*
UNESCO World Heritage sites	*Select from:* ☑ No	*HEKTAŞ is not located near to any type of area important for biodiversity.*
UNESCO Man and the Biosphere Reserves	*Select from:* ☑ No	*HEKTAŞ is not located near to any type of area important for biodiversity.*
Ramsar sites	*Select from:* ☑ No	*HEKTAŞ is not located near to any type of area important for biodiversity.*
Key Biodiversity Areas	*Select from:* ☑ No	*HEKTAŞ is not located near to any type of area important for biodiversity.*
Other areas important for biodiversity	*Select from:* ☑ No	*HEKTAŞ is not located near to any type of area important for biodiversity.*

[Fixed row]

C13. Further information & sign off

(13.1) Indicate if any environmental information included in your CDP response (not already reported in 7.9.1/2/3, 8.9.1/2/3/4, and 9.3.2) is verified and/or assured by a third party?

	Other environmental information included in your CDP response is verified and/or assured by a third party
	Select from: ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(13.1.1) Which data points within your CDP response are verified and/or assured by a third party, and which standards were used?

Row 1

(13.1.1.1) Environmental issue for which data has been verified and/or assured

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

☑ Water

(13.1.1.2) Disclosure module and data verified and/or assured

All data points in CDP response

☑ All data points in CDP response

(13.1.1.3) Verification/assurance standard

General standards

☑ ISAE 3000

☑ ISAE 3410

☑ ISO 14064-1

Climate change-related standards

☑ Other climate change verification standard, please specify :Türkiye Sustainability Reporting Standard Verification

(13.1.1.4) Further details of the third-party verification/assurance process

The verification/assurance was performed as part of the mandatory annual limited assurance engagement over HEKTAŞ's 2025 TSRS-compliant Sustainability Report, conducted by the independent audit firm Deloitte (DRT Bağımsız Denetim ve Serbest Muhasebeci Mali Müşavirlik A.Ş.). The engagement was carried out in accordance with the Turkish assurance standards GDS 3000 (Assurance Engagements Other Than Audits or Reviews of Historical Financial Information) and GDS 3410 (Assurance Engagements on Greenhouse Gas Statements), the Turkish adoption of ISAE 3000 and ISAE 3410, providing a limited (moderate) level of assurance. The scope covers HEKTAŞ's direct operations and consolidated subsidiaries (operational control boundary) and the climate- and water-related metrics disclosed under TSRS 2, including gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 (location-based and market-based) GHG emissions, energy consumption and water metrics. Scope 3 emissions are excluded from the assurance scope, as HEKTAŞ applied the transitional TSRS exemption not to disclose Scope 3 emissions in this reporting period. The underlying GHG inventory was prepared under the GHG Protocol Corporate Standard and ISO 14064-1. The assurance provider issued an unmodified limited assurance conclusion.

(13.1.1.5) Attach verification/assurance evidence/report (optional)

HEKTAS-TSRS_Verification_Report.pdf

Row 2

(13.1.1.1) Environmental issue for which data has been verified and/or assured

Select all that apply

☑ Water

(13.1.1.2) Disclosure module and data verified and/or assured

Environmental performance – Water security

☑ All data points in module 9

(13.1.1.3) Verification/assurance standard

General standards

☑ Other general verification standard, please specify :ISO 14046-1

(13.1.1.4) Further details of the third-party verification/assurance process

Hektaş's water-related data is verified annually; the current cycle covers 01.01.2025–31.12.2025. The verification was performed by AURA Uluslararası Belgelendirme Ltd. Şti., an accredited verification body competent in environmental information verification (Statement No. 2025-1075, issued 15/09/2026). The process was conducted in line with ISO 14017:2022 and ISO/IEC 17029:2019, against a water footprint assessment prepared under EN ISO 14046:2014, at a reasonable (high) level of assurance with a 5% materiality threshold, using document review, risk-based sampling, site visits, consistency checks and recalculations. The scope covers direct operations only, at corporate level, consolidated under the operational control approach, including the Gebze plant, all regional directorates and branches (Adana, Ankara, Orhangazi, Antalya, Marmara, İzmir, Şanlıurfa, İç Anadolu, Çukurova) and the subsidiaries Ferbis, Hektaş Tohumculuk, Takimsan and Sunset Kimya. Verified data points include water withdrawal by source, water consumption by type, discharge volumes, the grey water footprint (COD, TSS; GWF method) and the water scarcity footprint (AWARE method). These points were selected as our most material water metrics disclosed in CDP and in our sustainability report, and ISO 14046 was chosen as the internationally recognised standard for water footprint quantification. No product-level footprint was assessed and no critical review was required, as the statement is not used for comparative assertions. The verifier concluded that the water statement was prepared in accordance with ISO 14046:2014 and that the declared quantities and calculations are accurate, complete and methodologically consistent, issuing a reasonable assurance opinion with comments.

(13.1.1.5) Attach verification/assurance evidence/report (optional)

FR-119_Su_Ayak_Izi_Dogrulama_Beyani TR-E İmzalı.pdf
[Add row]

(13.2) Use this field to provide any additional information or context that you feel is relevant to your organization's response. Please note that this field is optional and is not scored.

(13.2.1) Additional information

All greenhouse gas and water-related data points in this response are prepared on an operational control basis, consistent with HEKTAŞ's 2025 GHG inventory (GHG Protocol / ISO 14064-1) and its TSRS 1 & TSRS 2 sustainability disclosures. Reporting boundary covers HEKTAŞ Ticaret T.A.Ş. and its subsidiaries under operational control; Hektaş Asia LLC (Uzbekistan) is excluded as no active operations were carried out during the reporting period.

(13.2.2) Attachment (optional)

hektas-sdr-2024-v7-tr.pdf
[Fixed row]

(13.3) Provide the following information for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP response.

(13.3.1) Job title

General Manager

(13.3.2) Corresponding job category

Select from:
☑ Chief Executive Officer (CEO)
[Fixed row]

(13.4) Please indicate your consent for CDP to share contact details with the Pacific Institute to support content for its Water Action Hub to facilitate collective action in priority basins.

Select from:
☑ No